

The University of Akron

IdeaExchange@UAkron

The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle

9-1-1994

Faculty Senate Chronicle September 1, 1994

Heather M. Loughney

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/universityofakronfacultysenate>

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you [through this survey](#). Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.



1994-95, No. 1

September 1, 1994

28 Pages

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Academic Calendar	1
Faculty Senate Membership	2
Faculty Senate Executive Committee Members	3
Faculty Senate Committees	3
Senate Members of Other Committees	7
Minutes of the Faculty Senate Meeting of September 1, 1994	8
Appendices to the Minutes of the Meeting of Faculty Senate of September 1, 1994	20
A. Remarks of the President of the University	20
B. Report of the <i>Executive Committee</i>	22
C. Report of <i>Faculty Rights and Responsibilities Committee</i>	23
D. Report of <i>University Well-Being Committee</i>	23
E. Report of <i>Graduate Council</i>	24
F. Report of <i>Campus Facilities Planning Committee</i>	25
G. Report of <i>Computing and Communication Technologies Committee</i>	27
H. Resolution on Part-time Faculty Fee Remission	28

Any comments concerning the contents in The University of Akron Chronicle may be directed to the Secretary, Mr. David Brink (+1707).
Brink@Library@UAkron



THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON

Academic Calendar

1994-95

FALL SEMESTER

Day & Evening Classes Begin	Mon., August 29
* Labor Day (Day & Evening)	Mon., September 5
Veterans Day (Classes Held, Staff Holiday)	Fri., November 11
** Thanksgiving Break	Thurs.-Sat., November 24-26
Classes Resume	Mon., November 28
Final Instructional Day	Sat., December 10
Final Examination Period	Mon.-Sat., December 12-17
Commencement	Sat., December 17
Spring Intersession	Mon.-Fri., January 2-13

SPRING SEMESTER

* Martin Luther King Day Day & Evening Classes Begin	Mon., January 16 Tues., January 17
* President's Day Spring Break	Tues., February 21 Mon.-Sat., March 20-25
*** May Day	Fri., May 5
Final Instructional Day	Sat., May 6
Final Examination Period	Mon.-Sat., May 8-13
Commencement	Sat., May 13
Commencement/Law School	Sat., May 20
Summer Intersession	Mon.-Fri., May 15-June 9

SUMMER SESSION I

First 5 and 8 Week Sessions Begin	Mon., June 12
* Independence Day	Tues., July 4
First 5-Week Session Ends	Fri., July 14

SUMMER SESSION II

Second 5-Week Sessions Begin	Mon., July 17
8-Week Session Ends	Fri., August 4
Second 5-Week Session Ends	Fri., August 18

FALL SEMESTER

Day & Evening Classes Begin	Mon., August 28
* Classes canceled	
** Classes canceled from Wednesday at 5 p.m. through Monday at 7 a.m.	
*** Classes canceled from noon to 5 p.m.	

FACULTY SENATE MEMBERSHIP

(Update: 9/1/94)

College of Arts & Sciences (16) TBA (3) David Buchthal, 97 Barbara Clements, 97 Daniel Ely, 97 John Green, 97 S. Hariharan, 96 J. Marquette, 97 E. ERICKSON		Community & Technical College (6) W. McGucken, 95 Chand Midha, 95 T. Norfolk, 97 Gary Oller, 97 N. Ranson, 96 Donald Stull, 95 P. Stuyvesant, 96		College of Education (4) Barbara Moss, 96 Carole Newman, 97 Isadore Newman, 95 Dianne Wright, 96			
College of Engineering (4) Michael Cheung, 96 Jerry Drummond, 95 Lawrence Focht, 95 Daniel Sheffer, 97		College of Fine and Applied Arts (7) Kathleen Davis, 97 Virginia Fleming, 95 William Guegold, 95 Virginia Gunn, 96 Georgia Peeples, 96 Kenneth Siloac, 97 David Witt, 97		College of Business Administration (4) Kenneth Aupperle, 96 Gary Frank, 95 Dennis Kimmell, 95 George Prough, 97		University Libraries (2) David Brink, 96 Ruth Clinefelter, 97	
College of Nursing (3) Marlene Huff, 96 June Patton, 95 Adele Webb, 96		Polymer Science/Engineering (1) John Frederick, 95		Wayne College (1) Robert McElwee, 96		Contract Professionals (2) TBA	
Part-Time Faculty (2) Paul Fowler, 97 Peggy Richards, 95		Students (3) Eric Johnson, 95 Carmen Keener, 95		School of Law (1) William Rich, 95		Members = 56	

Note: Term expires in year listed.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON
1994-95**

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dr. H. Michael Cheung, Chair
 Dr. Virginia J. Fleming, Vice Chair
 Mr. David Brink, Secretary
 Mrs. Ruth Clinefelter
 Dr. Elizabeth Erickson
 Dr. Gary Oller
 Mrs. Peggy Richards

SENATE COMMITTEES

* designates Senator
 Boldface designates Chair
 Term expires in year listed.

ACADEMIC POLICIES, CURRICULUM AND CALENDAR COMMITTEE

Dr. Lawrence Focht, 95*
 Mr. Michael Jalbert, 95*
 Dr. Dennis Kimmell, 95*
 Dr. Chand Midha, 95*
 Mrs. Joyce Mirman, 95
 Mrs. Peggy Richards, 95*
 Dr. Marlene Huff, 96*
 Dr. Robert McElwee, 96*
 Dr. Phillip Stuyvesant, 96*
 Mr. Dan Buie, 97
 Dr. Barbara Clements, 97*
 Mrs. Ruth Clinefelter, 97*
 Mrs. Nancy Stokes, 97
 Dr. Jose DeAbreu-Garcia, 97

Mr. Elton Glaser, 97
 Mr. William Lewis, 97
 Dr. Timothy S. Norfolk, 97*
 Dr. Gary Oller, 97*
 Dr. Kenneth Siloac, 97*
 Student Rep. (TBA), 97*
 Dr. John J. Zarski, 97
 Mr. Jerry J. Burr, 97
 Dr. Carole Newman, 97*
 Dr. Rita S. Saslaw, 97

**Ex officio, designee of Mr. David Jamison,
 Senior VP and Provost**

ATHLETICS

Dr. George DiSabato, 95
 Dr. Paul John, 95*
 Dr. Isabelle Stombaugh, 95
 Mr. David Brink, 96*
 Dr. Donald Stull, 96*
 Dr. Adele Webb, 96*
 Dr. Richard Gigliotti, 97*
 Dr. James Emore, 97

Dr. Kenneth Aupperle, 97*
 Dr. Steven Chuang, 97

Ex officio members, Mr. David Jamison,
 NCAA Faculty Representative

Mr. Michael Bobinski, Athletic Director,
 or designee

UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES COMMITTEE

Dr. Michael Cheung, 95*
 Dr. Elizabeth Erickson, 95*
 Dr. Rachel Schneider, 95
 Dr. Wallace Sterling, 96
 Dr. Virginia Fleming, 96*
 Mrs. June Patton, 96*
 Dr. Frank Canda, 97

