## The University of Akron

# IdeaExchange@UAkron

Williams Honors College, Honors Research **Projects** 

The Dr. Gary B. and Pamela S. Williams Honors College

Spring 2020

# Effects of Interventions on Violence Against Nurses

Shelby Secreto sjs185@zips.uakron.edu

Morgan Suponcic mes20@zips.uakron.edu

**Taylor Gatts** tlg90@zips.uakron.edu

Erin Ross eer32@zips.uakron.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/honors\_research\_projects



Part of the Nursing Commons

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you through this survey. Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

### **Recommended Citation**

Secreto, Shelby; Suponcic, Morgan; Gatts, Taylor; and Ross, Erin, "Effects of Interventions on Violence Against Nurses" (2020). Williams Honors College, Honors Research Projects. 1035. https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/honors\_research\_projects/1035

This Dissertation/Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by The Dr. Gary B. and Pamela S. Williams Honors College at IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Williams Honors College, Honors Research Projects by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.

## Author Note

Taylor L. Gatts, Erin E. Ross, Shelby J. Secreto, and Morgan E. Suponcic, School of Nursing,

The University of Akron. This paper is in fulfillment of the course: Nursing Research, 8200:480

Sponsor Carrie Wissmar DNP, RN, MBA

### Abstract

Violence against nurses is a problem in the healthcare system that is becoming more prevalent. The purpose of this systematic review is to critically appraise the evidence about the effectiveness of interventions to outcomes indicating that nurses are better prepared to handle violent situations and possibly prevent them all together in acute care settings. The following PICOT question, a question that addresses patient problem, intervention, comparison, outcome, and time, will be answered: What effect does preventative and educational interventions have on violence against nurses in the hospital setting and influence nurses' perceptions regarding their ability to handle episodes of violence? Relevant publications were identified in CINAHL, PubMed, and Academic Search Complete with key search words of: violence, nurses, prevention, and intervention. Interventions across twenty studies were reviewed. The research showed that educational interventions increased nurses' perceived level of preparedness to respond to a violent event. However, further research needs to be conducted and strict policies put in place.

3

Nurses are exposed to high levels of violence in the workplace. Violence is so prevalent, that it has become the norm for nurses to think that it is a part of the job (Speroni, Fitch, Dawson, Dugan and Atherton, 2014). Violence can be defined as "any verbal or physical behavior resulting in, or intended to result in, physical or psychological injury, pain, or harm" (Lanza, Rierdan, Forester, & Zeiss, 2009, pp. 747). Not only are nurses exposed to violent events, they are also the first line of defense in protecting the wellbeing of their patients. Therefore, nurses need to be prepared to properly manage these violent occurrences to protect themselves and their patients.

The likelihood of a nurse experiencing a violent event is more than double of any other member of the healthcare field according to the United States Department of Labor Occupational Safety and Health Administration (as cited in Gillespie, Gates, & Mentzel, 2012). In a survey given to 762 nurses, 579 reported experiencing at least one violent event while at work (Speroni, Fitch, Dawson, Dugan, & Atherton, 2014). Of those, only 106 nurses reported their injuries to the employee health office. Of the 106 nurses who reported, 30 required treatment. The treatment cost the hospitals \$94,156. In the same study, Speroni, Fitch, Dawson, Dugan, and Atherton (2014) found that 25% occurred on psychiatric units and 14.2% occurred in emergency rooms. Furthermore, nurses who incurred a violent event in the workplace had more difficulty focusing their emotions and cognitions to the task at hand, even resulting in psychological symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (Gates, Gillespie, & Succop, 2011). Violence against nurses is a recurring theme in the healthcare industry that deserves to be further examined.

Several interventions have been tested to examine how to properly manage the problem of violence among nurses. Many techniques have been implemented and studied to identify those

that are most influential in decreasing violence. The purpose of this systematic review is to critically appraise the evidence about the effectiveness of interventions to better prepare nurses to handle violent situation and possibly prevent them all together in the acute care setting. The following PICOT question will be answered: What effect does preventative and educational interventions have on violence against nurses in the hospital setting and influence nurses' perceptions regarding their ability to handle episodes of violence? Nurses have increased likelihood and incidence of experiencing violent events and these events may then affect their ability to effectively provide care to their patients. As such, it is important to consider possible interventions to lessen the frequency of violent events against them.

#### Methods

The authors used the university library databases CINAHL Plus with Full Text, PubMed, and Academic Search Complete, sites that provide full text articles from nursing and other allied health journals. Keywords used to search were: violence against nurses and included the words prevention and/or intervention. After searching for articles, the following criteria were given for article parameters: peer-reviewed journals, primary sources, and published within the last ten years. Approximately sixty articles were identified that answered the PICOT question and later reviewed. Articles were further narrowed down to those with clearly defined methods and adequate citations of reference materials. Research from multiple journals, countries, and specialties were considered for inclusion and ultimately selected for review. References listed in articles that did not meet criteria for possible sources were also considered if they met criteria. Authors collaborated via Google Drive to review the articles considered relevant to the research.

### **Review of Literature**

Violence against nurses includes both physical violence, which 7.8% of nurses report experiencing, and nonphysical violence, which 71.9% of nurses report experiencing (Jiao et al., 2015). Interventions to prevent and decrease the effects of violent events in nurses can generally be separated into three categories: those that occur before the precipitation of a violent event to educate nurses and prevent the events from happening in the first place, those that aid nurses while they are trying to handle a violent situation, and those that help nurses use their knowledge of past violent events to identify possible risk factors in the future. This integrated review of literature will describe what researchers have done and found in each category.

## Nurses' perception of violent events.

Researchers have identified nurses' perceptions of violent events as influential in whether they report the incident. Nurses report violence as part of the job, or believe that the violence is not severe enough to be reported (Stevenson, Jack, O'Mara, Legris, 2015) These findings revealed that there is a decreased ability to understand workplace violence among nurses. In addition to nurses not reporting incidences of violence, some healthcare providers do not know how to report violent events. When asked how healthcare professionals reported violent events, only 7.6% of respondents (N= 802) answered that they utilize the correct reporting program in a specific hospital system. The other 92.4% of respondents either did not report violent events or did not report correctly (Rosenthal, Byerly, Taylor, & Martinovich, 2018).

Researchers have identified contributing factors and catalysts of patient violence after exploring nurses' and family members' perceptions of these events. In the emergency department, nurses identified waiting times and lack of communication as contributing factors to aggression, and triage as the area in the emergency department where aggression was most likely

to occur (Angland, Dowling & Casey, 2014). Patients also reported similar catalysts to violence against nurses in addition to bad treatment of other patients and relatives along with poor institutional management (Babaei et al., 2018). Relatives of patients also reported bad treatment of themselves and the patient by hospital personnel, concern about immediate medical needs, and a long wait for getting health care services as the most frequent causes of violence against nurses (Babaei et al., 2018). These findings suggest that violence prevention programs emphasize highrisk situations identified in the research. Patients who are cognitively impaired, demanding to leave, experiencing pain, etc. should be prioritized and checked on more often. In addition those needing restraints, needles, physical transfers, or patients being transferred to different units should also be checked on frequently (Arnetz et al., 2014). Focusing on high-risk areas and situations in violence prevention programs will be useful in conducting further research.

