

The University of Akron

IdeaExchange@UAkron

The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle

3-2-1995

Faculty Senate Chronicle March 2, 1995

Heather M. Loughney

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/universityofakronfacultysenate>

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you [through this survey](#). Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.



1994-95, No. 6

March 2, 1995

45 Pages

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Minutes of the Faculty Senate Meeting of March 2, 1995	1
Appendices to the Minutes of the Meeting of Faculty Senate of March 2, 1995	15
A. Chairman's Remarks	15
B. Remarks of the President of the University	17
C. Report of the <i>Executive Committee</i>	19
D. Report of <i>Academic Policies, Curriculum and Calendar Committee</i>	20
E. Report of <i>Athletics Committee</i>	21
F. Report of <i>Campus Facilities Planning Committee</i>	32
G. Report of <i>University Libraries Committee</i>	33
H. Report of <i>Reference Committee</i>	39
I. Report of <i>Student Affairs Committee</i>	40
J. Report of <i>Computing and Communications Technologies Committee</i>	41
K. Report of <i>Shared Governance Council</i>	42
L. Proposed Bylaw Revisions for Faculty Senate	43
Curriculum Changes	44



**THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON FACULTY SENATE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF MARCH 2, 1995**

The regular meeting of the Faculty Senate was called to order by Chairman Cheung at 3:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 2, 1995, in Room 201 of the Buckingham Center for Continuing Education.

Forty-nine of the fifty-six members of the Faculty Senate were in attendance. Senators Fleming, Gunn, C. Newman, I. Newman and Peeples were absent with notice. Senator Johnson was absent without notice.

SENATE ACTION

- * **APPROVED PROPOSALS TO MERGE TWO DEPARTMENTS AND TWO CENTERS IN THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION**
- * **APPROVED CURRICULUM PROPOSALS**
- * **APPROVED MOTION TO REQUEST LRPC TO MAKE THE LIBRARY A BUDGET PRIORITY OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS**
- * **REQUESTED BPCC TO STUDY THE MEANS AND AMOUNT OF FUNDING FOR THE RESEARCH (FACULTY PROJECTS) COMMITTEE**

I. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA - Senator Clinefelter moved approval of the agenda. Seconded by Senator Brink. Motion carried.

II. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 2, 1995 - Chairman Cheung noted one correction. On page 5, under student outcome assessment committee, the minutes should read that it was the Provost's request, not the President's. Secretary Brink said he had a minor correction on page 13, third paragraph. In the second to last line, it said "so 'he' thought was to deal." It should read, "so 'his' thought was to deal."

Senator Prough moved to approve the minutes as corrected; seconded by Senator Oller. Motion to approve the minutes carried.

III. CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS - (Appendix A)

IV. SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENTS - There were none.

V. REPORTS

A. Remarks of the President of the University - (Appendix B)

Senator Buchthal said he had read in the newspaper that there were plans for the Summit County Board of Elections offices to move from Exchange Street to Grant Street. They were planning to sell the building on Exchange Street to The University of Akron for \$500,000. As a member of the Campus Facilities Planning Committee, he had not heard anything about that, and he wondered if the President could inform the Senate of the plans for that building.

President Elliott said she did not know much about it. Some time ago, the Board of Elections approached The University of Akron Foundation and asked whether it would have any interest in purchasing the site on behalf of the University for a "land bank" on that side of Exchange Street for a time when the university might want to use it. At that time, the response to this question was no. The university was not making any kind of purchase like that, and if the university accepted a purchase, it would come through the Campus Facilities Planning Committee.

Senator Oller referred to the "Beacon Journal" article regarding the Board of Trustees meeting, and inquired about the meaning of General Counsel Ted Mallo's new title, "Inspector General Liaison." What duties went with that title? President Elliott replied facetiously by saying Mr. Mallo would not have to wear a funny coat with epaulets. She deferred to Provost Jamison.

Provost Jamison said that the general counsels were Assistant Attorneys General. Formerly, when there was a violation of state law or policy by a state agency, the investigating body was the Ohio Highway Patrol; they had an office that performed those investigations. Under a revision, the legislature created an office called Inspector General, which would review spending, ethics violations, etc., at various state institutions, including the universities. The University of Akron was required to designate someone from the campus to be the liaison with the Inspector General's office. That was what the title meant and that was what his role would be in that regard.

Senator Oller asked whether there were duties that would be performed on a regular basis. Provost Jamison thought they would be generally related to the role of general counsel. The legislation required the designation and title.

Senator Oller asked whether this title/role change merited a \$15,000 raise. President Elliott thought that in the eyes of the Board it was attached to the responsibilities of having general counsel representation at each committee meeting, which was certainly something that Board members felt more comfortable with given the litigious times. They thought there should be some compensation for the additional responsibilities. Under the Ohio Revised Code, the secretary to the Board and the assistant secretary to the Board were elective offices that were held separately from positions within the university proper. It was the Board's determination that there needed to be some kind of reasonable response to the extra work load that was imposed by the election to both of these two new offices.

Senator Clinefelter asked whether Mr. Mallo's appointment was for one year and asked if it was renewable. President Elliott said it was an annual election.

President Elliott also noted that there was a good article in the "Beacon Journal" today about Jacob Lawrence coming to campus on Saturday. She thanked the Art Department for its work in securing this preeminent Afro-American artist and to the "Beacon Journal" for its gift with the Knight Foundation.

B. Remarks of the Provost - Provost Jamison said the process for curricular change that the President addressed in her remarks had been the subject of active discussion in APCC and progress was being made. He thought the campus was ready for a good deal more decentralization of authority than formerly, and he looked forward to coming up with a process that would allow that.

His second item was the enrollment effort. A series of initiatives have been undertaken that should enhance the enrollment picture at The University of Akron. These would be discussed at the Enrollment Summit on Tuesday next. He said that he had been visiting the colleges to discuss from the academic side the way enrollments had grown and diminished at the university, and what affect that growth had on planning and budgets. He thanked all the faculty who had participated in those meetings. He found the meetings very instructive and had taken careful notes. He thought there were a lot of initiatives to take forward in which all could be partners in doing something about what had happened to enrollment at the university.

Third, he wanted to update the Senate on matters involving the deans. When this year began, three dean positions were open. The first of those searches had been completed. Professor Richard Aynes was recommended to the Board as the Dean of the School of Law and the Board accepted that recommendation. The College of Business Administration dean search had reached the stage of five persons visiting the campus for final interviews. The College of Engineering dean search was at the stage immediately before that, where the committee was narrowing names to candidates for the first round of interviews. Also, he reported that there had been active participation of the faculty in rewriting the guidelines for evaluation of deans, and because Joe Walton was in attendance today, he wanted to credit him for directing this extensive effort. Three deans were to be reviewed this spring, such reviews to be completed by May.

Finally, as the President reported, five colleges had submitted plans. Provost Jamison knew this was a time-consuming effort. He knew that since there had been much planning here in the past which had resulted in very little, there was probably a little skepticism. He said President Elliott was absolutely committed to the planning process; that the Long Range Planning Committee would be actively involved in working with these plans and that he would support the notion that the nonacademic units have plans as well. He thought that was very important. The campus was moving forward and he was very grateful for the extraordinary efforts faculty had expended.

C. Report of Representative to FACCOBOR - No report.

D. Executive Committee - (Appendix C) - Secretary Brink said the normal report would be included in the "Chronicle." In addition, he had a letter from the Chair to Senator Jo Ann Harris. Unfortunately, she was no longer eligible to sit on the Senate since she became Interim Associate Provost. The bylaws were quite clear about that issue, so someone would have to be elected to serve out the rest of her term on LRPC.

E. Faculty Rights and Responsibilities Committee - No report.

F. University Well-Being Committee - Senator Bucey reported that the committee met on Friday the 17th to discuss various items, one of which was the health benefits packages that were looked at by the Board, Faith Helmick and Desnay Lohrum. The committee wanted to express its gratitude to the administration and Board for their efforts to maintain the health benefits. The second item was selection of the new committee Chair. She was stepping down as Chair, but would continue her term as a member of University Well Being. Senator Drummond had graciously accepted the Chair. Another item she mentioned was various rumors that had circulated regarding elimination of the third window of ERIP. President Elliott responded that ERIP was passed as an entire package of three windows. The program remained whole.

G. Graduate Council - No report.

H. Academic Policies, Curriculum and Calendar Committee - (Appendix D) Assistant Provost Kelly reported that the committee met on February 23, and he had a couple of items for action by Faculty Senate. First were policy items. The committee recommended approval of the requests from the College of Education to merge the primary and secondary education departments into one department to be named the Department of Curricular and Instructional Studies, and to merge the Center for Urban Education and the Center for Higher Education into the Center for Urban and Higher Education. Chairman Cheung noted that as a committee action, it was seconded.

Senator McGucken wanted to repeat the question he had in November when the issue came up. He could not understand how two departments could merge into one and yet there be no need to renumber the courses. Assistant Provost Kelly said that the course numbers would not be changing. The degrees were going to remain the same.

Senator Moss said that was correct. The old departments had different certification programs and the courses obviously were related to those certification programs. There would still be a program for teachers' training for the secondary areas and a program for teachers' training in the elementary areas. Senator Wright added that the change meant they would not have to have two department chairs.

Motion to merge the departments of primary and secondary education into one department, and the Center for Urban Education and the Center for Higher Education into the Center for Urban and Higher Education carried.

Assistant Provost Kelly said the committee recommended the following curricular proposals: Wayne College 95-02 and 95-03, that were approved as revised by Wayne College; the only revision being a revision in rationale; ED-95-01; Fine & Applied Arts 95-01, a minor revision to correct a typo; Fine & Applied Arts 95-05; Fine & Applied Arts, 95-09; Business Administration 95-06 as revised, which he would speak to anon; Business Administration 95-08 as revised; Business Administration 95-09; Business Administration 95-10 was approved pending approval by Graduate Council, which had not yet been received. BA-95-11, BA-95-13 and BA-95-14 were approved as revised by Graduate Council.