Dr. Nicholas Ranson, 97*
 Mrs. Phyllis O'Connor, 97

Ex officio members, Delmus Williams,
 Dean of University Libraries,
 or designee

Mr. Paul Richert, Law Librarian

REFERENCE COMMITTEE

Ms. Jo Ann Harris, 95*
 Mr. Daniel Mack, 95
 Dr. Barbara Moss, 96*
 Mr. LaVerne Yousey, 96

Dr. John Green, 97*
 1 Contract Prof. TBA, 97*
 Mrs. Cheryl Buchanan, 97

CAMPUS FACILITIES COMMITTEE

Dr. Harvey Sterns, 95
 Dr. Jerry Drummond, 95*
 Dr. Kenneth Dunning, 95
 Mr. John Kline, 95
 Dr. William Guegold, 95*
 Dr. Virginia Gunn, 96*
 Dr. Georgia Peeples, 96*
 Mrs. Debra Keller, 96
 Dr. Gwendolyn Jones, 97

Mr. Paul Fowler, 97*
 Dr. David Buchthal, 97*
 Mrs. Kathleen M. Davis, 97*
 Dr. Dale Coons, 97
 Dr. Dianne Wright, 97*

Ex officio member, Dr. Faith Helmick,
 VP for Admin. Support Services,
 or designee

STUDENT AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

Mrs. Deborah Weber, 95*
 Ms. Lynne Pachnowski, 95
 Dr. Diane Vukovich, 96
 Ms. Marcia Weidnecht, 96
 Mr. Eric A. Johnson, 97*
 Mrs. Carol Gigliotti, 97*
 Dr. George Prough, 97*
 Dr. Daniel L. Ely, 97*

Ms. Carmen Keener, 97*
 Mr. Don Laconi, 97
 Mr. Tucker Jolly, 97

Ex officio members, Dr. Caryl K. Smith,
 VP for Student Affairs, or designee, and
 Dr. Thomas Vukovich, Interim Director of
 Student Financial Aid

COMPUTING & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES COMMITTEE

Dr. John Frederick, 95*
 Mr. Ray Sibberson, 95*
 Mr. Richard Wiggins, 95
 Dr. Robert Dial, 95
 Ms. Onadell Bly, 96
 Dr. Frank Griffin, 96
 Arts & Sciences (TBA), 96*
 Mrs. Rebecca McCollum, 96
 Dr. Patricia Edwards, 96

Dr. Jesse Marquette, 97*
 Dr. J. Wayne Baker, 97
 Mr. William Rich, 97*
 Contract Prof. (TBA), 97*
 Dr. S. Hariharan, 97*
 Dr. David Witt, 97*

Ex officio member, Dr. Frank Thomas,
 Assoc. VP of Information Services,
 or designee

RESEARCH (FACULTY PROJECTS) COMMITTEE

Dr. Gary Frank, 95*
 Dr. Dale Borowiak, 95
 Dr. Thein Kyu, 95
 Dr. Brian Leonard, 95
 Dr. Dan Sheffer, 95*
 Dr. Jerry Young, 95
 Dr. J. Clayton Fant, 95
 Dr. Jon M. Hawes, 96
 Ms. Christina DePaul, 96
 Mrs. Eleanor Klosterman, 96
 Miss Virginia Berringer, 96
 Dr. Dolores Bower, 96
 Dr. Claire Tessier, 96
 Dr. Nancy Somerick, 96

Dr. Lazarus Macior, 97
 Mrs. Jean Galan, 97
 Mr. Earl Ertman, 97
 Dr. Isadore Newman, 97*
 Dr. William McGucken, 97*
 Ms. Tana Alexander, 97
 Dr. Roger Bain, 97

Ex officio, non-voting member,
 Dr. Charmaine Streharsky

Ex officio member, Dr. Charles Dye,
 Assoc. VP of Research & Graduate Studies,
 or designee

FACULTY RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES COMMITTEE

Dr. Gary Oller, 95*
 Dr. Thomas Adolph, 95
 Mrs. Carol Olson, 95
 Mr. John Miller, 95
 Mrs. Diane Arnold, 95
 Mr. Ray Sibberson, 96*

Mr. Edward Zadrozny, 96
 Dr. Victoria Schirm, 96
 College of Business (TBA), 97
 Dr. Ted Conway, 97
 Dr. John Frederick, 97*

UNIVERSITY WELL-BEING COMMITTEE

Mrs. Barbara Bucey, 95
 Dr. Katherine Hinckley, 95
 Mrs. Velma Pomeranke, 95
 Dr. James Austin, 95
 Dr. Karin Billions, 95
 Dr. Jerry Drummond, 96*

Dr. Tomisita Chandler, 96
 Mr. William Becker, 96
 Ms. Barbara Beller, 96
 Dr. Diana Chlebek, 97
 Dr. Gary Hamed, 97
 College of Business (TBA), 97

SENATE MEMBERS OF OTHER COMMITTEES

FACULTY ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE CHANCELLOR,
OHIO BOARD OF REGENTS
1994-96

Professor JoAnn Harris, Community & Technical College

BUDGET AND PLANNING COORDINATION COMMITTEE

Dr. Chand Midha, 95*	A & S
Dr. Kenneth Aupperle, 96*	Management
Mrs. Deborah Weber, 95*	C & T
Dr. Dianne Brown-Wright, 95*	Education
Dr. H. Michael Cheung, 95*	Engineering
Dr. Kenneth Siloac, 96*	Commun. Disorders
Mr. David Brink, 96*	Libraries
Dr. Adele Webb, 95*	Nursing
Mr. Robert McElwee, 95*	Wayne
Mr. William Rich, 96*	Law
Mr. Paul Fowler, 96*	Part-time

**THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON FACULTY SENATE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 1, 1994**

Saying that "Robert's Rules" provided for the chairman to serve until a successor was elected, and with the advice and consent of the Executive Committee, Chairman Gerlach called the regular meeting of the Faculty Senate to order at 3:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 1, 1994, in Room 201 of Buckingham Center for Continuing Education.

Forty-three of the fifty-six members of the Faculty Senate were in attendance. Senators Focht, Green, Guegold, Kimmell, Siloac and Wright were absent with notice.

SENATE ACTION

- **ELECTED MICHAEL CHEUNG CHAIRMAN FOR 1994-1995**
- **ELECTED 5 SENATORS TO TWO-YEAR TERMS ON BPCC**
- **ELECTED SENATOR ERICKSON TO ONE-YEAR TERM ON EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**
- **PASSED RESOLUTION ON PART-TIME FACULTY FEE REMISSION**

ITEM NUMBER 1 - APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA - Senator Clinefelter moved approval of the agenda. Seconded by Senator Cheung. Motion carried.

ITEM NUMBER 2 - INTRODUCTION OF NEWLY-ELECTED SENATORS - Chairman Gerlach introduced David Buchthal, Barbara Clements, Daniel Ely, John Green, Jesse Marquette, Timothy Norfolk, and Gary Oller from the Buchtel College of Arts & Sciences; George Prough from Business Administration; Carol Gigliotti from the C & T College; Carole Newman from the College of Education; Daniel Sheffer from the College of Engineering; Kathleen Davis, Kenneth Siloac, and David Witt from the College of Fine and Applied Arts; William Rich from the Law School; Ruth Clinefelter from the University Libraries; Paul Fowler from the part-time faculty; Carmen Keener, the President of Associated Student Government; and Eric Johnson, representing law and graduate students.