### Before violent incidents.

Researchers have examined the effects of education and training interventions among hospital staff on preventing violence prior to violent events. Many found that these interventions decreased violent events. For example, in a quasi-experimental study using a pre-/post-test, Adams, Knowles, Irons, Roddy, and Ashworth (2017) studied the effect of an education intervention in nurses, nursing assistants, and patient care assistants (N=65) and found decreased frequency of violence after education and use of de-escalation strategies. In a similar design using a pretest and posttest method, Nau, Halfens, Needham, and Dassen (2009) looked at the effect of aggression management training on student nurses' ability to de-escalate violent events in a hospital setting (N=78). They found that the training led to improved performance in de-escalation of aggressive behavior and ultimately decreased violent events.

Educational interventions were also found to improve outcomes when patients participated in addition to staff. In a twenty week pretest/posttest study, Lanza, Rierdan, Forester, and Zeiss (2009) studied the effects of violence prevention community meetings, involving 21 nurses and an unknown number of psychiatric patients, on rates of violent incidents and found that the violent incidents decreased by 44%. The intervention included staff-patient meetings focused on topics about how patients and staff could work together, knowledge of resources, and possible signs of violence.

Researchers have also examined the effect of hospital and state level policies, procedures, and/or laws on outcomes. Lakotos and colleagues (2018) found decreases in violent events after policy and procedures were implemented at three U.S. hospitals. In a survey taken by staff members (N=1,886), Lakatos and colleagues (2018) assessed the outcomes of the implementation of a quality improvement policy and found nursing staff assaults resulting in injury reduced by 40%. In a nine year pre/post-policy study, Casteel and colleagues (2009) studied the effects of the enactment of the California Hospitals Safety and Security Act. They found reduced rates of violent incidents in emergency and psychiatric departments of three trauma and general acute care hospitals in California. Assaults against nurses in California decreased 59% on psychiatric units and 48% in emergency departments.

## **During violent incidents.**

Researchers have been examining the effects of interventions, such as de-escalation training, that can help nurses during the precipitation of a violent event. An example of an intervention affecting outcomes during violent situations against nurses is de-escalation. In a quasi-experimental, pre and posttest trial at three midwestern emergency departments in the United States (N=315), Gillespie, Gates, and Mentzel (2012) studied the effects of de-escalation

8

training in medical staff. The intervention included education about techniques to use during violent events that would de-escalate potential offenders. The posttest revealed significant increase in knowledge about de-escalation in those who completed the training. Using this information about de-escalation decreased the severity or level of impact that these violent offenses can afflict. Their findings were consistent of those of Ferrarra, Davis-Ajami, Warren, and Murphy (2017) who used a convenience sampling of 34 nurses in a pre and posttest study design. The researchers examined nurses' confidence levels during violent events before and after a de-escalation training seminar. After the de-escalation training, nurses reported higher levels of confidence about capabilities of handling these events safely.

Researchers have also used simulation in interventions to affect outcomes. In a quasi experimental study Brown and colleagues. (2018) studied, a sample of hospital staff (N=136) and used simulation training scenarios about how to react in violent scenarios. These scenarios included violent events ranging from verbal assault to an active shooter situation. Researchers administered a pre and posttest to examine how prepared these participants felt if they were to face violence. A 56% increase in confidence levels occurred after the simulation training had taken place. This dramatic increase in preparedness is in accordance with findings that simulation is a method in which nurses can be trained to appropriately respond to a variety of violent events, ranging from verbal aggression to the use of a weapon to cause harm.

Finally, researchers examined the effectiveness of staff education about hospital resources for responding to violent events. Kaur and Kaur (2015) showed that only 14% of hospital nurses (N=100) actively utilized the hospital resources when violent events occurred. Gates, Gillespie, Smith, Rode, Kowalenko, and Smith (2011) utilized focus groups (N=96) in a two phase study. In the first phase the researchers asked the focus groups, made up of hospital

employees and managers, to come up with appropriate interventions to use when dealing with violent patients. In the second phase the researchers evaluated the interventions using the Haddon matrix, a guide for decreasing the likelihood of injury in healthcare. Resources, such as hospital security and isolation rooms, recommended by nurses, were all in accordance with the Haddon matrix and were, therefore, considered feasible and acceptable for use in the healthcare setting. By utilizing these resources, nurses have the capability to appropriately react to violent situations and patients and keep themselves and others safe.

## After violent incidents.

Workplace violence has consequences on the well-being of nurses. Violent incidents have led nurses to report missing work, consider leaving the career, or experience some amount of posttraumatic symptoms. These posttraumatic symptoms include nightmares, unavoidable memories or thoughts of the incident, on guard, watchful, or easily startled, feeling numb or detached from others, and avoidance of situations that are similar to the violent event (Rosenthal et al., 2018). A study performed in the emergency department performed by Hassankhani, Parizad, Gacki-Smith, Rahmani, and Mohammadi (2017) found that workplace violence can negatively affect nurses' lives and patient care. The researchers broadly coined this finding as "suffering nurses" and was a main theme of the study (Hassankhani, et.al., 2017). This was further divided into subcategories including mental health risks, physical health risks, threats to professional integrity, and threats to social integrity.

Nurses have responded with suggestions on how to decrease workplace violence. These include in-service training, pre-placement education, competent interaction, adequate staffing, and responsive action in violent events (Lantta, Anttila, Kontio, Adams & Välimäki, 2016).

Nurses' responses additionally expressed a need for formal debriefing following a violent event,

and a more supportive work environment to help decrease the prevalence of workplace violence (Stevenson et al., 2015).

# Critical Appraisal and Synthesis of the Evidence

The methods used to compile studies were carefully refined to yield the highest quality studies available to the researchers. The researchers were limited to academic journals that were accessible through The University of Akron libraries. During the research process, a scant number of articles were found making thorough investigation of information difficult for generalization purposes. All but five studies used convenience samples in their design which may skew the results. Convenience samples may have more biases within the results because they require voluntary participation and only consider a specified population of people.

There are other limitations within and across the studies that require examination. Many of the studies, Adams, Knowles, Irons, Roddy, and Ashworth (2017), Casteel and colleagues (2009), Angland, Dowling & Casey (2014), Ferrarra, Davis-Ajami, Warren, and Murphy (2017), Gates, Gillespie, Smith, Rode, Kowalenko, and Smith (2011), Hassankhani, Parizad, Gacki-Smith, Rahmani, and Mohammadi (2017), Lantta, Anttila, Kontio, Adams & Välimäki (2016), Lanza, Rierdan, Forester, and Zeiss (2009), and Nau, Halfens, Needham, and Dassen (2009), have a small population size of under 100 participants. With a small population size, it is more difficult to generalize findings to all nurses or all healthcare facilities and make a valid argument to initiate change to policy or procedure without repeating the study with more participants.