Business Administration proposals 95-06 and 95-08 were approved as revised by the College of Business Administration at the meeting of February 23. There were revisions to the proposals that were distributed. He had from the college of business a memo to Faculty Senate which

contained the amendments to the curriculum proposals. He had also prepared copies of what the College of Business Administration proposed at the Feb. 23 meeting and he would pass those around.

Chairman Cheung asked whether BA-95-10 was before the body today. Assistant Provost Kelly answered it was not; Graduate Council had not approved it. Chairman Cheung said that all of the proposals were before the body as amended.

Senator Buchthal said he would like to see the modifications.

Senator Weber moved to approve the Wayne College, Education and the Fine Arts proposals. Seconded by Senator Oller.

Motion to divide the APCC motion in this manner carried.

Motion to approve the curriculum proposals from Wayne College, the College of Education, and the College of Fine and Applied Arts as presented by the APCC, carried.

Chairman Cheung said that the remainder of the proposed curriculum changes were before the body with the exception of BA-95-10. Senator Kimmell asked whether there would be an opportunity to discuss 95-10 because it was critical that some action be taken on it. Chairman Cheung said he had no problem with the Senator having an opportunity to explain it, but he did not see how the body could consider it without Graduate Council's approval. Senator Kimmell suggested that only the undergraduate parts of the proposal be considered.

Senator Oller requested an explanation of the changes that were being passed out, as it was hard to understand exactly what the differences were.

Assistant Provost Kelly said that the College of Business Administration proposed changing three business requirements in the original version of BA-95-08. That full proposal did not pass the curriculum subcommittee of APCC. The objections were to the elimination of some of the pre-business requirements; specifically, the elimination of concepts of calculus as a pre-business requirement, the elimination of a basic programming course as a business requirement, and a reduction in the credit hours in behavioral science from 6 to 3 as pre-business requirements. The College of Business Administration brought this amended proposal to the full APCC on February 23 and reinstated those three courses as pre-business requirements. BA-95-06 was a proposal from the College of Business Administration for a new degree in social science business administration. The objection of the subcommittee of APCC was the same objection it had to 95-08 - that it did not have as pre-business requirements the concepts of calculus, the basic programming and another three credits of behavioral science. So the amended version of BA-95-06 included those three things as pre-business requirements and the rest of the proposal of BA-95-06 remained the same as originally presented.

Senator Focht asked whether the Senate was likely to get run over by a train later today with regard to the business proposals and the modifications to the curricular procedure. He thought he sensed some animosity earlier because APCC turned down some curriculum proposals and slowed them up so the Senate was going to be presented with a more rapid response. Was that not the

case? President Elliott responded that she had brought as a concern from the President's Advisory Council the complexity and slowness of the present system, and a request for a streamlined process for colleges who felt they had changes that were urgent. It was not related to any specific degree program.

Motion to approve the remainder of the proposed curriculum changes with the exception of BA-95-10 carried.

Chairman Cheung asked whether APCC wanted the body to consider a portion of BA-95-10. Assistant Provost Kelly said he thought that would be appropriate.

Senator Kimmell said that the College of Business Administration had been in the process of changing its curriculum for about two years. 95-10 was the change of courses from 4 credits to 3 credits and the addition of a few undergraduate courses which did not have graduate counterparts. It was critical for the college to get this curriculum passed for a number of reasons. First, for accreditation. Second, the registrar was waiting for this curriculum to be passed so that schedules could be printed. If this curriculum item was not passed, then there would have to be supplemental schedules prepared just for the college of business. He shuddered to think of the confusion that this would cause for students. He thought it was not necessary to defer action on the undergraduate portion of this particular proposal. If it was necessary to defer action relative to the graduate courses that were listed, then that was the way it had to be.

Assistant Provost Kelly said the committee would like the Senate to consider the undergraduate portion of BA-95-10. Chairman Cheung noted that it was considered seconded.

Senator Erickson expressed confusion. In 95-10, the first courses were no problem; most of them were undergraduate. But then 420-520 appeared. Was the body being asked to accept 420 but not 520? Chairman Cheung said that would be his understanding of the numbering system.

Chairman Cheung asked whether there were objections to the graduate portion. Assistant Provost Kelly said that what Graduate Council requested was a bibliography for proposed new courses. Apparently, that had not been voted on.

Senator Buchthal asked whether it was out of line to approve the graduate portion contingent on the approval of Graduate Council so that it was not bouncing back and forth month to month. Chairman Cheung said that would not be out of line.

Senator Buchthal moved to amend the proposal to approve the undergraduate portion and the graduate portion, contingent on the approval of Graduate Council on BA-95-10. Seconded by Senator Kimmell.

Motion to amend carried.

Amended motion to approve BA-95-10 carried.

I. Athletics Committee - Senator Aupperle said he had two issues to report. First, the Athletics Committee selected Senator Brink to serve on the search committee for the men's basketball coach.

Second, he was distributing copies of a revised drug testing policy for student athletes. (Appendix E) This policy had been worked on extensively by outside counsel, Bruce Hunsicker, by inside counsel, Isiah Daniels, by the athletic director, Mike Bobinsky, and by himself. Senator R. Gigliotti had reviewed the final draft also. He said that the revised drug testing policy included a procedure for the role of the Athletics Committee in student appeals. The committee's role was ambiguous in the current policy, and an attempt had been made to make this more straightforward.

Chairman Cheung observed that the Senator was moving the adoption of this drug testing policy and that it was automatically seconded.

Senator Siloac said he had a problem voting on a proposal which was distributed at the beginning of the meeting and which he had not had an opportunity to read. He moved to postpone it until the next meeting. Seconded by Senator Oller.

Senator Clinefelter noted that the document did not show what the changes were from the original policy, and requested a marked-up copy upon postponement.

Senator Rich asked whether some expense could be saved by making this document available on Campuserve. Chairman Cheung said he would take that under advisement; however, he did not believe all the Senators were on the net.

Senator Patton asked whether this was a new policy. Senator Aupperle said it probably represented about a 25% change.

Motion to postpone voting on the drug testing policy proposal carried.

J. Campus Facilities Planning Committee - (Appendix F)

K. University Libraries Committee - (Appendix G) Senator Patton distributed a handout and thanked Dr. Roger Durbin for preparing it. She said Dr. Durbin was also present as a guest to help answer questions on these statistics. At last month's meeting, she mentioned that the University Libraries Committee was compiling some comparative data. The first item was The University of Akron library costs and collections. She called Senators' attention to the fact that in the last ten years the cost of serials had almost doubled, while the number of serial subscriptions had reduced almost by half. The serials' inflation rate for 93-94 was only 9.6%, while the year before it had been 12.2%. Book costs had considerably gone up. The second item was library expenditures for MAC institutions. Dr. Durbin had compared The University of Akron with other MAC institutions for the number of full-time students, total budget, materials expenditures, salaries and volumes. These were ranked at the bottom. The very last column showed where The University of Akron stood in comparison to MAC institutions. One could see that, for instance, in full-time equivalent students, Akron was 2 out of 10, while for total budget Akron was 9 out of 10. For professional salaries Akron was 9 out of 10 and 10 out of 10 for non-professional salaries. The university was not paying its library personnel well. The second MAC comparison was full-time equivalent and materials expenditures. Akron's rank was 6 out of 10; for full-time equivalent enrollment with MAC institutions, Akron was 2 out of 10; and for dollars for full-time equivalents Akron was 5 out of 10. The next comparison was with selected OhioLink institutions. For number of full-time students Akron was 1 of 8, while for total library budget it was 7 of 8. For salaries, Akron fell 7

out of 8 or 8 out of 8, depending on professional or non-professional. The last page was OhioLink full-time equivalent and materials expenditure, and Akron was almost at the bottom, 7 out of 8.

In light of the libraries at The University of Akron in comparison to with OhioLink and MAC institutions, the University Libraries Committee agreed by consensus to ask the Faculty Senate to direct the Long Range Planning Committee to look at the library and make it a priority by increasing the total library budget by 10% over the next five years. The library did well with the resources it had. However, they were not paying their personnel well. They were not staying up with technological advances. They were at the bottom line now on reduction of serials. They felt the collection would be seriously jeopardized if they reduce the number of serials further for cost containment reasons, and the university was barely staying ahead of the inflation rate for the serials it presently had.

Chairman Cheung said he interpreted that as a seconded motion from the committee.

President Elliott wondered whether the committee could get a percentage of the budget number, because if tuition rates were compared, Akron ranked 10 out of 10, and that was where money came from. It might be a useful document, as arguments were made for looking at the whole funding mechanism.

Senator Ranson wanted to clarify that this was 10% per year for five years. Chairman Cheung said this was correct.

Senator Siloac moved to refer this item to BPCC. Chairman Cheung said that LRPC was where the committee wanted to direct this issue.

Senator Kimmell asked for the motion to be read again. Chairman Cheung replied that in light of the library's rank in comparison with OhioLink and MAC institutions, the University Libraries Committee agreed by consensus to ask the Faculty Senate to direct the Long Range Planning Committee to consider making libraries a priority by increasing the total library budget by 10% per year for the next five years.

Senator Kimmell noted that the Senate was asking LRPC to consider it, not directing them to do so. Chairman Cheung said he did not believe it was within the body's purview to tell LRPC what conclusion to reach on any matter.

Senator McGucken said that in line with what was just said, he thought the motion could be strengthened by saying, "The committee recommends that the Senate recommend to the other body that the 10% be given each year over the next five years." Chairman Cheung interpreted this as a move to amend. Seconded by Senator Hariharan.