ITEM NUMBER 3 - CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF APRIL 28 AND MAY 5, 1994 - Secretary Brink noted a few corrections to the minutes of April 28. Page 5, paragraph 4, the second sentence was, "Based on conversations in Columbus with the Faculty Advisory Committee." To be more precise "to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents" should be added. Page 9, paragraph 3 should begin, "Senator Kimmell said". Page 11, last paragraph, the second line should read "one of

the reasons for the 10% workload requirement was that". Finally, on page 20, the last sentence should read, "She thought if professors emeriti were to be added to the Faculty Senate, they should be elected to it." The minutes for April 28 were approved as corrected.

Secretary Brink said he had no corrections to the minutes of the May 5th meeting. Chairman Gerlach declared that the minutes be approved as submitted.

ITEM NUMBER 4 - CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS - Chairman Gerlach remarked that the Executive Committee worked during the summer making committee assignments and certifying elections. He noted that one seat in the Buchtel College was vacant because Senator Perry had resigned. The Executive Committee was asked to appoint two faculty researchers to the University Research Council and named Antonia Forster of the English department and Senator Virginia Gunn of Home Economics and Family Ecology. He said the Executive Committee had learned that the new Senate offices would be undoubtedly moved to Schrank North, Room 155 A,B,C. This was a very nice series of offices that were once home to the Dean of the C & T College.

Chairman Gerlach wished simply to register his final thanks to those who were responsible for putting him in the chair last year, a distinction he would much treasure. He wished to thank the members of the Executive Committee with whom he had worked so splendidly and they with him - a nicer, more cooperative, more efficient bunch of people the Senate could not have put together. He wished to thank Mr. Switzer for serving as Parliamentarian. The new chairman would have a Parliamentarian to appoint by the rules. And finally, he needed to say a word of hearty thanks, especially to Mrs. Quillin, the office secretary, who had done such a superb job. He would miss working with her.

Chairman Gerlach concluded saying, "My only final offer is to say please, if there's any odd chance that you think I might give you some help as you continue the work this year, having now become a veteran, even retired, call on me. I'll be glad to do what I can." (Applause)

ITEM NUMBER V - SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. Dr. Joseph Walton - United Way Campaign - Dr. Walton said he would first like to welcome all the new and returning members of the Faculty Senate back for the beginning of a new academic year. He was here today in the new role of Executive Assistant to the President and he wanted to speak briefly as co-coordinator of the 1994 United Way/Red Cross campaign. On September 14 everyone would receive through campus mail a request to give to United Way. The campus goal of \$100,000 for the 1994 campaign which begins officially on Tues., Sept. 13, and closes on Dec. 31, 1994, could only be met with everyone's help. Last year about half of the United Way contributors from The University of Akron did so through payroll deduction, an option which presented a number of convenient plans for giving. United Way dollars were currently at work in Summit County serving some 200,000 local residents through 54 agencies and 114 programs and services. It was his hope that when the pledge cards arrived, everyone would give to the 1994 United Way/Red Cross campaign. All donations were appreciated, whether large or small. He thanked the Senate for its attention.

ITEM NUMBER VI - ELECTION OF NEW CHAIRMAN TO COMPLETE 2-YEAR TERM -

Chairman Gerlach opened the floor for nominations. Senator Clinefelter nominated Senator JoAnn Harris. Senator Marquette nominated Senator Michael Cheung. Senator Aupperle nominated Senator Isadore Newman. Senator Ranson moved that the nominations be closed. Seconded by Senator Erickson. Motion carried.

Senator Oller moved that in order to expedite matters, if no one got a majority on the first ballot that the second ballot be for the two highest vote-getters. Seconded by Senator Cheung. Motion carried.

Chairman Gerlach announced that the first ballot had not produced a majority (Cheung, 21; Harris, 19; Newman, 5). (One abstention)

Chairman Gerlach announced that Senator Cheung had been elected (24 to 21). (Applause)
(One abstention)

Professor Gerlach said he wanted to make one final point. He wanted to give Chairman Cheung his gavel and block in the hope that he would pass it on to his successor. He said that the gavel was given to him as a memento from Dr. Helmick and Mrs. McPherson. They assured him that he should regard it as a personal piece of private property. But there was no gavel otherwise provided and since it was inscribed "University of Akron, Faculty Senate, D.R. Gerlach, Chairman, 1993-94," he thought someone might remember him if it was passed on. He counseled the new Chair to use it well.

Chairman Cheung said he would and that he would pass it on to the next Chair. He thanked the Senate for its confidence and promised to do his best to enforce the bylaws and standing rules of the Senate.

ITEM NUMBER VII - REPORTS

A. Remarks of the President of the University - (Appendix A)

B. Representative to FACCOBOR - No report.

C. Executive Committee - (Appendix B)

D. Faculty Rights and Responsibilities Committee - (Appendix C)

E. University Well-Being Committee - Barbara Bucey reported two items for the committee. First, she had noticed in the 1994-95 Student Code of Conduct that the sexual harassment policy was an old, old policy. The office had been notified and the error would be corrected. In the meantime, if there were any questions, please refer to the policy printed in the fall semester schedule of classes.

The second item dealt with two recommendations that were made to the Faculty Senate in December, 1993, from the task force on part-time faculty issues. Items were referred to Senate committees and to the General Counsel to be looked over concurrently with the University Well-Being Committee. Recommendation 1 dealt with the workload issue. That was referred to APCC, the Reference Committee and counsel. Part 2 of that recommendation, which dealt with the 33% workload

issue, was referred also to BPCC. No reports have been received to date from any of those places. Recommendation 2 concerning salary implications was referred to BPCC. There was no report from them on that issue. The purpose of these concurrent referrals was to streamline the process of working out an expedient and well-thought resolution to this situation that had existed since January of 1992. Her committee had been unable to address these issues while waiting for input from these other committees and legal counsel. University Well-Being was, therefore, making a formal request for information from all the groups involved. In addition to that, she requested that the task force report in its entirety be referred to the Long Range Planning Committee due to its obvious impact on the issues addressed by that committee.

Chairman Cheung said that since it came from committee it was automatically on the floor and did not require a second. The motion was to refer that task force report in its entirety to LRPC. Motion carried (Appendix D)

F. Graduate Council - (Appendix E)

G. Academic Policies, Curriculum and Calendar Committee - No report.

H. Athletics Committee - No report.

I. Campus Facilities Planning Committee - (Appendix F)

J. University Libraries Committee - No report.

K. Reference Committee - No report.

L. Research (Faculty Projects) Committee - No report.

M. Student Affairs Committee - No report.

N. Computing and Communications Technologies Committee - (Appendix G)

O. Shared Governance Council and Subcommittees - No report.

P. Long Range Planning Committee - No report.

Q. Budget and Planning Coordination Committee - Chairman Cheung asked Senator Fowler if he would comment upon the status of part-time issues through BPCC. Senator Fowler said that on August 4th, he wrote the Provost a short note asking him if he knew the status of the General Counsel investigation of the part-time faculty 3-tier proposal. On August 8, he got a note from the Provost, actually a carbon copy sent to the General Counsel, asking that this matter be expedited. He said that he would appreciate receiving any thoughts and advice on this matter since it was of immediate concern to BPCC.