A limitation that was found across studies was that many of the studies used different units of the hospital in their research. Hospital wide research was done by Brown and colleagues (2018), Babaei and colleagues (2018), Speroni, Fitch, Dawson, Dugan, and Atherton (2014), Jiao

and colleagues (2015), and Arnetz and colleagues (2014). On the other hand, Gillespie, Gates, and Mentzel (2012), Ferrarra, Davis-Ajami, Warren, and Murphy (2017), Adams, Knowles, Irons, Roddy, and Ashworth (2017), Casteel and colleagues (2009), Lanza, Rierdan, Forester, and Zeiss (2009), Lantta, Anttila, Kontio, Adams & Välimäki (2016), Angland, Dowling & Casey (2014), Stevenson, Jack, O'Mara, Legris (2015), and Hassankhani, Parizad, Gacki-Smith, Rahmani, and Mohammadi (2017) focused their research in one specific unit of the hospital. The studies referenced in this systematic review used a combination of inpatient psychiatric, medical surgical, and emergency departments. Methods that work for one type of unit may not work for another making studies done within one unit difficult to generalize to all nursing violence and interventions.

In the area of violence against nurses, science is greatly lacking in research on how to make nursing a safer occupation. The research for interventions to protect nurses from violence are in the beginning stages and need repeat studies and more studies with large populations before real changes can be seen within the healthcare system as a whole. Even hospital systems who are evaluating the need for violence intervention training are doing so on a volunteer basis and therefore have skewed results compared to what would happen if the entire system was to complete required training. In order to fully understand and make the most impactful changes on nursing violence, research will have to be expanded and more interventions will need to be tested.

#### Recommendations

Research has demonstrated the effectiveness of education, establishing protocols, and debriefing after a violent event in reducing the incidence of violent events and reducing injury during violent events. Future studies should focus on larger studies that use a variety of hospital

units and require all nurses and other healthcare professionals to participate. Doing so will make the research more broadly applicable and can aid in the removal of violence from nursing that has proven to be plaguing the occupation for far too long. In addition, laws and policies should be put into place as these seem to be the most successful interventions in nurses perception of their ability to handle violent events.

# **Systematic Review Table of Evidence**

APA	Purpose statement.	Clinical Practice	Design. Level of	Findings,	Practice &	Limitations of
formatted	Research question.	Setting, Sampling	Evidence.	Conclusion	Research	Findings
reference		methods, Sample			Implications	
		size.				
1.Gillespie,	Purpose Statement:	Setting:	Design:	Nurses who	Nurses who	The pretest and
G.L., Gates,	"evaluate the	3 Midwestern	Quasi-	engaged in the	have a higher	posttest were
D.M., &	achievement of	U.S. EDs	experimental	workplace	level of	identical and
Mentzel, T.	learning outcomes		with pre and	violence	education	although the
(2012). An	with a sample of		post-tests	training saw a	about	participants
educational	ED employees." (p.	Sampling	administered	steep increase in	workplace	were not given
program to	326)	Method: All		their post-test	violence in	the answers to
prevent,		employees were		score, showing	healthcare can	the pretest, they
manage,		required to	Level of	a high level of	carry that	may have
and recover	Research Question:	complete the	Evidence: 3	knowledge	information	discussed the
from	Is web-based	training unless		attainment from	with them into	answers
workplace	learning effective	on sick or		the training	practice and	amongst
violence.	for teaching	maternity leave		seminar.	can help to	themselves.
Advanced	healthcare				prevent and	Also, the pre
Emergency	professionals about				appropriately	and posttests
Nursing	violence in the	Sample Size: 315			react when	were given
Journal,	workplace?	employees			violence	online and the
34(4), 325-		(mostly			occurs.	participant
332. doi:		unlicensed				could have
10.1097/T		assistive				used outside
ME.0b013e		personnel or				sources on
318267b8a9		nurses)				them.

	<b>_</b>	L.			L	
	Purpose Statement:	_	-	The confidence		Small sample
•		66-bed medical-	group, pre and			size makes it
·	effectiveness of de-	_	post-test design	r	1	difficult to
	· ·	large suburban		compared to the		generalize
Warren, J.I.,	on medical-surgical	medical center in		confidence level	nurse's	results for
& Murphy,	nurses' confidence	Maryland that	Level of	in the pretest for	confidence	nurses overall.
, ,		frequently used	Evidence: 3	handling	level when	The results
De-	agitated patients"	their behavioral		agitated or	working with	were rather
escalation		health alert		violent patients	r	unit-specific
training to		system		increased	may become	rather than in
medical-	Research Question:			significantly in	violent. Using	general for
surgical	Does de-escalation			the medical-	this training	nurses.
nurses in	training increase	Sampling		surgical nurses	may help	
the acute	the confidence level	Method:		who engaged in	nurses better	
care setting.	of medical-surgical	Convenience		the de-	handle violent	
Issues in	nurses when	sampling		escalation	events.	
Mental	dealing with			training.		
Health	agitated patients?					
Nursing,		Sample Size: 34				
38(9), 742-		full or part-time				
749. doi:		nurses working				
10.1080/01		day or night shift				
612840.201						
7.1335363						
3. Adams. J.	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Two	Design: A	education led to	Education	More training
(2017).	-	adult medical	before and after			is needed to
		wards at a	study with an			give staff
_	clinical education		educational		<b>f</b>	members
		in Western	intervention			resources to
	* *	Australia			-	handle violent
	violence and to	1 100011111			1 *	situations.
	reduce the		Level of		nurses'	51 <b>044</b> 01010
		Sampling	Evidence: 3		confidence and	
		Method:			decreasing	
recurrence		Convenience			occurence of	
of		sample			violent	
	Research Question:	r			situations.	
-	Does clinically					
	· ·	Sample Size: 65				
	intervention reduce	-				
	violence and effect					
		patient care				
0.		assistants				
(0).						
		l	l	I	į.	l

<b>4.</b> Gates. D	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Three	Design:	The intervention	Hospitals	Findings may
Gillespie,	"	_	Analyzed focus		-	not be
G., Smith,	"to determine		groups	requested by the	-	generalized to
C., Rode,J.,		urban and one	BF			all hospitals
	strategies being	suburban)		were deemed	the	and
T. and	planned	, and the second second	Level of		interventions	departments
Smith, B.	for intervention		Evidence: 4	feasible making		and further
	were relevant,	Sampling		them more	evaluate the	studies need to
action	acceptable,	Method:		likely to be	effectiveness	be conducted in
research to	-	Stratified random		tested and put	and put new	order to close
plan a	comprehensive."	sampling		into place.	policies and	the education
violence	Strategies include:				procedures into	gap and
prevention	"better				place.	implement
program for	communication,	Sample Size: 96				policies and
emergency	enhanced and more	participants				procedures.
departments	frequent training	consisting of 24				
. Journal of	for staff and man-	in management,				
Emergency	agers, staffing	47 employees,				
Nursing,	issues, and	and 25 patients				
37(1).	separating patients					
	early when					
	obvious aggression					
	is occurring."					
	Research Question:					
	What strategies do					
	healthcare					
	professionals					
	working in EDs and					
	patients being					
	treated in the ED					
	think would be					
	helpful to					
	implement.					