Senator Rich stated that some data had been distributed describing the current position. He wondered whether there was data that would bear on the question of what Akron's position would be five years hence if this recommendation was followed. The budget to the library would be more than half again what it was now at the end of five years, which might not even be enough, but it seemed a significant change to him. He wondered whether there was data to support that particular funding level. The data clearly indicated there was a problem. They did not indicate that that was

necessarily the right level to pursue. Chairman Cheung observed that LRPC would be charged with determining if that was an appropriate level, although the body was recommending a number to them. Senator Rich said that if the body was recommending a number, he presumed there ought to be some basis for the recommendation, if made.

Senator Weber spoke against the amendment. Her concern was not that the library should not be a priority, but that there were other issues which were also priorities. If the amendment was passed, the body would be indicating that this was its top priority.

Senator Clinefelter thought that the Long Range Planning Committee would study this and make a recommendation. It would be free to make a recommendation whether it was this figure or whether it was something else.

Senator R. Gigliotti said that the word "recommends" assumed that one had at one's disposal a lot of data that allowed the making of an informed recommendation. That made him very nervous. Even though he was sure most Senators were very much in support of increasing the library budget perhaps more than 10%, he would feel much more comfortable allowing the members of LRPC to use their judgment and data at their disposal to see how the library budget fit with other budgets.

Senator McGucken's amendment to change "requests" to "recommends" was defeated.

Chairman Cheung restated the motion: The Faculty Senate requests that the Long Range Planning Committee consider making the library a priority by increasing the total library budget by 10% per year over the next five years.

Senator Gottfried thought that the 10% should be removed, because no one knew how much would be needed. She moved to amend the motion in such manner. Seconded by Senator Keener.

Senator Oller asked whether it was appropriate now to ask again the question that was raised by Senator Rich for Dr. Durbin to explain the rationale for the figure.

Hearing no objections to permitting Roger Durbin, Associate Dean of the University Libraries, to speak, Chairman Cheung recognized him. Associate Dean Durbin explained that the 10% amount per year for five years would bring The University of Akron libraries to the mid-range of 5 of 10 in all categories.

Chairman Cheung asked what assumptions were made about other universities. Associate Dean Durbin replied that the projections assumed that other library funding rates would have a constant growth rate. Akron's position had not changed in ten years because everybody received nearly the same amount of increase per year. The positions stayed the same.

Senator Patton continued saying that Akron had been pretty much at the bottom of the barrel for 10 years. The library committee would like to do something about that. The 10% per year seemed reasonable to get Akron to the mid-range, if everybody else got the same percentage increase they had been receiving.

Senator Aupperle said he did not think the 10% needed to be changed. Ultimately, this would come to BPCC. It was probably worthwhile for the Senate to send the 10% to LRPC. They would peruse it, and send it to BPCC. LRPC might change it to 5%; they might change it to 15%; BPCC might provide 0%. At this point it was not fruitful to worry about the figure. There was a long way to go before any funds would be disbursed.

Senator Marquette called the question.

Motion to end debate carried.

Senator Gottfried's amendment to delete the 10% figure was defeated.

Senator Richards called the question.

There was no further discussion. The motion that the Faculty Senate request LRPC to consider making the library a priority by increasing the total library budget by 10% per year over the next five years carried.

L. Reference Committee - (Appendix H) Senator Moss said the Reference Committee met, looked over the "Faculty Manual" with great diligence, and recommended a number of additions and deletions. The most important was the elimination of the section on University Council and the addition of a section on the Faculty Senate. In making this change, she was uncertain as to how much information to provide about Faculty Senate. As a result, she included the duties of Faculty Senate, information on membership requirements, and information on committees. In addition, the complete Bylaws of the Senate would go into a separate section of the "Faculty Manual." As she was assembling this, she noted a large number of policies that appeared to have changed. Many of the changes were minor; they included, for example, the addition of a particular person on a committee. She did not include all of those in the attachments. This would be approximately 20 pages in length. She said she had that information with her but did not distribute it in its entirety. The committee intended to put this on Campuserve so that people could review it and get an understanding of what was included.

Chairman Cheung observed that the body was not actually approving changes to the "Faculty Manual." The committee just collected what the "Faculty Manual" should be. Senator Moss said this would also include the material to be added since the last time the manual was reviewed.

Senator Marquette said he was troubled by the fact that there had been an abridgement of the material regarding Faculty Senate. Chairman Cheung said that was not the case. The Senate Bylaws stood alone in a separate section of the manual. They would be included in their entirety.

Chairman Cheung confessed to being in a parliamentary quandary. What sparked this was the motion by Senator Oller several meetings ago that the Reference Committee perform the task on which they had just reported. He thanked the committee for its work and the enormous amount of time it took to straighten things out. The directive was to bring the changes to the body at the March meeting, and the body would vote on them at the April meeting. The changes were now before the Senate and the committee was quite within its rights to move and second them at this

meeting. This was the source of his parliamentary discomfort. Did the body wish to consider them now or consider them at the next meeting? He said he would entertain a motion to postpone consideration of these to the next regular meeting. So moved by Senator Oller. Seconded by Senator Clinefelter.

Motion to postpone carried.

M. Research (Faculty Projects) Committee - No report.

N. Student Affairs Committee - (Appendix I)

O. Computing and Communications Technologies Committee - Senator Sibberson informed the Senate that Dr. John Bee, Chair of the Ad Hoc Committee for Distance Learning, met with the CCTC to discuss the electronic university concept, and shared a draft of a proposal that he and his committee were working on. The CCTC was currently reviewing its own 5-year technology plan, which covered hardware, software changes, networking, information access, and technical support training. He also wanted to notify committee members that the meeting for March 3rd was cancelled. (Appendix J)

P. Shared Governance Council and Subcommittees - Senator Huff said that the Shared Governance Council expressed its support for the Senate's recommendation regarding no new non-teaching hires without going through BPCC or APCC. The council wanted to voice its concern, however, about the high faculty/non-faculty ratio on the committees that would be making decisions about non-faculty positions. While it was recognized that the faculty would make wise decisions for the good of the entire university, additional non-faculty input would give a greater understanding of the need. (Appendix K)

Q. Long Range Planning Committee - Senator Marquette reported that LRPC had met a couple of times since the last Senate meeting. The committee requested that the vice-presidential plans appear with the deans' plans. It was the committee's charge to generate a university plan, not merely an academic plan.

Senator Buchthal said that given the scheme of things, LRPC set its agenda and then send it to BPCC for funding. He questioned whether this would be done by next month's meeting. Chairman Cheung replied that BPCC dealt with the year-to-year problems. In the absence of anything from LRPC, BPCC would proceed apace.

Senator Marquette said the planning process at the university had not taken full hold yet. LRPC did not have the deans' plans, nor did they have the vice-presidents' plans. A couple of vice-presidents actually expressed a certain amount of startlement when it became obvious that they were supposed to produce them. There would not be a full plan in place until next year.

Chairman Cheung commented that the "new money" figure now before BPCC was in the neighborhood of \$3 million.

R. Budget and Planning Coordination Committee - Provost Jamison said he wanted to expand a bit on what Chairman Cheung said. In the absence of a comprehensive university plan, BPCC was

concentrating on this so-called "new money." The last projection was that that figure would be about \$4.3 million, based upon an assumption of a 6.2% decline in enrollment and a tuition that would go to the state cap. Dr. Helmick had been instructed to give BPCC some other options should the Board of Trustees not raise tuition all the way to the cap. BPCC was planning to bring a proposal for this "new money" to the April meeting.

S. General Education Advisory Committee - No report.

VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

VII. NEW BUSINESS

A. Faculty Senate Proposed By-Law Revision - Secretary Brink said this section was rewritten due to the frustration of working through the process. With but one exception, the revision was done solely to make the language clearer. The exception he wanted to point out was that in the original bylaws, a standard number of Senators was mentioned. That had been removed. Senators would be elected by the formula of one Senator for every 15 faculty members or fraction thereof. Total membership might fluctuate. Student membership, contract professional membership, and part-time faculty membership were also included.

Chairman Cheung observed that this needed to lay over to the next regular meeting, where it would be considered as unfinished business.

Senator Aupperle moved to amend the proposed by-law revision. (Appendix L) Seconded by Senator Richards. Senator Aupperle said that his proposal was to increase the diversity of the body by adding a new member from another constituency, that being the emeritus faculty. A few weeks ago, someone who had retired spoke with him on the phone saying that he was despondent now that he was emeritus because no one had a use for him any more. He thought it was interesting that before he retired he was able to make a contribution, and now that he was retired there was no contribution to be made. This amendment served two purposes: one was that there would be a more representative body in terms of all the stakeholders, but for those emeriti who would not be a member of the body, the opportunity to vote someone onto this body would sustain their connection to the university. Many emeriti did not see much of a connection to the university when they left. Also, from an economic point of view, some of these individuals were going to be in a position down the road to make substantial contributions to this university.

Senator Focht asked whether this should not just lay with the rest of the proposal. Chairman Cheung said it was a motion to amend the motion of the Executive Committee. The body needed to vote on it. If it was voted up, this did not make it happen; it merely attached it to the material presented by the Executive Committee and it would all lay over until the next meeting. If voted down, it would be dead.

Senator Green said that in the spirit of his colleague's comment, given the lateness of the hour and given that this had to be considered at the next meeting anyway, he moved that it be postponed until the April meeting. Seconded by Senator Marquette. Motion to postpone carried.

B. Research (Faculty Projects) Funding - Senator Sheffer said he wanted to speak to an issue regarding the allocation of funds for the Faculty Projects Research Committee. Since 1990, the approved budget for that committee had dropped from \$87,000 to \$60,000. Each year the committee had to use monies it could secure from either Vice-President Sylvester or Associate Vice-President Griffin to fund the fall and spring research grant programs and the summer fellowships. This year the committee had \$88,000. About \$47,000 was assigned to 13 projects in the fall and about \$40,000 for eight summer fellowships for a total of \$87,000. The Committee now had \$755 for the 21 projects on the slate for spring. Vice-President Sylvester assured the committee that he would locate some funds. But the committee had to go through this process every year, using what had been allocated and then begging for money from the Vice-President. Therefore, he moved to request the BPCC to study the amount and the mechanism by which the Research Faculty Projects Committee received its funding. Seconded by Senator McGucken.