Senator Aupperle said, following up on BPCC, that one charge that had been made to the Chair and vice-chair of BPCC was to move forward on investigating the summer course offerings in terms of compensating faculty and providing a way to assess the process that was currently in place in terms

of course offerings. He wanted to know if any progress had been made. Chairman Cheung replied that BPCC had decided it would not be able to do anything about summer, 1994. The issue was held over and would be considered by BPCC this year.

R. General Studies Advisory Committee - No report.

Chairman Cheung commented that the Executive Committee had specifically requested that the Faculty Rights & Responsibilities Committee, the Graduate Council, the Athletics Committee, the Computing & Communications Technologies Committee and the Shared Governance Council make reports to this body, as we heard little or nothing from them last year. He wanted publicly to reiterate that the Executive Committee and Senate looked forward to hearing from those committees, hopefully at the next meeting.

ITEM NUMBER VIII - NEW BUSINESS

A. Annual election of 5 members to Long Range Planning Committee - Chairman Cheung reminded the body that no college could have more than one member and the bylaw referred to annual elections but also to 2-year staggered terms. There was a slight problem with that and the first item of business might be how to resolve it.

Senator Marquette said that it was a wording problem. The intention was never that all five members be annually elected. It was supposed to have been split up as the others were, so there would be staggered terms. Chairman Cheung asked if the Long Range Planning Committee had drawn lots for terms or determined how to handle the matter. Senator Marquette replied they had not, but that they could do it before the next Senate meeting. He then moved to hold this item over until the October meeting. Seconded by Senator Clinefelter. Motion carried.

B. Election of 5 members (2-year terms) to Budget & Planning Coordination Committee - Chairman Cheung said the committee determined which members would be continuing and which members needed to be elected. Senators continuing to serve through this academic year were Cheung, McElwee, Midha, Weber, Webb, and Brown-Wright. The new members, no more than one from each unit, needed to come from the library, contract professionals, fine & applied arts, part-time faculty, business, the student representatives, the college of polymer science and polymer engineering, or the school of law. He said that last year Senate allowed the units who were electing people to caucus to agree upon a candidate. Hearing no objection to that process, Chairman Cheung declared the Senate to be in a 5-minute recess.

Chairman Cheung recalled the Senate to order and opened the floor to nominations for the Budget & Planning Coordination Committee.

Senator Harris thought the caucusing was a bit futile since there were no representatives from the contract professionals and moved that this matter be postponed until the next meeting. Seconded by Senator Weber.

Senator Buchthal said he was concerned with postponing both the LRPC and BPCC. The BPCC was to make recommendations regarding next summer, and he thought he would be asked to submit

schedules for next summer in a month. Without any guidance from budget or long range planning it would be another attempt at guessing the future. He urged that Senate go ahead today.

Motion failed.

The following nominations were made: David Brink (library); Kenneth Siloac (fine and applied arts); Paul Fowler (part-time faculty); Kenneth Aupperle (business); Carmen Keener (students); John Frederick (college of polymer science and polymer engineering); and William Rich (law).

Senators Brink (41 votes); Siloac (31); Fowler (36); Aupperle (30); and Rich (31) were elected.

C. Election of alternate representative to FACCOBOR - Chairman Cheung opened the floor for nominations for election of an alternate representative to the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents.

Senator Norfolk was nominated and he declined.

Chairman Cheung asked Senator Harris to describe the office. Senator Harris said the office was currently going through a few changes. The committee had been meeting 3 or 4 times a year. However, recently it had been meeting every month. There was a retreat coming up, the focus of which was to define what the goals and objective were for this academic year. Perhaps it would be more appropriate after the goals and objectives were defined that the alternate be selected.

Senator Richards said that this position did not require a Senator. It could be someone from the regular faculty body.

Senator Erickson moved that the election be deferred until the October meeting of the Senate. Seconded by Senator Richards. Motion carried.

D. Appointment of members of Shared Governance Council Committees - Chairman Cheung said that members of the Shared Governance Council Committees were to be appointed from the Faculty Senate. The bylaws were not any more enlightening than that, and the Executive Committee sought the Senate's guidance on this issue. Should the Executive Committee make these as appointments? Should the body wish to elect people to these subcommittees?

President Elliott said she had a draft of a proposal from Shared Governance Council with its own set of recommended bylaws. They have recommended a different committee structure. She thought it would be a good course to wait and get the advice of the Shared Governance Council. Otherwise, people were going to be terribly confused.

Chairman Cheung asked Senator Aupperle about the status of the Shared Governance Council. He replied that it had made some fine tuning adjustments, but it had not really proposed anything dramatically different from what was in place. Senator Huff said that the President was right. The committees would be different if the proposal went through and Senators should be appointed to the new committees.

Chairman Cheung reiterated that the principal question was, should the Executive Committee be charged with making these appointments, or did the body wish to do something else?

Senator Huff moved that the senatorial members of the Shared Governance Council Committees be elected by the Senate. Seconded by Senator I. Newman.

Senator Clinefelter asked how many people were involved. Chairman Cheung replied that at present there were five committees with two Senators on each.

Motion failed.

Senator Buchthal moved that the Executive Committee make the appointments for the Senate. Seconded by Senator Richards.

Senator Huff said that last year Shared Governance worked very hard to organize and see where its position was on the campus. In fact, it went from suggesting that it dissolve itself to the point where it would be one of the most important committees on campus. It ended at the point where it was going to be one of the most important ones on campus. If that was true, these committees were going to be very important. That was the reason she wanted the Senators elected to them.

Senator Richards said she spoke for the motion. It was the same as appointments to all committees, which were very important to the Faculty Senate. This should be handled in the same manner.

Senator Aupperle said that the campus was still struggling to figure out the structure of the shared governance process. He thought what needed to be looked at was the fact that BPCC and shared governance council and LRPC were not Senate committees. Senate had representation on those committees. He did not think it would be wise for the Senate to be intrusive on shared governance council.

Senator Rich said the argument for choosing members of these committees that were not committees of the Senate by election when members of the Senate committees were appointed by the Executive Committee was that the Senate had to act on anything those committees did. Chairman Cheung said that was not necessarily the case. Senator Rich asked if they had independent legislative authority. Chairman Cheung said that not all actions of those committees came back through this body. Senator Rich commented that any concerns about the representative character of those committees could be addressed later on in the process, because if those committees were really proposing things for this body to do anyway, Senators would get a say on that in the end. The question he had was what about these other committees - did their actions necessarily come before the body as a whole? If not, that seemed to be an argument in favor of electing the members of the body as a whole rather than by the Executive Committee.