5 Kaur R	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Guru	Design: Survey	All nurses	Nursing	Study does not
& Kaur, A.	"A descriptive	Nanak Dev	Design. But vey		education	list resources
(2015).	study to assess the	Hospital				available to
Prevalence	prevalence of	Tiospitai	Level of	assault and 99%		
	F					help prevent
of violence	violence by		Evidence: 4	had experienced		violence that
towards	patients and their	Sampling			for and prevent	
staff nurses	relatives towards	Method:		Many nurses	violence and	for policy
and their	staff nurses with	Convenient		reported having	promote safety.	implementation
knowledge	respect to their	sampling		minimal	Zero tolerance	•
and	knowledge and			knowledge of	policies should	
utilization	utilization of safety			safety resources	be put into	
of safety	resources in a	Sample Size: 100		and even less	place.	
resources.	selected hospital of	staff nurses		actually used		
Asian	Amritsar, Punjab."			those resources.		
Journal of						
Nursing						
Education	Research Question:					
& Research	Are nurses aware of					
5(1). DOI:	safety resources					
10.5958/23	regarding violence					
49-	among staff nurses?					
2996.2015.0	•					
0027.0						

<b>6.</b> Gates, D.,	Purpose Statement:	Setting:	Design: Cross-	Violence in the	Prevention and	Cross sectional
Gillespie,	"The purpose of	Emergency	sectional	workplace is a	management of	studies do not
G. and	this study was to	Nurses		problem for ED	violence	allow for cause
Succop, P.	examine how	Association in		nurses and can	should be a	and effect
(2011).	violence from	the United States	Level of	lead to distress,	priority in	relationships to
Violence	patients and visitors		Evidence: 5	decreased	healthcare	be identified.
against	is related to			productivity,	settings. Future	There is also no
nurses and	emergency	Sampling		and quality of	research should	way to
its impact	department (ED)	Method:		care.	be conducted	consistently
on stress	nurses' work	Randomized			to find the	rate severity of
and	productivity and	survey			most effective	violence which
productivity	symptoms of post-				policies and	could
. Nursing	traumatic stress				procedures.	contribute to
Economic\$,	disorder (PTSD)."	Sample Size: 264				level of
29(2), 59-		nurses				stress/PTSD.
67.						
Retrieved	Research Question:					
from	What is the effect					
http://web.b	of violent events on					
.ebscohost.c	healthcare workers					
om.ezproxy.	ability to provide					
uakron.edu:	safe and					
2048/ehost/	compassionate					
pdfviewer/p	care?					
dfviewer?vi						
<u>d=4&amp;sid=0</u>						
bb10ada-						
e5bf-4d03-						
accc-						
9393399c14						
a4%40sessi						
onmgr104						

7. Casteel, Purpose Statement: Setting:  C., Peek- "This study Asa, C., examines changes Nocera, M., in violent event Blando, J., employees before Goldmacher and after enactment , S., of the California Harrison, R. Hospital Setting: Design: 9-year pre-post study be considered least hospitals, measure an effective way should hospital to increase consider years after) safety to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Level of Evidence: 3  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure an effective way should hospital compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure an effective way should hospital compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure an effective way should hospital compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure be considered least hospitals, measure compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure be considered least hospitals, measure compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure be considered least hospitals, measure compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure compliance to putting laws the legislature and policies in workers.  Policy should be considered least hospitals, measure
Asa, C., examines changes Nocera, M., in violent event Smith, J. B., rates to hospital Blando, J., employees before Goldmacher and after enactment, S., of the California Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and Harrison, R. Ecurity Act in  (3 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (3 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (4 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (5 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (5 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (6 years after)  (6 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (6 years after)  (7 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (8 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (8 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (8 years before mandate and 6 years after)  (9 years
Nocera, M., in violent event psychiatric units Smith, J. B., rates to hospital of trauma and Blando, J., employees before Goldmacher and after enactment psychiatric units of the California of trauma and putting laws after) workers.  Level of Evidence: 3  California and Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and (2009). Security Act in counties with at mandate and 6 to increase safety to putting laws the legislature and policies in place to make a direct decrease cause and violent effect incidents in relationship.
Smith, J. B., rates to hospital of trauma and Blando, J., employees before Goldmacher and after enactment , S., of the California California and Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and (2009). Security Act in California of trauma and years after) safety to healthcare and policies in and unable to workers. place to make a direct decrease cause and violent effect incidents in relationship.
Blando, J., employees before general acute Goldmacher and after enactment, S., of the California California and Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and New Jersey (2009). Security Act in counties with at healthcare workers. healthcare workers. healthcare workers.  Level of bridge and policies in and unable to make a direct decrease cause and violent effect incidents in relationship.
Goldmacher and after enactment care hospitals in , S., of the California California and Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and New Jersey (2009). Security Act in counties with at workers. place to make a direct decrease cause and violent effect incidents in relationship.
, S., of the California California and Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and (2009). Security Act in California and California and Level of Evidence: 3 violent effect incidents in relationship.
Harrison, R. Hospital Safety and New Jersey (2009). Security Act in counties with at Evidence: 3 violent effect incidents in relationship.
(2009). Security Act in counties with at incidents in relationship.
Hognital 1005 " hognitals
Hospital 1995." least 250,000 hospitals.
employee residents. Further
assault rates research should
before and Research Question: be conducted
after Is policy an Sampling to determine
enactment effective method to Method: compliance
of the increase safety to Convenience and
california healthcare workers? Sampling effectiveness.
hospital
safety and
security act. Sample Size: 95
Annals of hospitals in
Epidemiolo california and 46
gy, 19(2). hospitals in New
doi:10.1016 Jersey
/j.annepide
m.2008.10.
009

8. Lanza,	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Acute	Design: 20	The	Training of	Single-sample
M.L.,	To test the efficacy	inpatient	week pretest	posttreatment	staff and	design study
Rierdan, J.,	of a certain	psychiatry unit	posttest study	phase showed a	patients	(could be
Forester, L.,	intervention to			41% decrease in	simultaneously	strengthened
& Zeiss,	decrease violence			violent events	can lead to a	with a control
R.A.	against nurses,	Sampling	Level of	from the	dramatic	group); could
(2009).	called the Violence	Method:	Evidence: 3	beginning of the	decrease in	be argued that
Reducing	Prevention	Convenience		treatment for all	violent events	the positive
violence	Community	sampling		shifts combined.	in the acute	outcome of the
against	Meeting treatment.				care setting and	VPCM may
nurses: the					should be	only be due to
violence		Sample Size: 21			evaluated as a	an increased
prevention	Research Question:	nurses (13			possible	contact with
community	Is the VPCM	female, 8 male);			implementatio	staff members
meeting.	treatment effective	the patients on			n required of	for the patients
Issues in	in reducing patient	the psychiatry			all hospital	rather than the
Mental	violence against	unit were also			units.	actual training
Health	nurses?	subjected to the				itself. Small
Nursing.		VPCM				sample size and
30(12), 745-						the use of only
750. doi:						one unit in the
10.3109/01						hospital makes
6128409031						it difficult to
77472						generalize to
						the entire
						nursing
						population.