Motion to request BPCC to study Research Faculty Projects Committee's funding carried.

C. Election of Senator to LRPC - Chairman Cheung said that there was another item of business. Because of Interim Associate Provost Harris' administrative appointment, the Senate needed to elect a representative to LRPC. In view of the hour, he asked the will of the body.

Senator John commented that he thought Senator Harris was still a Senator. She was a full-time faculty member and was just in an acting administrative position. Chairman Cheung said that she was no longer a Senator. The Bylaws were quite specific; Section VII, subsection E. Terms of Office; subsection 3: "Should any elected member of the Senate become an administrative officer, either on an acting or permanent basis during the term for which the member was elected to the Senate, the person's seat shall be deemed vacant." The bylaws leave the Executive Committee no judgment other than to observe that this occurred.

Senator John asked whether the C & T should be electing a new Senator to fill her position. Chairman Cheung said that by a copy of his letter he had so informed the dean of the college.

Senator Buchthal asked what colleges' Senators were eligible. Chairman Cheung replied everyone except libraries, part-time, arts & sciences and engineering. Since these constituencies need to caucus, the Executive Committee would place this on the agenda for the next meeting. He requested that the eligible constituencies caucus and consider who should be nominated prior to the next meeting.

VII. GOOD OF THE ORDER

Senator Keener noted that Congress was proposing several cuts in student financial aid. She thought it important that this be fought not only by students, but by faculty, by parents, and by community members. The National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators said that 16 out of 19 programs were to be terminated; that was a \$1.7 billion cut from the Department of Education. Over 6 million college students received federal student aid. Over 13,000 students at The University of Akron could be affected. She asked faculty to call congressmen, and she gave the telephone and FAX numbers of Ohio representatives who served on the House Appropriations Committee.

Chairman Cheung reported that the Ohio Faculty Council was organizing a response to those moves.

President Elliott added that in just one program, the in-school subsidy, University of Akron students would have to pay an additional \$2 million a year. This could significantly reduce our enrollment.

Chairman Cheung asked that Senators hold their schedules open on the Thursday following the April meeting in the event that deliberations over the budget required a special meeting.

IX. ADJOURNMENT - Senator Clinefelter moved to adjourn. Seconded by a senatorial host. Motion to adjourn carried, and the meeting was adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted by
David R. Brink, Secretary

Transcript prepared by Marilyn Ogilvie

APPENDIX A

Chairman's Remarks

My remarks will be much briefer today than last month. I wish to comment on just three items:

I wish to correct some misinformation that appears in a recent communication to the Faculty from UAFPA. Please do not interpret my comments as either supporting or opposing UAFPA; it would be improper for me to do either as your Chair. However, the UAFPA flyer which is numbered Issue 26 contained an implication that there might be some connection of an employer/employee sort between one of our trustees and the new Assistant Secretary to the Board of Trustees, Mr. Russ Sibert. The connection implied simply does not exist. Mr. Sibert's surname and the name of the company mentioned in the UAFPA flyer are not even spelled the same. Mr. Sibert's surname was misspelled in the flyer.

That matter aside, I do feel that the Board has acted in a manner which subverts the planning and budgeting process on our campus. I note with some regret that the "administrative salary burden" of the University increased by at least \$97,000 as a result of appointments and pay raises made at the last Board meeting. I took the liberty of writing the Board and pointing out to them that such actions are damaging to Faculty involvement in the governance of our University.

The last item I wish to mention is that there is quite tangible evidence of the decline in resources devoted to instruction in our University's budgets. I have prepared a spreadsheet looking at that. In it I show figures gleaned from the fiscal year end reports in both gross dollar values (written in scientific notation) and as a percentage of total expenditures in our budgets. As you can see, from its most recent peak of 59.1% in 87/88, the resources devoted to instruction have fallen to 48.1% in the last fiscal year. Clearly, a major shift in resources away from instruction has occurred and is continuing in our budgets. I believe this is a trend that we must halt and indeed reverse if our University is to prosper in the years ahead.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Respectfully submitted by H. Michael Cheung, Chairman

Decline in Resources used for Instruction																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												</			
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----	--	--	--

APPENDIX B

Remarks of the President of the University

Thank you, Dr. Cheung. It is good to be here with all of you once again.

I bring you good news from the Board meeting last week. Trustees unanimously approved new group insurance contracts that will continue the present benefits program through 1996. We will continue to be among the few campuses around Ohio and the nation that do not require faculty and staff to pay monthly premiums for their health insurance coverage.

While we regret any inconvenience that these changes will cause in the short run, I believe that our colleagues are much better off in the long run to have the Board's commitment on health care for the next 22 months.

Among personnel actions, Trustees approved the appointment of Professor Richard Aynes as Dean of the School of Law beginning this June 1 and accepted the early retirement of Vice President Faith Helmick for June of 1996.

Dr. Helmick plans to take advantage of the ERIP option on June 30, 1996. Because the administrative support services post is so complex and involves many key functions of our campus, she thoughtfully made her announcement more than 16 months in advance to help accommodate the need for a well-planned transition and search process. We are grateful Dr. Helmick will serve in the post through June of 1996.

The Board also offered and I have accepted a new three-year appointment, beginning this July 1 and extending through June 30, 1998. I look forward to continuing to work with you in the advancement of our fine institution.

As is typically the case with presidential appointments around the country, I will continue to serve at the pleasure of the Board throughout the period.

I encourage all of you to attend the Enrollment Summit next Tuesday morning. Our guest speaker will be Dr. Roberta Matthews. She will share many ideas for enhancing enrollment and retention at a commuter campus, including techniques such as learning communities.

Also during the Summit, Vice President Caryl Smith and her staff will share an overview of student data for 1993-94; discuss efforts that are now underway in recruitment and retention; and describe how faculty and student affairs can work together to enhance enrollment.

I also must report that the university has received notice of the recommendations of the SERB hearing officer pertaining to the UAFPA faculty union petition. The hearing officer has recommended the "inclusion" of faculty who have signed ERIP commitments and the "exclusion" of contract professionals from the proposed union.

The university does not plan to appeal this determination, which must be voted on by the full SERB sometime in March. Given the redefined bargaining unit, we have asked that the signed interest cards now on file with SERB be counted to determine whether the required 30% expression of interest still remains.

Should SERB certify the unit, we will cooperate to try to schedule an election before the end of this academic year. I too hope that we can put this matter behind us very soon.

I am pleased to note today that five colleges--engineering, community and technical college, nursing, wayne college, and polymer science and polymer engineering--have already submitted their academic plans to Provost Jamison. We really look forward to seeing how our colleagues are shaping their own vision and academic agenda to advance our institutional directions.

However, I am both encouraged and still a bit frustrated by what I have learned about the curricular change process, which clearly is a key component of our planning.

I am encouraged to learn that several of the colleges have made substantial progress in reshaping their curricula to respond to the changed needs of today's students, today's marketplace, and today's accreditation standards.

I note with particular enthusiasm that the college of business faculty has undertaken a comprehensive revision of their entire undergraduate program.

I am dismayed, however, that this particular instance has revealed continued problems with our curriculum change process. While there will be differences in opinions about programs and issues as change takes place, our pace of working through those are making even simple change take enormous amounts of time and thwarting the very will of the faculty most knowledgeable about the programs and the effectiveness needed. The slow pace of the process, shown by the chart I am distributing today, is a critical problem.

I know that the Provost shares my concern, as do many of the faculty, and that APCC is presently at work on a simplification of this cumbersome mechanism.

Given the urgency of the campus accreditation and enrollment situation and the need to advertise change many months in advance of student scheduling, I am making what I believe to be an appropriate request to this body for consideration -- one interestingly enough recommended at the PEW Roundtable session as a wise if extraordinary measure for these extraordinary times.

I invite this body to discuss and hopefully pass a motion today to allow on an interim basis (only until the time that the APCC can bring its completed change and we have ratified that change) the Provost and the Executive Committee of this body to take those changes that have the approval of their colleges and are considered urgent, directly to this body for their advice, consent and/or approval.

I invite this discussion and urge its adoption as essential to our continued progress. I believe such a measure will continue faculty control of this important decision making, prevent a procedural

March 2, 1995 - Page 19

matter from creating damaging enrollment loss and accreditation questions, allow APCC the time to fully deliberate about what a more time and cost effective quality assurance system should be put in place, and finally, release the logjam of change efforts now on a procedural schedule of a far different day.

I look forward to your action on this matter. I consider it most urgent.

Respectfully submitted by Peggy Gordon Elliott, President

APPENDIX C

Report of the Executive Committee

The Executive Committee met on February 9 and 20, 1995. The committee met with the Reference Committee on February 20 and reviewed proposed revisions of the "Faculty Manual." On the 9th, the committee made appointments for committees of the Shared Governance Council; suggested faculty to serve on a student outcomes assessment for the North Central Association; received data from Senator Norfolk on faculty and administrative positions; considered a television ad by The Chapel that prominently featured the university; and set the agenda for today's meeting.

Respectfully submitted by David R. Brink, Secretary

APPENDIX D

Report of Academic Policies, Curriculum and Calendar Committee

The APCC met on February 23, 1995, and presents the following items for action by the Faculty Senate:

POLICY ITEMS

* The committee recommends approval of the request from the College of Education to merge the Primary and Secondary Education Departments into one department to be named the Department for Curricular and Instructional Studies.

* The committee recommends approval of the request from the College of Education to merge the Center for Urban Education and the Center for Higher Education to form the Center for Urban and Higher Education.

