The University of Akron

IdeaExchange@UAkron

The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle

5-1-1972

Faculty Senate Chronicle May 1, 1972

Heather M. Loughney

Follow this and additional works at: https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/universityofakronfacultysenate Please take a moment to share how this work helps you through this survey. Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.

AU Chronicle a report to the faculty of the university of akron

1971-72, No. 8 (40 pages)

May 1, 1972

COMMENCEMENT AND COMMISSIONING

The 100th annual June Commencement will be held at Blossom Center on Sunday afternoon, June 11, 1972, at 3 p.m. Dr. Roger Heyns, President of the American Council on Education, will address the graduates.

The 19th annual Joint Army and Air Force Commissioning Exercises will take place on Saturday morning, June 10, 1972, at 10 a.m. in John S. Knight Auditorium. Lt. General William A. Knowlton, Superintendent of the U.S. Military Academy at West Point, will address and commission the new Second Lieutenants.

The traditional Alumni Banquet is planned for Saturday evening, June 10, in the Hilltop Dining Room of the Gardner Student Center.

Details concerning the events of the Commencement weekend will be circulated to the Faculty later in May.

FACULTY RECOGNITION LUNCHEON

The annual Faculty Recognition Luncheon honoring those who have retired in recent years will be held in the Student Center on May Day, May 19, 1972, at 12:30 p.m. All faculty are invited to attend this friendly and informal occasion. Dr. Charles Poston is chairman of the committee planning the event, and reservations may be sent to his office.

NORTH CENTRAL ASSOCIATION REVIEW VISIT

The North Central Association examiners will visit The University of Akron during the period, May 8-11, for a total institutional reevaluation, as prescribed by its Commission on Institutions of Higher Education. The team will consist of seven examiners plus a consultant, as follows:

- Dr. Edward B. Espenshade, Jr., Chairman, Department of Geography, Northwestern University, Evanston (Chairman)
- Dr. Francis H. Heller, Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, University of Kansas, Lawrence
- Dr. William Martinson, Head, Counseling and Personnel Service, School of Education, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo
- Dr. Donald J. McCarty, Dean, School of Education, University of Wisconsin, Madison

NORTH CENTRAL ASSOCIATION REVIEW VISIT, continued

Dr. Lynne L. Merritt, Jr., Vice President and Dean, Research and Advanced Studies, Indiana University, Bloomington

Dr. Ralph E. Morrow, Dean, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Washington University, St. Louis

Dr. Rudolph W. Schulz, Professor and Chairman, Department of Psychology, University of Iowa, Iowa City

Dr. Harry S. Allen, Director of Institutional Research and Planning, University of Nebraska, Lincoln (Consultant)

In addition to the comprehensive examination, special reference will be made to our application for full accreditation for Ph.D. and Ed.D. degree programs not previously included.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL

Meeting

The regular meeting of University Council will be held on Thursday, May 18, 1972, at 3:00 p.m. in Room 307 of the Business Administration-Law Building. This will be the organization meeting of the new Council for 1972-73.

Council Committee to Consider Proposed University Faculty Senate

In accordance with the direction voted at the April 20, 1972 meeting of University Council, Dr. George W. Knepper, Interim Vice President for Academic Affairs, has appointed the following elected members of the 1972-73 University Council to consider the Buchtel College proposal for a Faculty Senate, and to make a progress report at the May 18, 1972 regular meeting of the Council:

Dr. Paul Merrix (Arts and Sciences)

Dr. James Richardson (Arts and Sciences)

Dr. Joseph Lestingi (Engineering)

Dr. Robert Myers (Education)

Dr. Richard Roberts (Business Administration)

Mr. John Bee (Fine and Applied Arts)

Mrs. Patricia Godfrey (Nursing)

Mr. Merlin Briner (Law)

Mr. Arthur Pollock (Coummunity and Technical)

Mrs. Anna Voorhees (Library)

Committee Assignments

The Procedural Committee of University Council invites all faculty members to indicate any standing committees on which they would be interested in serving for the 1972-73 academic year. The Procedural Committee will be considering these assignments before classes resume in the fall, so that the appointments may be made in advance of the opening of the fall quarter. Please send any suggestions or recommendations to the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs.