9. Brown,	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Summa	Design: Pretest	After	The enABLE	The simulation
R.G.,	-	Health System in	- C		model, a	center that was
Anderson,	the effectiveness of	-	posticst study	the post-test, the	1	
S., Brunt,	the enABLE	ikion, omo			simulation,	enABLE model
B., Enos,	model", the		Level of	participants that		was not
T., Blough,	training model used	Sample Method:	Evidence: 3	_	training, and	specified to
K., Kropp,	in the case study to	-		more capable of	U .	•
D. (2018).	better prepare for	sampling		-	style training is	-
Workplace	violence in the	1 0		• •	effective in	units and
violence	nursing arena (p.			violent	teaching	hospital rooms
training	60).			workplace	healthcare	can vary from
using				situation	workers about	floor to floor,
simulation:		Sample Size:		increased	workplace	so the
a	Research Question:	196 healthcare		dramatically	violence and	healthcare
combination	Is the enABLE	workers		compared to the	help them to	workers did not
of	model an effective	participated in		pretest.	feel more	have a chance
classroom	model to prepare	the pre-test and			prepared to	to practice in
learning,	nurses for violent	136 participated			handle violent	the exact layout
simulation,	events in order to	in the post-test			situations in	that they work
and hands-	proper handle				the future.	in. Also,
on defense	them?					participants
techniques						came from a
improves						variety of
preparednes						healthcare
s. American						backgrounds,
Journal of						rather than just
Nursing.						being nurses,
118 (10),						which is a
56-68.						limitation since
doi:10.1097						this research is
/01.NAJ.00						for violence
00546382.1						against nurses
2045.54						only.

10.	Purpose Statement:	Setting: AOSI	Design: Survey	Assessing both	There is	There is a
Rosenthal,	•	and Hospital	2 Joigin Bui voy	verbal and	evidence that	possibility of
	accurate	Administration		physical	improvement	bias in the
	measurement of		Level of	violence against	-	
A. and	physical and verbal		Evidence: 4	healthcare	the area of	surveys
	assault directed	Sampling		workers should		collected in this
	against our	Method: Cross-		be a	aggressive acts	research. The
	workforce.	sectional		standardized	in the	bias results
prevalence	Standard methods	survey/Convenie		precaution in	healthcare line	from those
of physical	of reporting existed	nce sampling		the healthcare	of work. There	being affected
and verbal	but demonstrated a			field via other	is a need for	by violence
violence	low incidence and			professionals.	healthcare	having a
toward	did not assess the	Sample Size:			organization to	greater
healthcare	prevalence,	2005 physicians,			actively track	likelihood of
workers.	location, or type of	445 nurse			incidences with	responding to
Psychosoma	healthcare worker	practitioners or			appropriate	this specific
tics Journal.	involved, or the	physician			reporting.	survey on
1-7.	resulting impact of	assistants, 2455				violence. This
doi:10.1016	incidents without	nurses, and 52				bias could have
/j.psym.201	physical injury in a	social workers				created
8.04.007.	standardized					numbers to
	fashion." (p. 2)					seem higher
						than in reality.
	Research Question:					
	Will a safety and					
	quality					
	improvement					
	project be able to					
	fully assess the					
	incidence and					
	impact of					
	aggression against					
	healthcare workers					
	in an academic,					
	tertiary care, and					
	urban hospital?					

*11. Nau,	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Nursing	Design:	The scores that	The de-	The limited
J., Halfens,	"The aim of this	school in	Pretest and	the student	escalation	number of
R.,	study was to test	Germany	posttest between	received based	training is	participants
Needham,	the influence of 24		two groups	on their	effective in	caused there
I., &	h of training on		design	performance on	nursing	not to be a
Dassen, T.	students'	Sampling		scenario A	students in	control group.
(2009).	performance in de-	Method:		increase from a	preparing them	Also, the
Student	escalation	Convenience	Level of	2.5 to a 3.7 and	for violent	presence of a
nurses' de-	aggressive	sample	Evidence: 3	the scores in	situations and	researcher in
escalation	situations." (pg.			scenario B	may be able to	the room and
of patient	702)			increased from	help other	making
aggression:		Sample Size:		a 3.01 to a 3.61	healthcare	observations
a pretest-		76 nursing		after training. A	workers as	may have
posttest	Research Question:	students		rating of 3 is	well.	caused some of
intervention	How does de-			"neither good		the participants
study.	escalation training			nor bad" and a		to be distracted.
Internationa	affect nursing			rating of 4 is		
l Journal of	students in their			"good		
Nursing	ability to handle			performance".		
Studies. 47,	aggressive					
699-708.	situations?					
doi:10.1016						
/j.ijnurstu.2						
009.11.011						

	_ ~	To .	<b>.</b>	L	L .	l. a
	Purpose Statement:	_	Design: Survey			After the
	"The purpose of	Inpatient		reduction in	nationwide	implementation
	this article is to	clinicians		assault against	efforts to	of the S.A.F.E.
*	share and discuss		Level of	nursing staff	standardize	intervention
	the quality		Evidence: 4		assessments	tool can lead to
Etheredge,	improvement	Sampling		this quality	and	a heightened
M. L.,	program that was	Method: Cross-			interventions	awareness, thus
Hopcia, K.,	developed and	sectional		project. When	when dealing	creating an
DeLisle, L.,	implemented to	survey/Convenie		staff members	with unsafe	increase in
Smith, C.,	address workplace	nce sampling		are educated on	measures	event reporting.
Fagan, M.,	violence." (p. 2)			the proper	towards staff	There is also
Mulloy, D.,				knowledge,	members needs	difficulty in
Lewis-		Sample Size:		skills, and	to be	comparing
O'Connor,	Research Question:	1,866 employees		resources that	improved.	assault data
A., Higgins,	Does the mnemonic	(46% were		unsafe	Continual	because how
M., and	"S.A.F.E." which	female and 50%		situations can	research to be	assault and
Shellman,	stands for Spot a	male) and 4% did		be de-escalated	able to identify	reporting is
A. (2018).	threat, Assess the	not answer,		properly. This	appropriate	defined differs.
An	risk, Formulate a	sixty-one percent		approach is	approaches to	A lack in the
interdiscipli	plan, and Evaluate	identified their		more on the side	prevention	ability to
nary clinical	the outcome	role (42% nurse,		of prevention	violent acts	compare and
approach	reinforce the active	7% physician,		rather than	towards	cross reference
for	process needed to	2% social work,		intervention	clinical staff is	information on
workplace	prevent violence?	10% other) and		when an unsafe	a necessity to	violent acts was
violence		39% did not		event actually	nationally	limited because
prevention		identify their role		occurs, thus	reduce the rate	of the difficulty
and injury				reducing injury	of injuries.	to categorize
reduction in				overall.		data and
the general						identify
hospital						common
setting:						themes.
S.A.F.E						
response.						
Journal of						
the						
American						
Psychiatric						
Nurses						
Association,						
00(0), 1-9.						
doi:						
10.1177/10						
7839031878						
8944.						
	L	I	L	1		1