LONG-FORM CURRICULUM PROPOSALS

The following proposals are recommended for approval by the Senate:

95-B	WC-95-02 L WC-95-03 L
95-D	ED-95-01 L FA-95-01 L FA-95-05 L FA-95-09 L
95-E	BA-95-06 L BA-95-08 L BA-95-09 L BA-95-10 L BA-95-11 L
95-F	BA-95-13 L BA-95-14 L

Respectfully submitted by David L. Jamison, Senior VP and Provost

APPENDIX E

Report of Athletics Committee

IV. DRUG TESTING

The abuse of drugs and alcohol is currently a serious problem in our nation. Drugs and alcohol have a negative and sometimes deadly effect on those who abuse them. This abuse is disruptive to individual lives and to our entire society. The Department of Athletics at The University of Akron firmly believes that the use of drugs and alcohol can have a negative effect on the performance of the student-athlete, both in the classroom and in the sport. The potential for drug abuse threatens the viability of collegiate athletes, the public's confidence in athletic departments and the academic reputation of colleges and universities. Increased drug and alcohol education and counseling, although critical, are not sufficient by themselves. Drug testing is necessary both to deter drug use and to detect such use as does occur, so that drug abusers, and the threat of injury they represent to themselves and others, may be removed from the athletic department. A principal motivation for drug testing, aside from a concern for the health of student-athletes, is that drug abusers are not physically fit for the rigors of intercollegiate sports and, therefore, pose a risk of serious injury to themselves and others in the course of practice and competition. Moreover, drug abuse undermines the integrity of the University's sports programs.

The University of Akron expects all of its student-athletes to be medically certified for competition, to be appropriately conditioned for competition, to be outfitted with the appropriate safety equipment, and to be provided medical supervision.

Students who choose to become involved in intercollegiate athletics at The University of Akron are also subject to NCAA regulations, which currently require that athletes submit to a drug testing requirement for post-season play. Accordingly, students who do become involved in intercollegiate athletics at The University of Akron will be requested to consent to and participate in the University's Drug Testing Policy.

A. DRUG TESTING PROGRAM

The University of Akron has established a Drug Testing Program, with informed student-athlete consent. The Drug Testing Program is voluntary but each student-athlete is encouraged to participate in the program. For purposes of this program, "student-athletes" shall include all students participating or intending to participate in intercollegiate athletics, as well as all students serving as cheerleaders, trainers, coaches, managers, etc. in connection with the conduct of intercollegiate athletics. The program is designed to offer

positive assistance to student-athletes in dealing with the issues raised with substance use and abuse, by utilizing the following testing approaches:

The University of Akron's Drug Testing Program shall include voluntary testing on a random basis and selective testing based on "reasonable suspicion" that a student-athlete is involved in drug or alcohol abuse. Whenever there is "reasonable suspicion" to believe that a student-athlete is using drugs or alcohol which contraindicate fitness for the rigors of the sport, and thereby poses a risk of serious injury to oneself or others, the student-athlete shall be tested. Random testing shall be used to detect and deter the use of drugs of abuse, including performance-enhancing drugs that undermine the integrity of athletic competition, and whose abuse can reasonably be anticipated to affect performance, health or safety in athletic competition.

"Reasonable suspicion" to believe a student-athlete is using drugs or alcohol shall be based upon the existence of one or more criteria warranting testing to confirm or abate the suspicion. Typical criteria would include, for example, alcohol on breath, slurred or confused speech, unsteady balance of coordination, information received from a reliable informant, unusual weight gain or loss, unusual redness or eyes, inability to focus attention, etc. A head coach, trainer, or other Athletic Department personnel may direct a written request to the Athletic Director to initiate a test based upon "reasonable suspicion"; provided, however, that such request shall set forth the basis for asserting "reasonable suspicion." It is the intent of this program that all testing of individual students shall be initiated only at the discretion of the Athletic Director. The Athletic Director, in consultation with the University physician and University legal counsel, shall provide in-service training for head coaches, trainers and other representatives of the University athletic interests respecting the manner of assessing the existence of "reasonable suspicion." If a student refuses to consent and cooperate with testing when confronted with reasonable suspicion by the Athletic Director, or, when a student who having first given consent, refuses to cooperate with voluntary random testing, any such refusal shall be considered a sufficient basis to immediately suspend the student from participation in intercollegiate athletics for one (1) calendar year from the date of such refusal; and his/her scholarship will be suspended effective with the end of the then current academic term, or as soon thereafter as permitted under NCAA regulations.

Although educational efforts will continue to be the major thrust of addressing drug and alcohol abuse by student-athletes, a clearly defined program of

testing of the urines of student-athletes is considered a reasonable, responsive and necessary element of the total program to deter and detect such use. Implementation and ongoing administration of the program shall be conducted in such a manner as to ensure that the Drug Testing Program will reasonably address the following specific goals of the Program:

1. Serve as a deterrent to drug and alcohol abuse by student-athletes;
2. Identify athletes who are drug or alcohol abusers, who may need to be removed from athletic participation or competition, and who may need and desire counseling and treatment;
3. Promote drug and alcohol abuse education and the availability of treatment or counseling for the student-athlete who needs and desires assistance.

B. DRUGS OF ABUSE

The following are generally regarded as some of the most common drugs of abuse, the use or abuse of which by students competing or participating in intercollegiate athletics constitutes a violation of team and Athletic Department rules. Each drug may be tested for within The University of Akron Drug Testing Program.

Alcohol

Amphetamine (phenethylamine) group: Amphetamine,
Methamphetamine

Barbiturate group: Phenobarbital, Secobarb, etc.

Cannabinoids: THC

Cocaine metabolite

Doping

Marijuana

Methaqualone: Quaalude

Opiate group: Morphine, Codeine, etc.

Phencyclidine: PCP

Propoxyphene: Darvon

Steroids

C. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DRUG TESTING PROGRAM

All student-athletes participating or intending to participate in intercollegiate athletics at The University of Akron are requested to consent to participation in the Drug Testing Program, as well as all students serving as cheerleaders, trainers, managers, coaches, etc., in connection with intercollegiate athletics. No student shall be coerced or otherwise pressured by any representative of the University's athletic interests to participate in voluntary drug testing. Students who choose not to participate in voluntary drug testing shall not, on the basis of such choice, be denied the benefits of participating in intercollegiate athletics, nor shall their status be altered or other punitive action taken against them. The foregoing provision shall not apply in situations involving "Reasonable Suspicion" of drug use in violation of this policy. The University retains the right to test any student-athlete based upon reasonable suspicion of drug use even if consent to the Drug Testing Program has not been given.

All such students shall be provided with a copy of this Policy, and the Drug Testing Protocol; and, shall, prior to participation or competition, confirm in writing that he/she has received, read, and understands its provisions. A copy of this Policy shall also be provided or made available to the parent(s) or legal guardian(s) of all such students, as well as prospective student-athletes and their parent(s) or legal guardian(s) during recruitment contacts. It is the intent of this requirement that there be full disclosure of all facts surrounding the testing program prior to participation or competition; and, in the case of athletic recruits, early in the recruitment process and before any recruit makes a decision upon any offer from the University.

Prior to participation or competition, each such student [and student's parent(s) or legal guardian(s) if the student is a minor] shall be provided with a Consent and Authorization Form which should be properly executed and filed with the office of the Athletic Director. At a minimum, the form shall provide the following:

1. Acknowledgement that the student has read, understands and has been provided a copy of the Drug Testing Program, and agrees to be bound by its provisions; and
2. Specific consent to the manner of collection and testing of urine samples or other testing procedure; and

3. Specific authorization to release information from the student's education records in the manner provided by the Drug Testing Program and pursuant to the provisions of Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974; and, acknowledgement that information from the Testing Program may be accessible to third parties to the extent required or authorized by state or federal law; and
4. Release of liability to the University, its Board, officers, employees, agents and representatives, in connection with the implementation and administration of the Drug Testing Program.

Students who do not wish to execute the Consent and Authorization Form may refuse to do so, however, as noted above, the University retains the right to test any student-athlete based upon reasonable suspicion of drug use. Consent forms and all other student records pertaining to this Policy qualify as "Education Records" under The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, and shall not be released except as provided by law. The President of the University or her or his designee shall designate those school officials who have legitimate interests in personally identifiable information from such records. It is the responsibility of all student-athletes to provide and maintain current and accurate medical information to team physicians and/or University physicians (i.e., use of prescription drugs, etc.)

D. GUIDELINES FOR DEALING WITH POSITIVE TESTS

The following guidelines will be used in dealing with positive tests for student-athletes who execute the Consent and Authorization Form; or, for student-athletes who subsequently consent and cooperate with testing when confronted with reasonable suspicion of drug use. However, nothing herein shall limit or effect any coach's authority to administer reasonable and appropriate discipline or sanctions for violation of team rules.

1. **Positive Test Number One:** The physician responsible for the testing procedure will inform the Athletic Director of the result of a positive test. At this time, the physician, after consultation with the Athletic Director, will determine whether it would be medically advisable for the student not to practice, compete, or otherwise participate in the Intercollegiate Athletic Program and shall so inform the Athletic Director. The Athletic Director will inform the student of the positive test result and the physician's decision regarding the student's ability to continue to participate in athletic competition. At this time, the student

will be reminded of the consequences of future positive tests, and will be informed that he/she may be tested on a more regular basis. The Athletic Director may require the student to participate in counseling or other procedures as deemed warranted, on a case-by-case basis. Refusal or failure to comply with the directions of the physician or the Athletic Director shall be deemed a Second Positive Test.