Senator Huff said that in the reorganization it was proposed that shared governance was not a committee under the Senate; that it was an independent organization although the Senate would be represented there.

Senator Marquette said that the initial intent of the shared governance council was to provide a place for the other constituencies on campus to address issues that were of campus-wide concern to them. The original discussion within the entire creation of the Senate was in fact not to create a co-equal body. The intention was to provide representation while at the same time insuring that the academic core of the institution determine the direction of the institution. He saw no reason why the Executive Committee could not appoint these Senators to those committees.

Senator Newman disagreed. He thought it was important that this body elect people. Otherwise, the Senate would be giving up exactly what it was arguing for, more involvement in representation. It was too important for faculty at this point to give up.

Senator Buchthal said the statement was made that it was important that the Senate elect people because it would not have a second chance to look at the decisions of the body. But that was true of appointed committees now. Campus Facilities in the summer made recommendations to the Provost for reallocation of offices. That was not ratified by the Faculty Senate. The Research Committee made recommendations on award or research grants. Senate did not second-guess them and approve or disapprove those research grants. Senate was notified of those activities and had given faculty senators the power to act for the body. The body has given the Executive Committee the power to appoint representatives; let them continue. The Senate did not need to vote on every single person on those committees.

Senator Clinefelter called the question. Motion to end debate carried. Motion to direct the Executive Committee to make appointments to the subcommittees of the Shared Governance Council carried.

Senator Huff said that she wanted to make a comment on the discussion on shared governance. Chairman Cheung told her that the proper place to do that would be under the good of the order.

Senator Oller noted that there was one additional election to take care of; namely, to elect a replacement for Chairman Cheung on the Executive Committee. He moved to alter the agenda to elect such a person at this time. Seconded by Senator Clinefelter. Motion carried.

Chairman Cheung opened the floor for nominations to fill a one-year, at-large vacancy on the Executive Committee.

Senator R. Gigliotti nominated Senator Marquette. Senator Clinefelter nominated Senator Erickson. Senator Fleming nominated Senator Harris, who declined. Senator Jalbert nominated Senator John. (Note: During counting of votes Senate proceeded to Item E; election results reported on page 19.)

E. Resolution on policy regarding part-time faculty fee remission as originally passed by University Council on May 2, 1991, and May 5, 1992 - Senator Richards said she had proposed the resolution to get Senate's reaffirmation on this issue. It was passed by University Council on two different occasions. The first time the then President decided not to take it to the Board of Trustees. Faculty Well-Being came back to Council after doing a very thorough survey and asked Council to once again support this issue. Council did and it was passed. For a year the working assumption was that that the full transferrable fee remission was passed. Some people requested and received the greater course or 3 credit hours for their transferrable fee remissions. Then this year when they went to apply

or to pay their bills with their fee remissions, they were told they were only allowed to use a maximum of 3 credit hours. Part-time fee remission as stated in the "Faculty Manual" was one course or 3 credit hours, whichever was greater. However, there was a conflict because the Board of Trustees approved a resolution that said a maximum of 3 credit hours only. She said she was bringing up the issue one more time in the manner that University Council intended it, and that part-time faculty would appreciate Senate's support.

Senator Clinefelter asked how the change was made between what was passed and what got to the Board of Trustees. Senator Richards said the Board of Trustees received a memo from the Provost. This memo indicated that they kept the same terminology, but qualifying part-time faculty who would not make use of their fee reduction should be permitted to transfer only the instructional fee remission for a maximum of 3 credit hours for an academic term to a qualifying spouse or dependent child. In the handout, page 1, that was the last revision of the "Faculty Manual" from June, 1992. The amendment to the "Faculty Manual" as given to the Board of Trustees that appeared in the Board of Trustees' minutes as approved was on page 2, dated December, 1992. Page 3 was wording she would recommend to go along with the support of the Faculty Senate.

Provost Jamison added that at Senator Richards' request, he had researched what had happened between what was passed by University Council and what the Board of Trustees passed. Council clearly passed the version identified by Senator Richards that said one course or three credits, whichever was greater. The Board in a resolution sent to then Provost Auburn adopted a different version which said three credits. He thought that since it was a Board action, if the Senate now wanted the Board to take a different position, it should clarify its will in this matter. There was obviously a budget implication. But another statement would be helpful in taking it to the Board. The University Council minutes for that session dealt with a number of other related issues and there was a lot of discussion on that topic. The resolution was passed as Senator Richards reported, the greater of the two. He said that if the Senate wanted to get it back to the Board, there should be some action to clarify the will of the body.

Chairman Cheung said that in summary, what went to the Board was not what University Council recommended. Provost Jamison said that was correct. President Elliott added that it might have been changed in Board committee. The minutes of the Board committee were silent on that issue. Jeanne Hinderegger, part-time faculty member, asked for and received permission to speak. She said she wanted to offer one more factor. On page 2, a limitation of a maximum of 3 credit hours per term was stated. She would like a change in the way this was set forth. Her daughter used her spring fee remission. Mrs. Hinderegger taught in summer school, and was teaching now. Her daughter approached the cashier's office with two fee remissions, one for the summer, one for the fall. They said that only one was valid. If she was eligible for one fee remission for each term taught, why could her daughter not use both? She said that was not cumulation. If she earned three a year and her daughter could only use two, then she lost one.

Senator Buchthal requested clarification. If Mrs. Hinderegger earned two fee remissions, they should be able to be used cumulatively this semester? Mrs. Hinderegger said that would be her understanding. For every semester that she taught 3 credits or more she was entitled to a fee remission.

Senator Richards said the "Faculty Manual" now read that a person was allowed to take a course during that semester or the individual summer session of appointment. But if a person had one fee

remission, fee reduction must be used in the semester or summer session earned or the semester or summer sessions immediately following. They were not cumulative, but if she had one for the summer session because she taught in summer session II and she was teaching now, she had the right to use that one from the summer session.

Provost Jamison said no; that would not be true for anyone. In the specific case given, a reduction would had to have been used in the summer if the student went to school in the summer and again in the fall. He said the controlling language was where it said it was not cumulative on that particular point.

Senator Gunn said she did not understand. If a person could use a fee remission the semester it was earned or the following semester, it seemed that the ones earned in the summer could be used in the summer or the fall. Then the one earned in the fall could be used in the fall or spring. Therefore, there could be a situation where two could be used in the fall and it still would be cumulative past one semester.

Senator Buchthal said given the fact that the highlighted sentence here, only one reduction may be used during the semester or summer session - if that was the overriding statement that was used, that was fine. Then the alternative would be, persons may teach in the fall and use reduction in the spring if they did not teach and they could postpone that. Or if persons did teach, they could use the second one in the summer but a person would not be able to use two in any one semester. If that was what the body wanted, it ought to say that and make it clear, so our part-time faculty would not sign up erroneously and then get billed for it later on.

Senator Richards said that when this study was done, attention was given to the expected costs of the program. The administration's figures were that it would cost from \$60,000 to \$160,000 a year as reported in the "Chronicle." The committee's study showed that after subvention, a worst scenario would cost about \$32,000 and the best situation about \$8,000 per year. In the fall of 1993, 18 people took advantage of their fee remissions for a total cost before subvention of \$6,314. In the spring of '94, 23 people took advantage of this situation before subvention for a total cost of \$8,570. The actual figures were well under the estimate of expenses for the transferable fee remission. The other plus to it was the majority of these students had taken additional courses.