13. Babaei,	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Nine	Design: Quasi-	The	Preventative	The findings
N.,	-	heath care	experimental	phenomenon		are based solely
	aims to assess the	centers with	with face-to-	about violence	to be enforced	on participants'
A., Avazeh,	perception of	intensive care	face interview	being prevalent	to help with the	reporting.
M.,	nurses, patients and	units (28),	questionnaires	in the clinical	severity of	Convenience
Mohajjelag	their	emergency wards		setting proves	workplace	sampling can
hdam, A.,	relatives regarding	(9), and medical		true. The way it	violence.	complicate the
Zamanzade	the nature of	and surgical	Level of	is perceived is	Measures such	validity of the
h, V., &	workplace violence	wards (68) in	Evidence: 3	different	as training	findings. Also,
Dadashzade	against nurses." (p.	affiliation to the		between nurses,	programs,	participants
h, A.	1)	Tabriz University		patients, and	general	may have
(2018).		of Medical		their relatives.	training,	lacked
Determine		Sciences		For example,	regulating	reporting all
and	Research Question:			verbal violence	relative	violent acts that
compare the	Do nursing staff			is most common	presence,	they have been
viewpoints	members and	Sampling		for nurses but	improved	subject to like
of nurses,	patients properly	Method:		abuse is most	safety, and	sexual or
patients and	know how to	Convenience		common for	more. This	cultural abuse.
their	identify, examine,	sampling		patients and	creates need	
1 . 4 4 .	and compare			their relatives.	for those such	
workplace	workplace				as nursing	
violence	violence?	Sample Size: 61			managers and	
against		male and 312			policy makers	
nurses.		female nurses,			to collaborate	
Journal of		150 male and			and tackle this	
Nursing		234 female			epidemic.	
Managemen		patients, 153			Further studies	
t, 26(5),		male and 223			are needed to	
563–570.		female patient			address low	
doi:10.1111		relatives			reports of	
/jonm.1258					specific acts of	
3					violence like	
					sexual and	
					cultural	
					violence.	

*14. Purpose Statement: Setting: Multi- Design: Survey 76% of hospital Preven	
Speroni, K. 'Nurses researched hospital system system nurses de-esca	
	,
G., Fitch, WPV in their located in an experienced training	_
T., Dawson, hospital system to urban/communit Level of some form of progra	
E., Dugan, address the y setting in the Evidence: 4 violence by needed	
L., & following: mid-Atlantic either a patient decrea	
	nces of workplace
M. (2014). hospital WPV United States the past year. violent	
	t nurses. have been more
and Cost of nurses by patient reasons for Progra	
Nurse and visitor Sampling underreporting should	*
	led more survey. As well
Violence of verbal sectional physical injury, so to the	
	ons more those that
by Hospital violence nce sampling reporting prone to	ř *
Patients or experienced, WPV processes, and workpl	
Patient causes, causes the violence	
Visitors. and characteristics Sample Size: understanding emerge	ency violence in
JEN: of the most serious Approximately that violence nurses.	•
Journal of type of WPV over a 5,000 nurses comes in the job Report	=
	res also findings were
Nursing, WPV reporting white female need to	3
40(3), 218– barriers, types of registered nurses, enhance	
	to ensure urban/communi
	ontinually ty hospital
/j.jen.2013. escalation training than 10 years of occurs	setting, it may
05.014 programs, and experience regards	less of be difficult to
WPV treatment the out	<u>~</u>
and indemnity	nurses in other
charges for	settings.
incidences reported	
by nurses." (p. 219)	
Research Question:	
Over the past year,	
how did nurses	
experience,	
interpret, and	
respond to violence	
and what barriers	
were discovered	
along the way?	

ala et 🚝 🔻	ln c	g F5	h :	h.,	h	TT . 37
	Purpose Statement:	_	Design: Five	Nurses	-	Using Nurse
T., Anttila,	1	closed	focus groups		had	Managers as
M., Kontio,	to explore nurses'	psychiatric	were created	violent events	s <b>uggestions</b>	contact
R., Adams,	-	inpatient wards	_			individuals for
C. E., &	violent events in	in one Finnish		into three	Γ	choosing
Välimäki,	psychiatric wards,	hospital district.	in each. The	categories: s <u>igns</u>	violence could	participants
M. (2016).	give insight into		questions were	of violence,	be more	could have
Violent	ward climates and		open-ended and	targets of		altered results.
events,	examine		based on	violence, and	These fell into	1
ward	suggestions for		descriptions of	<u>responsive</u>	four	also included
climate and	violence	Sampling	violent events,	action in violent	categories: in-	nurses working
ideas for	prevention."	Method: Focus	and what	events.	service	with each other,
violence		groups and open-	interventions		training,	so this could
prevention		ended questions .	would be		competent	also have
among	Research Question:	Focus groups	effective.		interaction,	affected the
nurses in	Does understanding	were tape-			presence of	data.
psychiatric	aggravating factors	recorded,			nurses and	
wards: A	of WPV decrease	transcribed, and	Level of		security	
focus group	the incidence of	further analyzed	Evidence: 4		improvements	
study.	WPV? When it				•	
Internationa	comes to de-					
l Journal of	escalation, is the	Sampling Size:				
Mental	focus on nursing	22 nurses			De-escalation	
Health	competence more	working on			techniques	
Systems, 10(	effective than the	target wards			taught in	
1).	focus on physical	during the time			Finland in the	
doi:10.1186		period of August			1990s have not	
/s13033-		27-September 4,			resulted in	
016-0059-5		2012).			much change	
		Participants had			of violence.	
		to be registered			Instead , de-	
		or licensed			escalation	
		clinical nurses,			should focus	
		have a			less on	
		permanent/long-			physical	
		term position, be			restraint and	
		aged older than			more on the	
		18. 6 men and 16			competency of	
		women. Nine			the nurse and	
		worked on the			understanding	
		acute admissions			patient	
		ward, six on the			conditions	
		acute forensics			Conditions	
		ward, and seven				
		on the treatment				
		and rehab ward.				
		and renab ward.				