2. **Positive Test Number Two:** The student will be requested to participate in further consultation with the Athletic Director. The Athletic Director will notify the parent(s) or legal guardian(s) of the student and the Head Coach in the student's sport of the positive results. The student will be notified that weekly testing may be initiated. The Athletic Director may require the student to participate in counseling or other treatment procedures as may be deemed warranted on a case-by-case basis. The physician shall determine whether it would be medically advisable for the student not to practice, compete, or otherwise participate. The Athletic Director may impose a sanction or suspension from all participation until one or more "negative" test results are confirmed by the physician. Refusal or failure to comply with prescribed counseling, treatment or other rehabilitation programs shall be deemed a Third Positive Test. The student-athlete will be made aware that a third positive test will result in suspension from the team, and, in the case of student-athletes receiving grants-in-aid, loss of financial aid.
3. **Positive Test Number Three:** The student will be suspended immediately from any participation in intercollegiate athletics for a period of one (1) calendar year from the date of the test, and, in the case of student-athletes receiving grants-in-aid, his/her scholarship will be suspended effective with the end of the then current academic term, or as soon thereafter as permitted under NCAA regulations. Depending upon eligibility status, the suspension may preclude any further participation in intercollegiate athletics. Counseling and a rehabilitation program will be recommended in consultation with the physician and Athletic Director. The Athletic Director will notify the Head Coach, and parent(s) or legal guardian(s) of the student.
4. **Rehabilitation Program:** The cost of an individual independent drug rehabilitation program will not be covered by the University, but shall be the responsibility of the student.

5. **Appeals:** If a student believes that he/she has been treated unfairly in terms of the withdrawal of athletic grant-in-aid or suspension from the team, he/she retains the right to appeal to the Student Financial Aid Office through the established procedures as required by the NCAA. However, the student's right to challenge the accuracy of a positive urinalysis test or any other matters arising out of the Drug Testing Program shall be to timely appeal same to the University Athletic Subcommittee for Drug Testing Appeals (the "Subcommittee"). Such appeal should be in writing and directed to:

Chairperson, Athletic Committee of the Faculty Senate
c/o Provost Office, Buchtel Hall
The University of Akron
Akron, OH 44325-4703

The written appeal shall include the student's reasons or basis for the appeal, in detail and shall be submitted by the student within five (5) days from the date of the receipt of written notice informing the student of his or her loss of grant-in-aid and/or suspension from participation in intercollegiate athletics in accordance with this Policy.

Upon receiving the student's written notice of appeal challenging the accuracy of a positive urinalysis test or any other matter arising out of the Drug Testing Program, the Chairperson of the Subcommittee shall inform the Athletic Director of the student's appeal and request from the physician and the Athletic Director all information available regarding the urinalysis test results of the student. The Chairperson shall also notify the members of the Subcommittee that an appeal has been filed. It will be the Chairman's responsibility to schedule a timely hearing on the student's appeal, and to provide notice of the hearing to the student and other Subcommittee members.

The notice received by the student shall provide him with timely notice of the hearing. That notice shall also provide the student with pertinent information regarding the third positive test; including, but not limited to the urinalysis results, and an outline of the procedure to be followed by the Subcommittee in conducting the hearing.

Proceedings before the Subcommittee shall be informal; provided, however, the proceedings will provide minimum procedural safeguards to ensure that a student-athlete is afforded a fair opportunity to seek and

receive redress if he/she feels they have been the subject of unfair, unjust or unequal treatment under the provisions of this Policy.

The Subcommittee shall schedule and conduct the hearing with the student as soon as reasonably possible after receipt of the Notice of Appeal. Within ten (10) days of the hearing, the Chairperson of the Subcommittee shall forward the recommendation of the Subcommittee to both the President of the University, the Chairperson of the Athletic Committee of the Faculty Senate, and the student. The President of the University shall thereafter affirm, reverse or modify the recommendation of the Subcommittee or refer the recommendation back to the Subcommittee for further action. The office of the President shall notify the student, the Chairperson of the Subcommittee, the Chairperson of the Athletic Committee of the Faculty Senate, the Athletic Director, and other appropriate parties of the final decision in the matter.

The Chairperson of the Subcommittee may extend the time limits contained herein for good cause shown.

6. **Reinstatement:** At the completion of the one-year suspension, the potential for re-admission and renewal of athletic scholarship (if applicable) will be based on the student's completion/graduation from the rehabilitation program proscribed by the physician and Athletic Director, and
 - a. Affirmative recommendation of Head Coach; and
 - b. Affirmative recommendation of the University team physician and Athletic Director; and
 - c. Retest with negative results.

Any positive test after re-admission to the athletic program following a one-year suspension shall be cause for immediate and permanent suspension from future participation in any intercollegiate athletic activity at The University of Akron and permanent loss of athletic scholarship.

7. **Effect of Non-Compliance with Testing Program:** After consent to the program has been given, refusal or failure to be subjected to, or

complete any phase of the testing and/or counseling rehabilitation procedure, will be considered to be a sufficient basis to immediately suspend the student from participation in intercollegiate athletics for one (1) calendar year from the date of such refusal; and his or her scholarship will be suspended effective with the end of the then current academic term, or as soon thereafter as permitted under NCAA regulations.

8. **Athletic Department Employee Responsibilities:** Any encouragement, persuasion, or assistance to student-athletes in the use or procurement of "drugs of abuse" as set forth herein, illicit, or performance-enhancing substances by University employees is strictly prohibited; and, may subject such employee to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal. Athletic Department staff members shall inform the University team physician or the Athletic Director when they have knowledge or reason to believe that a student-athlete is using a substance on the list of banned drugs, contrary to Bylaw (31.2.3.1) of the NCAA.

E. THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON DRUG TESTING PROTOCOL

1. All student-athletes and students participating in intercollegiate athletics (cheerleading, coaches, trainers, managers, etc.), shall receive a copy of the Drug Testing Program and the Consent Form to be read and signed in advance of testing. In the case of individuals less than 18 years of age, a Consent Form must be signed by at least one parent or legal guardian.
2. Such students may be tested at random intervals throughout the academic year; and, when there is "reasonable suspicion" to believe that an individual student is using/abusing drugs or alcohol in violation of University policy. These random tests will not be announced in advance. The schedule for the tests will be developed by the University's Department of Intercollegiate Athletics. Those who at any time experience a positive testing should, with particular emphasis on sport "seasons" with respect to student-athletes, expect additional tests to be done on a more regular basis.
3. All students affected by the Drug Testing Program will be asked to confirm as accurate the Drug Test Master Sheet, pairing their name with their social security number and code number. These code

numbers will be used to label urine bottles and Lab Request Forms. Although drug testing will generally be performed through analysis of urine samples, the University reserves the right to use other appropriate methods including but not limited to breathalyzer tests. For purposes of determining a positive test, in the event a breathalyzer is used, current State of Ohio law for determining intoxication shall be utilized.

4. Students will be summoned to the University Campus to provide urine samples under direct supervision of the athletic training staff. All students will be supervised and directly observed throughout the urine collection process to ensure against dilution and/or switching of samples.

Direct observation means that the specimen collection will be directly or indirectly witnessed by the Drug Test Administrator (or designee) in the following manner; provided, however, that at all times reasonable additional or alternative steps necessary to maintain the integrity of the urine specimen may be implemented:

- a. In the presence of the Drug Test Administrator (or designee), the student-athlete will completely disrobe and dress in a hospital gown.
- b. In the presence of the Drug Test Administrator (or designee), the student-athlete will wash his/her hands.
- c. The student-Athlete will immediately enter a closed bathroom stall to provide the urine sample. The stall shall have been previously examined by the Drug Test Administrator (or designee) to ensure the integrity of the process.
- d. In the presence of the Drug Test Administrator (or designee) the student-athlete will remove the gown and dress.

The Drug Test Administrator (or designee) may, upon a request from a student-athlete, modify the collection process, provided, however, additional or alternative steps necessary to maintain the integrity of the urine specimen may be implemented.

The student-athlete's request to modify the collection process, as described above, shall not be unreasonably denied. However, if the

Drug Test Administrator (or designee) determines that there are reasonable grounds to believe that a student-athlete intends to adulterate or dilute his/her specimen, the Drug Test Administrator (or designee) may require the student-athlete to be directly witnessed during the specimen collection.

5. All samples shall remain under the full control of Health Center or training staff until released to the Akron City Hospital Laboratory. Results shall be delivered to the office of the physician in charge of the Program. A chain of custody shall be documented and maintained by the Athletic Director or designee.
6. The Master List shall be kept by the physician to identify student-athletes' test results. The list and results shall be maintained confidential to the extent permitted by law and released only as required by law. The Athletic Director (or designee) will arrange contact of student-athletes with positive test results and proceed pursuant to this Policy.
7. All requests for testing of individual students, other than random, unannounced tests, shall be initiated at the reasonable discretion of the Athletic Director. A head coach, assistant coach or staff member may direct a written request to the Athletic Director to initiate a test based on "reasonable suspicion"; provided, however, that such request shall set forth the basis for asserting "reasonable suspicion". The determination of the existence of "reasonable suspicion" shall be at the reasonable discretion of the Athletic Director, pursuant to this Policy.

F. DRUG EDUCATION

All student-athletes will be required to attend the University's drug education classes. Classes will be held over a designated period each semester. Attendance is mandatory. Spring sport student-athletes will attend the Fall classes and Fall sport student-athletes will attend the Spring classes. Failure to attend can result in the disciplinary/financial aid sanctions.

APPENDIX F

Report of Campus Facilities Planning Committee

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF DECEMBER 1, 1994

Members in attendance: Guegold, Peebles, Drummond, Gunn, Dunning, Bartlett, Kelly, Jones, Fowler.

The meeting was called to order at 1:03 PM.

Minutes from the November 17 meeting were approved with one minor correction.

After some brief discussion the Plan submitted at the November 17 meeting by the Provost's Office for renovation of Polsky's 3rd Floor was approved. (Moved by Gunn seconded by Fowler) All objections that were previously discussed by the committee had been withdrawn.

It was reported that Kolbe Hall will be available for spring scheduling of classes due to the delay in construction. McDonald's will be the food service facility in Polsky's. (One member noted that the food at Subway was more healthy than McD's.)

The committee discussed and decided to implement a new procedure for considering future space requests. Beginning with the Spring Semester 1995, Committee Meetings dates will be published at the beginning of the semester with a list of committee members in appropriate campus publications and on Banyan. No less than one week before a scheduled meeting a list of facilities requests to be considered at that meeting would be listed at all Deans' Banyan E-Mail addresses. This should permit more input by all affected parties when considering facilities requests.