Senator Newman said that there were two issues, even though only one was to be voted on. One issue was being submitted to the Board as recommended by University Council. The second issue was this potential misinterpretation of the language about whether fee remission was cumulative.

Chairman Cheung said that the boldface sentences on page 2 were what were added. And what was added created both situations. The differences between what University Council sent forward and what was implemented by the Board of Trustees were tied together here. Both issues were created by that discrepancy.

Senator McElwee said he had perceived one other difference between the two versions. The first one talked about all fees, including general fees, and the second referred to only instructional fee remission. The third one brought back general fees.

Senator Buchthal asked if the issues could be dealt with one at a time and proposed to proceed to the resolution first. The details could be cleaned up later.

Senator Rich stated that under parliamentary procedure the appropriate way to consider these specific possible changes would be by way of a motion to amend the motion, which were voted before the resolution was voted up or down. If the body considered a resolution and someone wished to alter it, the appropriate way to do that was to move to amend before the main motion was voted on, not after. At that point the body would need to move to reconsider what it had just done.

Senator Buchthal said that the key was whether there should be one course or three credits. The body could deal with that first. Then the major question became whether the part-time faculty members and/or the dependents should be allowed to accumulate fee remissions. That was a much more detailed question than the first one. He would rather talk about the transference of a fee remission of one course or three credits to a dependent and then deal with whether to modify the whole procedure for all part-timers and not just the dependents.

Senator Harris said that she did not think it was an issue of whether or not it accumulated. The way it was worded, it was not definitive as to whether the three hours or one course could be carried over just until the next semester. It still did not address the various summer sessions, summer I, summer II, 5-weeks, 8-weeks, whatever. The way it was written now was really not definitive. It was not an accumulation; it was a carry-over of just one semester.

Senator Weber asked if it would be an appropriate course of action to reaffirm the resolution already passed by University Council, and then propose additional motions to deal with the other issue; to reaffirm the resolution and then initiate.

President Elliott said that she needed clarification. When the body sent her legislation, she needed to respond to it and reply to the body. If it was a resolution, her course of action was to take it to the appropriate trustee committee. This was not a resolution, just a reaffirmation. She was not sure if that gave her something to take to the Trustees.

Senator Newman asked if the body could request that the President take this to the Board of Trustees. Chairman Cheung said if the body passed it as a resolution, that was where it went. President Elliott said she also had to agree to take it, which was pretty perfunctory. But sometimes she took issues thinking she knew what it was going to take to get them passed, because her intent was to get them passed as a rule. The Trustees were pretty cooperative about that by pointing out what the problems were, and she knew the cumulative part would be a problem.

Senator Richards said that she would like the body to deal with that later. But if Senators would look at the very last line of the proposed change to the "Faculty Manual," the recommendation was that the wording stay the same. Unused reduction or portions of these reductions were not cumulative. She was not asking for them to be cumulative. She was only asking for them to be allowed to be ordered in the same way they were used now. What was at issue was that University Council sent a resolution to the Board, and the Board reduced and qualified the amount of hours part-time faculty were allowed to transfer. Therefore, she would like the Senate to reaffirm the resolution and have the Board consider that part-time faculty would still like to have a full transfer, to allow spouses or family to use that remission the same way they did.

Senator Clinefelter called the question. Motion to close the debate carried.

Motion to pass forward via the President the resolution on the attached page of the agenda carried. (Appendix H)

Chairman Cheung announced that a run-off election was necessary for his replacement on the Executive Committee - (Erickson, 17; Marquette, 14; John, 11).

Chairman Cheung reported that Senator Erickson had been elected to the Executive Committee (24 to 14).

ITEM NUMBER IX - GOOD OF THE ORDER - Senator Marquette said that in regard to shared governance council representation on both BPCC and LRPC, he believed that Senator Aupperle served on BPCC last year as a member of the Shared Governance Council. That was not supposed to happen. The Shared Governance Council slots were supposed to be reserved for non-senate members.

Senator Fowler commented that that was made clear at a meeting of the Council in June.

Senator Huff said that the comments she wanted to make were in response to shared governance. Please keep in mind that this came to the Senate in bits and pieces. Senators did not get the total thinking of the group or the input from the President.

ITEM NUMBER X - ADJOURNMENT - Senator Oller moved to adjourn. Seconded by Senator Marquette. Motion carried, and the meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by
David R. Brink, Secretary

APPENDIX A

Remarks of the President of the University

I am pleased to be here with you today as we enter a new semester for the University and a second year for this body.

To the newly elected Senators (introduced earlier), I commend each of you for your willingness to assume an important role in the life and direction of our campus. I very much look forward to working with you. I would also like to congratulate the officers who were just elected. As I am sure you know, you will have my support and cooperation as you provide the necessary leadership to continue building an even more effective and respected faculty governance system.

At the Senate's first meeting last year I said, "By our work, they will know us." We have already had many lively discussions and explored many points of view in this body. That is as it should be. The actions we have taken have been impressive and were expedited, I believe, because of our collaboration.

Clearly, the Faculty Senate has proven its mettle as a capable partner in shared governance. Many important issues - including new policies for department chairs and new and improved degree programs more relevant to the needs of society and students - have been undertaken with good results.

As we finish our first year, I do have two observations which I hope will become part of our discussions this year as our shared governance systems mature.

The first is that I have come to believe that the Provost needs to be engaged in deliberations in some formal way earlier than is now the case. As the chief academic officer of the campus, he can bring a great deal of data and insight and certainly should be able to assist significantly in deliberations. Where his role is most appropriate, whether or not it should be ex-officio in the Executive Committee or in the body, and all other details I would leave to the deliberations of this body.

Second, I need to inform the Senate that the Contract Professional Staff and the Staff Advisory Committees are working to develop their own representative bodies to deliberate issues of concern particularly to them. I believe this is also healthy empowerment, but as and if this develops, it may cause us to need to rethink the role or perhaps even the need for the Shared Governance Council. Again, we can reflect as these processes go forward and come to whatever decisions will best serve our campus.

As a campus, we are making good progress as well. Academic concerns have been moved to their proper first priority in decision making and planning. Authority and responsibilities are more closely aligned. Bureaucracy is being reduced. Determined efforts to make communication lines open are continuing. Budgets and decision making are decentralized. Assessment, program review, diversity, enhancement, institutional research, and technology coordination are underway and placed within the academic enterprise. A campus advocate has been hard at work for over a semester. A permanent leadership team has been selected.

(President's Remarks continued)

Our teaching, research, and service reflects intellectual accomplishment, vitality and excitement. Our honors class is the brightest and largest in the program's 20-year history. Master of Honors, Professor Holland, believes we can now compete with the best programs in the nation.

External support for research and sponsored programs broke another record last year, and we also set a new high mark in the number of private gifts and grants (16,626). In July, more than 1,600 of the world's top polymer researchers converged on our campus for MacroAkron '94. Even a World Series star has been by to endorse us!