*16 Goo M	Purpose Statement:	Catting	Design: A	Violence	"Preplacemen	Participents
Ning N, Li	•	Heilongjiang, a	•		_	were only
•	prevalence of	province in				_
,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		88	should focus	selected from
-	workplace violence	nortneast China	_	towards nurses	_	seven hospitals
	that Chinese nurses		questionnaire,		groups to	in the same
C	have encountered,		and were told to			province.
	identify risk factors		complete and		workplace	
	and provide a bases		return it	violence occurs		
-		Sampling	anonymously.			Recall bias can
	interventions"	Method:			awareness	occur when
sectional		From July to		`		participants
survey.		September 2013,		-	Γ	have to report
	Research Question:		individually		r v	after an
2015;5:	Can identifying and	randomly	surveyed		is necessary to	incidence
e006719.	understanding risk	selected from		(7.8%).	develop	
doi:10.1136	factors provide a	various hospital	Level of	inexperienced	effective	
/ bmjopen-	sufficient enough	departments:	Evidence: 4	nurses were	control	
2014-	basis for creating	internal		more likely to	strategies at	
006719J	proper	medicine,		report physical	individual,	
	interventions for	surgery,		or non-physical	hospital and	
	decreasing WPV in	gynecology,		violence	national	
	the future?	obstetrics,		compared with	levels."	
		pediatrics, ICU,		experienced		
		and the ER		nurses.		
		Sampling Size:		Participants		
		588 nurses		explained that		
				financial		
				burdens,		
				unsatisfactory		
				treatment		
				outcomes and		
				miscommunicat		
				ions as factors		
				that can lead to		
				WPV.		
				** 1 · V .		

*17. Arnetz,	Purpose Statement:	Setting: An	Design:	Most of the	Understandin	Participants
J. E.,	"To explore	American	Qualitative			were taken
Hamblin,	catalysts to, and	hospital system	content analysis	reported by	factors of	from the same
L.,	circumstances	comprising of		nurses (39.8%),	patient	hospital
Essenmache	surrounding,	seven separate		security staff	violence in	system.
r, L., Upfal,	patient-to-worker	hospitals	Level of	(15.9%) and	hospitals	
M. J., Ager,	violent incidents		Evidence: 4	nurse assistants	informs	
J., &	recorded by			(14.4%). <u>Three</u>	administrators	
Luborsky,	employees in a	Sampling		themes were	about the best	
M. (2014).	hospital system	Method: a		found from	ways to apply	
Understandi	database."	qualitative		analyzing the	interventions.	
ng patient-		content analysis		data: Patient	Hospital staff	
to-worker		was conducted		<u>behavior,</u>	should and can	
violence in	Research Question:	on the total		patient care and	be trained to	
hospitals: A	How can the	sample of 214		<u>situational</u>	recognize	
qualitative	understanding of	type II incidents		events. Factors	specific risk	
analysis of	patient behavior,	reported by		relating to	factors for	
documented	patient care and	employees. All		patient behavior	violence.	
incident	situational events	personal		included		
reports.	lead to a better	identifiers were		cognitive		
Journal of	prediction of WPV?	removed prior to		impairments		
Advanced		the analysis.		and demands to		
Nursing,71(				leave. Patient		
2), 338-348.				care factors		
doi:10.1111		Sampling Size: A		included needle		
/jan.12494		total sample of		usage, patient		
		214 type II		pain/discomfort,		
		incidents		and physical		
		documented in		transfers of		
		2011 by		patients.		
		employees of an		Situational		
		American		factors included		
		hospital system		the use of		
		with a		restraints,		
		centralized		transitions in		
		reporting system.		the care process,		
		The hospital		intervening to		
		system		protect patients		
		comprised of		and/or staff, and		
		seen hospitals:		redirecting		
		one pediatric,		patients.		
		one rehab, and				
		five speciality				
		hospitals.				

*18.	Purpose Statement:	Setting: An ED	Design: Survey	The	Nurses suggest	
	"To explore nurses"	_	S 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	participating	implementing	
Dowling,	perceptions of the			nurses	strategies to	
M., &	factors that cause		Level of	expressed that	indicate	
Casey, D.	violence and	Sampling	Evidence: 4	waiting times	waiting times	
(2014).	aggression in an	Method:		and lack of	could be	
Nurses'	Irish ED."	Purposive		communication	useful.	
perceptions		sampling.		as contributing	Communicatio	
of the		Criteria for		factors of	n training for	
factors	Research Question:	selection		aggression.	ED staff was	
which cause	Does understanding	included nurses		Most of the	also	
violence	nurses' perceptions	with a minimum		recommendatio	recommended.	
and	of violence help to	of 6 months		ns from the		
aggression	create better	experience in the		nurses are		
in the		ED and		related to		
emergency	decreasing WPV in	involvement in a		communication.		
department:	the future?	violent incident				
A		within the				
qualitative		previous month		Nurses		
study.				<u>explained</u>		
Internationa				different factors		
l		Sampling Size:		that cause		
Emergency		12 nurses		violence and		
Nursing,22(				aggression. This		
3), 134-139.				communication		
doi:10.1016				was grouped		
/j.ienj.2013.				into two		
09.005				categories:		
				<u>environmental</u>		
				<u>and</u> 		
				communication		
				<u>factors</u>		

*19.	Purpose Statement:	Setting: Within	Design:	Findings/Concl	If we	Limited setting,
Stevenson,		Canada's	Individual		understand the	only in Iran
K. N., Jack,		publicly-funded	interviews		experiences	
S. M.,	To explore	healthcare		33 specific	and	
O'Mara, L.,	psychiatric nurses'	program. From		experienced	perspectives of	
& Legris, J.	experiences with	one acute care	Level of	with patient	nurses who	
(2015).	violent events in	psychiatric	Evidence: 4	violence were	have	
Registered	acute inpatient	inpatient unit in		analyzed. Many	experienced	
nurses'	psychiatric setting.	South Central		nurses described		
experiences		Ontario.		patient violence	acute inpatient	
of patient				as "part of the	psychiatric	
violence on	Research Question:			job". Some	setting, we can	
acute care		Sampling		nurses	have a greater	
psychiatric		Method: Data		-	understanding	
inpatient	Does gaining	was collected		conflict between	_	
units: An	insight to nurses'	over nine months		U	violence	
•	perspectives on	in 2013, by		patient and	overall. This	
descriptive	WPV help to	conducting		one's duty to	would lead to a	
•	develop better	individual			greater	
Nursing,14(	interventions for	interviews.		_	development of	•
1).	preventing WPV?			incidents. The	interventions	
doi:10.1186				nurses	of how to	
/s12912-		Sampling Size:		* *	prevent and	
015-0079-5		Nurses who have		need for more	respond to	
		an RN licensure,		education,	violent	
		who are fluent in		debriefing	patients.	
		English, who are		following an		
		currently/previou		incident, and a		
		sly employed in		supportive		
		psychiatric adult		work		
		inpatient care,		environment to		
		and who have		prevent future		
		experienced any		violence.		
		type of patient				
		violence.				