Committee members were asked to submit a copy of their Spring schedules by December 16 so that meeting dates could be set.

The Chair thanked everyone for their productive work this semester and wished all a great holiday break.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:34 PM.

Respectfully submitted by William K. Guegold, Chair

APPENDIX G

Report of University Libraries Committee

The University Libraries Committee met on Friday, February 10, 1995, in the Conference Room of Bierce Library. Present were H.M. Cheung, V. Fleming, J. Patton, D. Keller, P. Richert and D. Williams. Guest: Dr. Roger Durbin. Absent with notice: E. Erickson, W. Sterling, P. O'Connor and R. Schneider.

Members of the January 13, 1995, meeting were approved as distributed.

Phyllis O'Connor invited Eric Barnes, Editor, "The Buchtelite" and any other interested staff to attend today's meeting. No representative from "The Buchtelite" attended the meeting. The committee will attempt to determine if they plan to attend the March meeting.

Comparative data on libraries at selected OhioLINK and MAC institutions was distributed by Dr. Roger Durbin. The committee reviewed the material and suggested that the number of students at each institution. The University of Akron rank for each category and the dollars per full-time equivalent be added to the data. Dr. Durbin will make these additions and the revised comparative data will be distributed to the Faculty Senate at the March meeting.

In light of the library's rank in comparison with OhioLINK and MAC institutions, the committee agreed by consensus to ask the Faculty Senate to direct the Long Range Planning Committee to consider making the library a priority by increasing the total library budget by 10% over the next five years. The library is doing well with the resources they have; however, the library is:

- not paying some personnel well;
- not staying up with technological advances;
- not recommending additional reduction in the number of serials; and
- barely staying ahead of inflation for the present serials (9.6% in 1994).

The library asked OBR for 35 new terminals this year and received funding for four. Some discussion followed regarding the work of the task force on the Electronic University, the possibility of eliminating some journals when "Power Pages" comes on-line on OhioLINK, and budgetary linkages to the strategic planning process. The committee also discussed the possibility of recommending a library fee.

J. Patton will send a letter to all 1994 retirees (approx. 80) in April soliciting gifts to the library.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:15 PM.

Respectfully submitted by June G. Patton, Chair

THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON

Library Costs & Collections

Fiscal Year	Serials Cost	Number of Serials	Serials Inflation Rate	Books Cost	Number of Books	Books Inflation Rate
1993/94	\$1,200,449	3722	9.6%	\$881,475	21,066	4.2%
1992/93	1,367,068	4826	12.2%	740,430	18,031	6.4%
1991/92	1,344,907	5375	11.7%	726,728	17,365	5.3%
1990/91	1,342,379	6167	9.5%	834,835	20,794	7.2%
1989/90	1,194,984	6424	9.5%	1,002,770	23,757*	5.3%
1988/89	1,078,495	6369	9.1%	945,647	23,509**	6.0%
1987/88	981,688	6238	9.9%	334,026	12,926	9.9%
1986/87	869,518	6394	8.9%	331,189	10,829	5.9%
1985/86	773,595	6413	8.6%	438,803	14,497	4.7%
1984/85	648,299	6455	9.4%	377,554	11,208	5.9%

* Budgets Supplements, mid-year and end-year; for books

** Approval Plan began; significant discounts

MAC COMPARISONS

FTE/Materials Expenditures

	MATERIALS BUDGET	FTE ENROLLMENT	\$ TO FTE
Univ. of Akron	1,934,524	20,108	96.20
Ball State	1,749,787	18,748	93.33
Bowling Green	2,004,614	16,439	121.94
Central Michigan	1,356,923	15,000	90.46
Eastern Michigan	943,916	17,593	53.65
Kent State	2,000,606	19,833	100.87
Miami Univ.	2,056,169	15,164	135.59
Ohio Univ.	2,665,935	17,841	149.42
Univ. of Toledo	1,902,503	20,037	94.94
Western Michigan	1,966,978	21,260	92.49
Univ. of Akron Ranking	6/10	2/10	5/10

2/15/95
rwd\mac.chrt

1992-93 LIBRARY EXPENDITURES MID-AMERICAN CONFERENCE INSTITUTIONS

	NUMBER OF FTE STUDENTS	TOTAL BUDGET	MATERIALS EXPENDITURES	PROFESSIONAL SALARIES	NONPROFESSIONAL SALARIES	VOLUMES
Univ. of Akron	20,108	\$4,477,106	\$1,934,524	\$979,470	\$823,823	1,423,846
Ball State	18,748	5,972,230	1,749,787	1,411,181	1,956,015	961,006
Bowling Green	16,439	7,583,267	2,004,614	1,858,286	1,154,943	1,758,223
Central Michigan	15,000	4,711,784	1,356,923	1,699,141	918,546	985,132
Eastern Michigan	17,593	4,027,875	943,916	940,726	1,494,274	1,145,992
Kent State	19,833	7,674,731	2,000,606	1,702,183	2,184,506	1,812,465
Miami University	15,164	6,764,332	2,056,169	1,363,884	1,073,809	1,439,703
Ohio University	17,841	7,817,035	2,665,935	1,568,419	1,451,248	1,642,952
Univ. of Toledo	20,037	5,425,407	1,902,503	1,020,223	1,035,435	821,439
Western Michigan	21,266	6,529,536	1,966,978	1,273,688	1,781,505	1,104,251
Univ. of Akron Ranking	2/10	9/10	6/10	9/10	10/10	5/10

Sources: American Library Directory 1994/95. 47th ed. New Providence: R.R. Bowker, 1994
Digest of Education Statistics, 1994. U.S. Dept. of Education
E-Mail and Phone Calls

*No stats for Law Schools, Medical Schools, Independent branch campuses are included.

2/15/95
wp51\dw\save\macchart.kk

1992-93 LIBRARY EXPENDITURES - SELECTED OHIOLINK INSTITUTIONS

	NUMBER OF FTE STUDENTS	TOTAL BUDGET	MATERIALS EXPENDITURES	PROFESSIONAL SALARIES	NONPROFESSIONAL SALARIES	VOLUMES
Univ. of Akron	20,108	\$4,477,106	\$1,934,524	\$979,470	\$823,823	1,423,846
Bowling Green	16,439	7,583,267	2,004,614	1,858,786	1,154,943	1,758,223
Cleveland State	12,791	4,614,177	1,468,484	956,682	900,172	1,394,408
Kent State	19,833	7,674,731	2,000,606	1,702,183	2,184,506	1,812,465
Miami University	15,164	6,764,332	2,056,169	1,363,884	1,073,809	1,439,703
Ohio University	17,841	7,817,035	2,665,935	1,568,419	1,451,248	1,642,952
Univ. of Toledo	20,037	5,425,407	1,902,503	1,020,223	1,035,435	821,439
Wright State	13,072	3,883,394	1,452,150	1,286,756	867,896	607,978
Univ. of Akron Ranking	1/8	7/8	5/8	7/8	8/8	5/8

Source: American Library Directory 1994/95. 47th ed. New Providence: R.R. Bowker, 1994

OHIOLINK

FTE/Materials Expenditures

	MATERIALS BUDGET	FTE ENROLLMENT	\$ TO FTE
Unlv. of Akron	1,934,524	20,108	96.20
Bowling Green	2,004,614	16,439	121.94
Cleveland State	1,468,484	12,791	114.80
Kent State	2,000,606	19,833	100.87
Miami Univ.	2,056,169	15,164	135.59
Ohio Univ.	2,665,935	17,841	149.42
Unlv. of Toledo	1,902,503	20,037	94.94
Wright State	1,452,150	13,072	111.08
Unlv. of Akron Ranking	5/8	1/8	7/8

2/15/95
rwd\ohiolink.chr

APPENDIX H

Report of the Reference Committee

The Reference Committee has carefully perused the Faculty Manual and recommends the following additions and deletions be made to the document:

1. Replacement of the name "Faculty Senate" for "University Council" throughout the document.
2. Changes in policy related to Search Committees for Deans.
3. Changes in policy pertaining to department Chairpersons.
4. Elimination of section describing University Council and addition of section describing Faculty Senate.
5. Change in policy on Emeritus Faculty.
6. Change in policy on University Distinguished Professor Recommendation Committee.
7. Change in policy on tenure probationary period.
8. Changes in composition of Faculty Rights and Responsibilities Committee and University Well Being Committee.
9. Change in policy on part-time faculty fee reduction.
10. Change in Professional Leave policy.
11. Minor editorial changes.
- **12. Information on changes in job descriptions of vice-presidents will be inserted as per Faith Helmick's office.
- **13. Changes in patent and copyright policies will be included.

Respectfully submitted by Barbara Moss, Chair.

APPENDIX I

Report of the Student Affairs Committee

MEETING OF FEBRUARY 17, 1995

Present: D. Ely, P. Fitzgerald, C. Gigliotti, T. Jolly, C. Keener, D. Laconi, D. McNutt, L. Pachnowski, G. Prough, C.K. Smith, D. Vukovich

Absent: E. Johnson, D. Weber, M. Weidknecht

Guests: Lelania Perrit, President, Panhellenic Council, Dennis Smith, President, Interfraternity Council

D. McNutt updated the committee on financial aid issues. Of most immediate concern is the possibility that Congress may revise the Stafford Student Loan Program to require students, instead of the government, to pay the interest on the loan while the student is attending school. C. Keener said ASG and the Ohio Student Association are working to promote the Coalition to Save Student Aid movement and are seeking ways to help students take the initiative in fighting this change. D. McNutt will give C. Keener sample flyers listing the 1-800-574-4AID number that can be used to contact representatives directly. A form letter for students to sign and mail to their representatives will also be prepared. A discussion of ways to promote student participation followed. C. Keener will enlist the help of all student organizations. An attempt will also be made to conduct a "table to table" campaign in the Chuckery. Posting information on Campuserve and internet are also possibilities.