I know none of this is startling news to you, but I think we need to constantly remind ourselves of past achievements as we look and move forward toward new horizons. We do, of course, continue to have a variety of challenges to meet - the foremost one being enrollment. Our summer enrollment held steady, but the first-day enrollment for fall was lower than we had hoped. Nonetheless, I believe the decision to implement our conditional admissions policy was the correct one even in these challenging times.

It is still my hope, however, that we will be able to meet our budget projections (of a 4.5% decline) by the 14th day. In our enrollment-driven budget the number of students we serve determines our income. Beyond enrollment, our greatest challenge - and opportunity - in the coming year will be giving form and detail to our academic agenda for the new century. Each of the colleges now is shaping its own individual vision, within the framework of the six strategic directions, that collectively will form the institution's academic agenda.

Certainly, all of higher education faces a different and in many ways a difficult future. But as I have said many times, our challenge is to find the ways to make it a better one. Over the past two years, we have begun the sobering process of charting what we need to do to accomplish that.

We are not there yet, but we are finding our way to a better future by freely sharing information and by inviting every member of our campus community to work together for our best future. It has and will continue to take a lot of patience, openness, and hard copy to help people believe we truly need everyone and that together we can chart the good course. I will share more of my thoughts about our future in my convocation address on Tuesday, September 13, at 3:00 p.m. in the Gardner Theatre. I hope you will be able to attend.

In the interest of the time you need to complete your business this afternoon, I will conclude now, with my thanks and best wishes to each of you in this new year.

Once more into the brink, dear friends! Once more into the brink.

APPENDIX B

Report of the Executive Committee

The Executive Committee met on May 19, June 9, and August 15. The Committee certified elections, made committee assignments, responded to a request to name two faculty members to the University Research Council, and set the agenda for today's meeting.

Respectfully submitted by David R. Brink,
Secretary, Faculty Senate

Budget Report

The budget report is for the period ending July 31, 1994, which concludes the first month of the new fiscal year. Carryover in the budget from the 1993-94 fiscal year totals \$4,261.66. The approved budget for the 1994-95 fiscal year is \$55,920.00, bringing the total operating budget to \$60,181.66.

Respectfully submitted by Joanne Fleming,
Vice-Chair of Faculty Senate

APPENDIX C

Report of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities Committee

The Committee formally considered and resolved eight filed grievances and informally responded to numerous inquiries that did not result in the filing of grievances. There were no outstanding grievances at the end of the Fall 1994 semester.

Respectfully submitted by Allen M. Cabral, Chair

APPENDIX D

Report of University Well-Being Committee

At the December, 1993, Faculty Senate meeting, two recommendations from the Task Force on Part-time Faculty issues were referred to other Senate committees and legal counsel to be looked over concurrently with the University Well-Being Committee. Recommendation one dealing with workload was referred to APCC, Reference Committee and legal counsel with item #2 also referred to BPCC. We have received no reports. Recommendation two dealing with salary implications was referred to BPCC. We have received no report on that issue either.

The purpose of these concurrent referrals was to streamline the process to enable a well thought-out and expedient resolution. University Well-Being Committee has been unable to address these issues while waiting for input from the other committees and legal counsel.

I am making a formal request for information from all groups involved.

I would also like to request that the Task Force Report in its entirety be referred to LRPC due to its obvious impact on issues addressed by that committee.

Respectfully submitted by Barbara Bucey, Chair

APPENDIX E

Report of Graduate Council

The Graduate Council met eight times during the 1993-94 academic year. September 13, 1993, was devoted to organizational matters. Regular business was conducted on September 27, October 25, November 22, 1993; and January 24, February 28, March 28, and April 25, 1994.

Officers of Graduate Council for 1993-94 were Ralph Darr (Education), Vice Chair, and Paul Kuzdrall (Business Administration), Secretary. Chairs of standing committees were Monte Turner (Biology), Membership; Sue Hardin (Psychology), Curriculum; Diana Reep (English), Student Policy.

Members of Graduate Council whose terms ended this academic year included Drs. William Brittain, Richard Franklin, Karyn Katz, and Robert Liang. They formed a nominating committee and elections were held for replacement Council members.

Interim Dean Charles Dye reviewed the function and status of the Committee for the Enhancement of the Research Environment. It was his recommendation to abolish that committee in light of its inactivity for the past three years. Graduate Council voted to approve the recommendation.

The Council agreed that the "Grievance Procedures for Graduate Students," with the minor editorial revisions recommended by the University's Legal Counsel, did not need to return to the Graduate Faculty for re-approval.

The Curriculum Committee reviewed and approved 71 curriculum proposals throughout academic year 1993-94. The Student Policy Committee had no business to report for 1993-94.

The Membership Committee approved 93 faculty for new or continuation Graduate Faculty status and denied two applications. Departmental guidelines for graduate faculty membership were revised and approved for the Schools of Art and Home Economics and Family Ecology and for the College of Nursing.

Respectfully submitted by Ralph F. Darr, Jr., Vice Chair

APPENDIX F

Report of Campus Facilities Planning Committee

MEETING OF MAY 11, 1994

Members in attendance: Guegold, Gunn, Dunning, Dye, Fowler, Sterns, Jamison (guest), Trouard, Kline, Bartlett, Ryan, Creel (guest), Buchthal, Moore, Drummond.

The meeting was called to order at 2:30 p.m. by Dr. Guegold.

The meeting began with more discussion concerning the Arts and Sciences General Studies laboratory needs and the ratio of classroom vs. lab space across campus. Phil Bartlett presented a listing of labs on campus and it seemed that the ratio was already quite high in favor of labs. Other factors to consider when looking at any such ratio include square footage, times scheduled each day and week, contact hours, as well as generated credit hours. In the ensuing discussion, it was decided to seek out some specific information from the Biology department concerning their lab needs.

During this break in the discussion, Dr. Linda Moore, Dean of the College of Fine and Applied Arts, presented the needs for an addition to Guzzetta Hall.

Dean Moore described the deplorable condition of the Ballet Center facilities and the need for having Dance, Music and Drama in close proximity. There are numerous problems with maintenance in the building occupied by Dance currently. The space has been described as sub-standard. The growth of the fine arts schools in the college since Guzzetta Hall was built has been tremendous and the building is no longer able to handle all of the demands for performances, as well as teaching. The number of majors using the building has grown from 200 in 1976 to 640 in the fall of 1993. Some discussion took place concerning the use of E.J. Thomas and it was pointed out that this new addition to Guzzetta would be purely an "educational" building.

The committee unanimously passed a motion to support an addition to Guzzetta.

Dr. Jerry Stinner from Biology came in to discuss the lab needs of his area and the setup of the LRC. He agreed that moving the LRC to Polsky's, if it is to be located with a lab, would be reasonable. The discussion continued concerning the new General Studies labs and Phil Bartlett was asked to prepare an estimate of costs concerning some alternate lab situations that would better serve the units and perhaps save costs.