*20.	Purpose Statement:	Setting:	Design:	Those nurses	What was	Nurses were
Hassankhan	-	Emergency	Qualitative	that have been	discovered in	the only
i, H.,	understand the	departments in	exploratory	subject to	this study can	individuals of
Parizad, N.,	consequences of	give hospitals in	design	workplace	hopefully	the healthcare
Gacki-	WPV for	West and East		violence have	further help	realm
Smith, J.,	emergency nurses	Azerbaijan in		multidimension	healthcare	interviewed and
Rahmani,	in Iran, it is	Iran	Level of	al consequences	managers grasp	other
A., &	essential to explore		Evidence: 4	that they suffer	the	viewpoints of
Mohammad	their perspectives			from. Their	consequences	the emergency
i, E. (2018).	and experiences of	Sampling		physical,	of workplace	department
The	WPV. This study	Method:		mental, social,	violence.	staff were not
consequenc	aimed to investigate	Purposive		and professional	Being able to	considered. A
es of	the aftermath and	sampling		lifestyles can be	properly	full grasp of
violence	consequences of			altered which	identify it leads	how exactly
against	WPV from the			affects all	to appropriate	workplace
nurses	emergency nurse's	Sample Size: 16		aspects of their	ways to	violence
working in	perspective by	emergency		lives. The	implement	touches those
the	using a qualitative	department		consequences	prevention	in the
emergency	research approach."	nurses with the		are not always	programs for	emergency
department:	(p. 21)	requirements of a		addressed in full	_	department
A		minimum of one		creating an even	in emergency	made be better
qualitative		year of work		larger risk for	departments.	understood by
	Research Question:	experience		nurses.	Preventive and	_
Internationa	What are the exact				supportive	staff members.
l	aspects of the				measures are	A study to
	consequences and				the two main	follow up those
_	aftermath of				1	that leave the
20–25.	workplace violence				be focused on	emergency
	for nurses in the				in future	department
/j.ienj.2017.					studies.	after a
07.007	department?					significant
						violent act may
						also be an asset
						to better
						understand the
						severity of
						violent acts
						against nurses.

## References

- Adams, J. (2017). Assessing the effectiveness of clinical education to reduce the frequency and recurrence of workplace violence. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 34(3), 6-16.
- Angland, S., Dowling, M., & Casey, D. (2014). Nurses' perceptions of the factors which cause violence and aggression in the emergency department: A qualitative study. *International Emergency Nursing*, 22(3), 134-139. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2013.09.005
- Arnetz, J. E., Hamblin, L., Essenmacher, L., Upfal, M. J., Ager, J., & Luborsky, M. (2014).

  Understanding patient-to-worker violence in hospitals: A qualitative analysis of documented incident reports. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*,71(2), 338-348.

  doi:10.1111/jan.12494
- Babaei, N., Rahmani, A., Avazeh, M., Mohajjelaghdam, A., Zamanzadeh, V., & Dadashzadeh, A. (2018). Determine and compare the viewpoints of nurses, patients and their relatives to workplace violence against nurses. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 26(5), 563–570. https://doi-org.ezproxy.uakron.edu:2443/10.1111/jonm.12583
- Brown, R.G., Anderson, S., Brunt, B., Enos, T., Blough, K., Kropp, D. (2018). Workplace violence training using simulation: a combination of classroom learning, simulation, and hands-on defense techniques improves preparedness. *American Journal of Nursing*. 118 (10), 56-68. doi:10.1097/01.NAJ.0000546382.12045.54
- Casteel, C., Peek-Asa, C., Nocera, M., Smith, J. B., Blando, J., Goldmacher, S., . . . Harrison, R. (2009). Hospital employee assault rates before and after enactment of the california hospital safety and security act. *Annals of Epidemiology*, *19*(2), 125-133. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2008.10.009

- Ferrara, K.L., Davis-Ajami, M.L., Warren, J.I., & Murphy, L.S. (2017). De-escalation training to medical–surgical nurses in the acute care setting. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 38(9), 742-749. doi: 10.1080/01612840.2017.1335363
- Gates, D., Gillespie, G. and Succop, P. (2011). Violence against nurses and its impact on stress and productivity. *Nursing Economic*\$, 29(2), 59-66.
- Gates, D., Gillespie, G., Smith, C., Rode, J., Kowalenko, T. and Smith, B. (2011). Using action research to plan a violence prevention program for emergency departments. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, *37*(1), 32-39. doi: 10.1016/j.jen.2009.09.013
- Gillespie, G.L., Gates, D.M., & Mentzel, T. (2012). An educational program to prevent, manage, and recover from workplace violence. *Advanced Emergency Nursing Journal*, 34(4), 325-332. doi: 10.1097/TME.0b013e318267b8a9
- Hassankhani, H., Parizad, N., Gacki-Smith, J., Rahmani, A., & Mohammadi, E. (2018). The consequences of violence against nurses working in the emergency department: A qualitative study. *International Emergency Nursing*, 39, 20–25. doi-org.ezproxy.uakron.edu:2443/10.1016/j.ienj.2017.07.007
- Jiao M, Ning N, Li Y, et al. Workplace violence against nurses in Chinese hospitals: a cross-sectional survey. (2015) BMJ Open 2015;5: e006719. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006719
- Kaur, R., & Kaur, A. (2015). Prevalence of violence towards staff nurses and their knowledge and utilization of safety resources. *Asian Journal of Nursing Education & Research*, 5(1), 124-127. doi: 10.5958/2349-2996.2015.00027.0
- Lakatos, B. E., Mitchell, M. T., Askari, R., Etheredge, M. L., Hopcia, K., DeLisle, L.,

- Smith, C., Fagan, M., Mulloy, D., Lewis-O'Connor, A., Higgins, M., and Shellman, A. (2018).

  An interdisciplinary clinical approach for workplace violence prevention and injury reduction in the general hospital setting: S.A.F.E response. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*. 00(0), 1-9. doi: 10.1177/1078390318788944.
- Lantta, T., Anttila, M., Kontio, R., Adams, C. E., & Välimäki, M. (2016). Violent events, ward climate and ideas for violence prevention among nurses in psychiatric wards: A focus group study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 10(1). doi:10.1186/s13033-016-0059-5
- Lanza, M.L., Rierdan, J., Forester, L., & Zeiss, R.A. (2009). Reducing violence against nurses: the violence prevention community meeting. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*. 30(12), 745-750. doi: 10.3109/01612840903177472
- Nau, J., Halfens, R., Needham, I., & Dassen, T. (2009). Student nurses' de-escalation of patient aggression: a pretest-posttest intervention study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 47, 699-708. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.11.011
- Rosenthal, L., Byerly, A., Taylor, A. and Martinovich, Z. (2018). Impact and prevalence of physical and verbal violence toward healthcare workers. *Psychosomatics Journal*. 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.psym.2018.04.007.
- Speroni, K. G., Fitch, T., Dawson, E., Dugan, L., & Atherton, M. (2014). Incidence and cost of nurse workplace violence perpetrated by hospital patients or patient visitors. *JEN: Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 40(3), 218–228. doi: 10.1016/j.jen.2013.05.014
- Stevenson, K. N., Jack, S. M., O'Mara, L., & Legris, J. (2015). Registered nurses' experiences of patient violence on acute care psychiatric inpatient units: An interpretive descriptive study. *BMC Nursing*, 14(1). doi:10.1186/s12912-015-0079-5