D. Vukovich announced she had received a letter and had an extended phone conversation with the U.S. Department of Education regarding the 12/20 issue in response to the resolution endorsed by Faculty Senate. She has received no response to date from the IRS. However D. McNutt said The University of Akron is close to reaching a settlement concerning the fine imposed by IRS.

The ASG Faculty Liaison Representative was unable to attend this meeting, therefore discussion of the proposal to promote increased faculty-student interaction was postponed until the March meeting.

Guests L. Perrit and D. Smith said that in discussing ways to get students involved in the financial aid battle, the committee had touched on a major concern of the Greek life community, i.e., the need to generate "school spirit" and to motivate more students to participate in campus activities. The discussion that followed addressed possible reasons for non-participation ranging from work and family responsibilities to a lack of understanding of the benefits of participation. D. Smith and T. Jolly cited the lack of a common bond among students perhaps because The University of Akron is often not the student's "school of choice." All agreed that a concentrated effort to publicize the achievements of our students and alumni via college newsletters and brochures, the "The Buchtelite" (perhaps in a regular column), community newspapers, and the "Beacon Journal" might be one way to attack the image problem.

C. Keener reported that ASG is working to provide services useful to a broad range of students. For example, a tax assistance service is available now and a free legal clinic is planned for next month.

C. K. Smith updated the committee on the status of position searches for Director of Placement Services and part-time Admissions Recruiters for the Columbus and Cincinnati areas. She also reminded the committee that the Student Assistance Center is now open and that one of its major functions will be to address the needs of commuter students.

C. Keener had one issue students had requested she bring to the committee - the language barrier that exists in some courses taught by foreign faculty and/or teaching assistants. The committee strongly urged that any student experiencing this difficulty contact the department head and ask that he/she visit the class to assess the problem.

The next regular meeting is scheduled for Friday, March 10 at 3:00 p.m. in Polymer Science 304.

Respectfully submitted by Diane Vukovich, Chair

APPENDIX J

Report of Computing & Communications Technologies Committee

The CCTC has been meeting on a monthly basis this semester. At the February meeting, Dr. John Bee, Chair of the Ad Hoc Committee on Distance Learning, met with the committee to discuss the "Electronic University." Dr. Bee presented his ideas of what an electronic university is, including mission statement, values and principles and structure.

The CCTC is currently reviewing a draft of a five-year technology plan for the University. The CCTC plan will address hardware and software needs, networking and information access, and technical support and training needs of the campus.

Respectfully submitted by Ray Sibberson, Chair

APPENDIX K

Report of Shared Governance Council

The Shared Governance Council (SGC) provides a forum for issues to be discussed in a setting where all groups are equally represented. It is responsible for the formulation of recommendations for University policies on issues that affect one or more of the major campus constituencies and coordination of those recommendations with the Faculty Senate and the appropriate Planning Committee.

The Shared Governance Council has met once a month since September to discuss its role as an advocacy body representing various constituency groups on campus, including part-time faculty, contract professionals, staff, faculty, undergraduate and graduate students.

An orientation meeting was held in early November for new members of the Shared Governance Council. Minutes from the 1993-94 meetings were shared, as well as the Bylaws of the Committee.

Shared Governance Council representatives to the Long Range Planning Committee and the Budget Planning and Coordination Committee were elected in October and have been reporting the progress of both committees to the Shared Governance Council.

During the Fall semester the purpose of the Shared Governance Council was debated and sent to the Faculty Senate Reference Committee for review. The Reference Committee recommended that the SGC continue to exist in a manner consistent with its role as originally outlined in the Bylaws of the Faculty Senate for a period of one year, at which time its function be reassessed.

Faculty Senate representatives for each of the liaison committees of the Shared Governance Council were identified in January. Meetings are being held with those representatives to discuss the concerns of the constituency group represented by the liaison committee.

In an effort to increase campus awareness of both the existence and purpose of the Shared Governance Council, we hope to distribute an informative letter to the campus community.

The SGC is a new and unfamiliar component of the University Governance Structure, and there has been much uncertainty regarding its existence and purpose; therefore, we feel a communication of this type is necessary to let the campus community know SGC does exist for them and it is "open for business."

The SGC expressed its support to the BPCC regarding the proposal for long-term contracts for part-time faculty.

Respectfully submitted by Tami Lowe

APPENDIX L

Proposed Bylaw Revisions for Faculty Senate

VII.

A. After the word "students", add: "and from the emeritus faculty."

B. 5. ADD: "There shall be one representative of the emeritus faculty who shall be elected according to procedures determined by the Senate Executive Committee and who shall be chosen by those emeritus faculty resident either within SUMMIT COUNTY or a county which BORDERS Summit County."

D. 5. ADD: "The Eligible Electorate for the emeritus faculty membership of the Faculty Senate consists of all emeritus faculty resident within Summit County or a county which borders Summit County."

F. 6. ADD: "Conduct of nominations and elections to the Senate from the emeritus faculty will be the responsibility of the Senate Executive Committee."

Respectfully submitted by Kenneth Aupperle, Proposer

The University of Akron

Summaries of Curriculum Changes

Summary 03.02.95

The following curriculum changes, in accordance with the Curricula Process adopted by University Council on December 12, 1974, have had final approval by either the Senior Vice President and Provost or by Faculty Senate. All changes are effective Fall 1995 (unless otherwise noted).

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

BA-95-09 L Business Administration

Revise undergraduate program: change the Academic Probation conditions from 1 of 6 to 1 of 4, by deleting "After any two attempts (including withdrawals) in a CBA course, a student has not earned a minimum grade of D = 1.0 in that course;" and "At least one withdrawal (WD) is incurred in each of two consecutive terms;"; and remove the Withdrawal/Repeats Limits rules.

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

ED-95-01 L Elementary Education

Effective Fall 1994

Program Revision: Revise the baccalaureate program in elementary education to comply with the general education program requirements effective Fall 1994. The total program credit hours changed from 134 to 137.

COLLEGE OF FINE AND APPLIED ARTS

FAA-95-01 L School of Art

Program Revision: Revise the Bachelor of Arts Program so that the General Education Program Requirements for all art majors indicates they must take 7100:210 Visual Arts Awareness (3).

FAA-95-05 L School of Home Economics and Family Ecology: Fashion Minor

Program Revision: these changes reflect curriculum changes in the Clothing, Textiles, and Interiors program. Add existing courses to program: 7400:221 Evaluation of Apparel and Household Textiles (3), 7400:437 Historic Costume to 1800 (3) or 7400:438 History of Fashion Since 1780 (3). Delete courses from program only: 7400:317 Historic Costume (3), 7400:418 History of Furnishings and Interiors I (3) or 7400:419 History of Furnishings and Interiors II (3). Total program credit hours remain at 18.

FAA-95-09 L School of Art

Program Revision: Revise the Fiber Arts Minor by the following course additions and deletion. Total program credit hours remain at 18.

Course 7100:294 Surface Design in Fabric (3) Addition: this new course was taught as a 7100:489 Special Topics: Studio Art - Surface Design on Fabrics which is now being removed from the program; bulletin description: Studio/lecture course in two-dimensional design as it applies to fabric, e.g. resist, printmaking, repeat design. May be repeated for a total of 6 credits.

Course 7100:295 Forms and Fibers (3) Addition: new course; bulletin description: A 3-Dimensional, off-loom approach to the study of fibers, paper making, felt making, and basketry techniques. May be repeated for a total of 9 credits.

Course 7100:393 Advanced Fiber Arts (3) Deletion: deletion is from program and university offerings. The above new course: 7100:295 Forms and Fibers replaces it.

WAYNE COLLEGE

WC-95-02 L Business Management Technology

Effective Summer I 1995

Program Revision: Revise Business Management Technology - Data Management Option to create Business Management Technology - Data Management Option: Software Emphasis Program. Existing courses added to program: 2030:161 Mathematics for Modern Technology (4) and 2440:151 PC DOS Fundamentals (1). Course deleted from program: 2030:141 Mathematics for Data Processing I (4). See following course change. Total program credit hours changed from 68 to 70.

Course Change: 2440:255 title from Introduction to Data Communications to Introduction to Network Administration, credit hour change from (2) to (3), prerequisite change from 2420:120 to 2440:120, 2440:151; new description: Introduces the student to network administration and modem communications concepts. Topics address planning the network file system, network security, and network management and support.

WC-95-03 L Business Management Technology

Effective Summer I 1995

Program Revision: Revise Business Management Technology - Data Management Option to create Business Management Technology Data Management Option: Networking Emphasis Program; the creation of this new program-option-emphasis was based upon the newly defined Data Management Option: Software Emphasis defined in WC-95-02. These courses are being deleted from the software emphasis program to provide for the replacement of the following course additions to create the networking emphasis program: 2440:125 Lotus 1-2-3 (2), 2440:130 BASIC Programming for Business (3), 2440:245 Introduction to dBASE III+/IV (3), 2440:255 Introduction to Network Admin. (3) and 2440:267 4GL for Micros: dBASE III+ (3). The total program credit hours are 70.

Course Additions: 2440:270 Networking Management I (4), prerequisite: 2440:120, 2440:151, description: In-depth instruction in basic and advanced network administration. Includes network directory structure, menus, back-up procedures, printers, memory management, and multiple protocol support. 2440:272 Network Technologies (2), prerequisites: 2440:120, 2440:151, description: Basic concepts of data communications, networking and connectivity. Includes : OSI model; data translation; signal multiplexing and conversion; Ethernet, Token Ring, Arcnet, LocalTalk, and FDDI topologies. 2440:274 Network Service and Support (4), prerequisite: 2440:270, description: Focus on installing, maintaining, and troubleshooting LANs. Includes: operating system installation and configuration using diverse LAN topologies, protocols, board configurations, cabling systems, and disk subsystems. 2440:276 Network Management II (4), prerequisites: 2440:120, 2440:151, description: In-depth instruction in global network system administration with emphasis on X.500 compliant naming systems. Topics include security, auditing, printing, performance optimization, and client services management.