The committee accepted with thanks a report from Randolph Richardson, University Architect, to Roger Ryan concerning the 1995-96 Biennium Renovations Projects. This communication was much appreciated.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 4:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Dr. William K. Guegold, Chair

Report of Campus Facilities Planning Committee continued

MEETING OF JUNE 1, 1994

Members in attendance: Guegold, Kline, Midha (for Buchthal), Dunning, Keller, Bartlett, Creel (guest), Gunn, Dye, Sterns, Drummond, Fowler.

The meeting was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by Dr. Guegold. The basic charge for the meeting was to discuss and act on a proposal prepared by Phil Bartlett at the request of the committee at its May 28 meeting.

The request was precipitated due to the cost of General Education Laboratory space possibilities presented at the May 18 meeting with an idea to save money and make the space as convenient as possible.

The basic proposal called for 1) the construction of a Natural Science Lab in the Polsky Building and the relocation of the Learning Resource Center to Polsky's; 2) the Physics Lab being located in Ayer 110; 3) the Geology Lab in Crouse 214 (previously approved by the committee); and 4) a Math Computer lab being constructed in the Polsky Building. The cost of the proposal totaled about \$90,000 but would result in an approximate savings of 60-70% based on previous plans for these labs. It was also noted that this figure does not include furniture and equipment with those two items adding about another \$252,000 to the cost for these projects.

Discussion included the continuing concern about the loss of general class space and the burden any of the lab proposals placed on scheduling for the 1994 Fall Semester. It was noted that there would be a shortage of about five classrooms in the fall and that some sections would need to be moved during Summer II for the conversions to take place. The University may need to delay demolition of East Hall or find suitable space through the leasing or rental of space off campus to fill these needs.

With special emphasis on the cost savings of the proposal, the committee passed a recommendation that it be adopted in its entirety and thanked Phil for his efforts to put such ideas on paper for us to examine in such short order.

The committee also recommended that classrooms 497 and 499 in Polsky's currently used by Social Work, be used for the Math Computer Lab as they are currently wired for such use. This would result in another savings of about \$5,000. Social Work should then be given permanent use of 419 and 421 to replace this lost space. The committee respects the concerns of Social Work and their request to keep 497 and 499, but believes cost savings and the time required to convert the other classrooms should be paramount in the decision-making process at this time.

The committee left open the possibility of meeting again later in the summer if additional space requests are received.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Dr. William K. Guegold, Chair

APPENDIX G

Report of Computing and Communication Technologies Committee (CCTC)

The CCTC has met on a monthly basis throughout the 1993-1994 school year, and during the summer, 1994. The members of the committee have worked very hard at formulating a five-year plan for the University's computing needs.

Onadell Bly chaired the Networking and Information Accessibility Subcommittee, which prepared a draft on the needs of students, faculty and staff in the area of information access via computers.

Bob Dial chaired a Subcommittee dealing with Training, Technical Support and Maintenance, which formulated a draft addressing the future needs in these key areas.

The Hardware and Software Subcommittee was chaired by Rebecca McCollum and Scott Forbes, and focused on the computing equipment and software which should be available to faculty, staff, and students over the next five years. The administrative needs of the University, and the Library resources have also been addressed.

The CCTC is hopeful that it can submit a proposal to the Faculty Senate in the near future which incorporates all of the above concepts, and fully addresses the computing and communication needs of the University. Significant resources may be necessary to achieve the goals of this committee, and the CCTC is hopeful that the Faculty Senate will be supportive of the steps needed to improve the computing capabilities on campus.

Respectfully submitted by Ray Sibberson, Chair

APPENDIX H

RESOLUTION ON PART-TIME FACULTY FEE REMISSIONS AS ORIGINALLY PASSED BY UNIVERSITY COUNCIL ON MAY 2, 1991, AND MAY 5, 1992

WHEREAS, part-time faculty are a much needed teaching component of the University faculty, and are responsible for 80% of the instruction of General Studies, in University College; and,

WHEREAS, adding transferability would serve as an enrollment incentive to dependents of P.T.F. thereby increasing student enrollment and state subvention at a time when the student pool is declining; and,

WHEREAS, the granting of this benefit will not create a significant expense for the University because of the small number of P.T.F. involved. In fact, for many semesters, the University will realize a net gain from subvention; and,

WHEREAS, this request enables the University to grant a benefit which will be used by few, but which will raise the morale and improve the working conditions for all part-time faculty.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE FACULTY WELL-BEING COMMITTEE REQUESTS UNIVERSITY COUNCIL TO RECOMMEND THAT THE PRESIDENT CONSIDER GRANTING THE TRANSFER OF THE SINGLE COURSE REMISSION OF THE PART-TIME FACULTY TO THEIR ELIGIBLE DEPENDENTS EFFECTIVE SUMMER SESSION I 1992. ALL EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF CREDITS ALLOWED AND TIME ELIGIBILITY WILL REMAIN THE SAME. (As printed in the *Chronicle*, June 18, 1992.)

.....
RESOLUTION ON PART-TIME FACULTY FEE REMISSION AS ORIGINALLY PASSED BY COUNCIL ON MAY 2, 1991, AND MAY 5, 1992.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE FACULTY SENATE REAFFIRMS THE REQUEST TO GRANT THE TRANSFER OF THE SINGLE COURSE REMISSION OF THE PART-TIME FACULTY TO THEIR ELIGIBLE DEPENDENTS EFFECTIVE FALL, 1994. ALL EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON THE NUMBER OF CREDITS ALLOWED AND TIME ELIGIBILITY WILL REMAIN THE SAME.

NOTICE TO UA STUDENTS, FACULTY, STAFF

The "Chronicle" is now available on Campuserve. There are multiple ways students, faculty, and staff can access the University's campus information service - Campuserve. These are:

From the following computer facilities, which are available to all students, faculty and staff:

Bierce Library, Room 274A

Gladwin Hall, Room 306

Computer Center, Rooms 139 and 146

Olin Hall, Room 273

Gallucci Hall, Room 100

Polsky Building, Room 267

The computer systems at these locations display a menu of services from which to choose. Campuserve can be found under the "Networking" menu selection.

From computer systems connected to ZIPnet -- the University's campus computer network: Campuserve can be found under the "Network Services" or "Remote Machines" menu selection.

From either of the University's mainframe systems -- VM/CMS or DEC/Ultrix (Dax): Access Campuserve by entering "campuserve" at the system prompt.

From off-campus using a home computer system with a modem:

Connect to the University by dialing either 972-6820 or 972-6800. The modem settings must be: 8 data bits, No parity, 1 stop bit, and VT100 or ANSI terminal emulation.

Once connected, Campuserve can be found on the menu displayed.

People needing assistance with using any of these should call the Information Services Help Desk at 972-6888.

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ACCESS ANY OF THE ABOVE METHODS AND WISH TO RECEIVE THE CHRONICLE IN HARD COPY, PLEASE INDICATE ON THE FORM BELOW AND RETURN TO MARILYN QUILLIN, +4910.

I would like to continue receiving the "Chronicle" through campus mail, as I do not have electronic capabilities for receiving same.

Name _____

Zip _____



♦

♦



♦

♦