Council Membership for 1972-73

The list of new and continuing members of University Council is listed on page 3.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL FOR 1972-73

The <u>Bylaws</u> of the University Council provide (Article IV) that elections shall be scheduled in the individual colleges so that they are completed by May 7 of each year and that the new members shall take office at the last spring meeting of the Council. As this issue of the <u>AU Chronicle</u> goes to press, all the colleges have elected their representatives for the new two-year terms. Not all the student elections have been completed. In the following list of the members of Council for 1972-73, those members are indicated by an asterisk who continue to serve until they or their successors are named for the new term. The University Council for 1972-73 will consist of 63 voting members and 1 ex officio.

Members Elected from the Faculty:

Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences—Dr. Lascelles Anderson, Dr. Ali Fatemi, Dr. Don Gerlach, Dr. Alan Hart, Dr. Walter Heintz, Dr. Dale Jackson, Dr. Roger Keller, Dr. Hugo Lijeron, Dr. R. Paul Merrix, Dr. James Richardson, Dr. Charles Wilson.

College of Engineering-Dr. Glenn Atwood, Dr. Joseph Lestingi, Dr. Louis Roemer.

College of Education—Dr. Walden Crabtree, Dr. Paul Hayes, Dr. Robert Myers, Dr. Dick Rich, Dr. Marion Ruebel.

College of Business Administration—Mr. David Durst, Dr. Richard S. Roberts, Dr. David Van Fleet.

College of Fine and Applied Arts-Mr. John Bee, Dr. John Coe, Mrs. Leona Farris, Dr. Elizabeth Hittle.

College of Nursing-Mrs. Patricia Godfrey, Miss Evelyn Tovey

School of Law-Mr. Merlin Briner, Mr. Robert Willey

Community and Technical College—Mr. Jack Huggins, Mr. Arthur Pollock, Mr. James Switzer, Mr. Milton Wales.

Members Elected from the Library:

Miss Judith Mowery, Mrs. Anna Voorhees.

Members Elected from Student Organizations:

Student Council-Mr. Glenn G. Beane*, Mr. Joseph P. (Pat) Greenwald*, Mr. Frank Motz*, Mr. James Whitehurst*.

Evening Student Council-Miss Idamae Goshorn*, Mr. Vincent Mathia*.

Graduate Student Council-Mr. Douglas Nodine*.

Student Bar Association-Mr. Lawrence W. Vuillemin.

Members Holding Office by Virtue of Administrative Assignment:
President D. J. Guzzetta; Dr. George Knepper (Dr. Noel Leathers), Vice President for Academic Affairs; Mr. Richard Hansford, Vice President and Dean of Student Services; Dr. E. L. Lively, Dean of Graduate Studies; Dr. Robert A. Oetjen, Dean of the Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences; Dr. Coleman J. Major, Dean of the College of Engineering; Dr. H. K. Barker, Dean of the College of Education; Dr. James W. Dunlap, Dean of the College of Business Administration; Dr. Ray Sandefur, Dean of the College of Fine and Applied arts; Dr. Estelle Naes, Dean of the College of Nursing; Dr. S. A. Samad, Dean of the School of Law; Mr. W. M. Petry, Dean of the Community and Technical College; Dr. Thomas Sumner, Dean of the General College; Mr. John G. Hedrick, Dean of the Evening College; Dr. W. A. Rogers, Dean of Summer Sessions; Mr. H. P. Schrank, Jr., University Librarian.

Members Appointed by the President:

Mr. R. W. Duff, Vice President for Business and Finance; Dr. I. R. MacGregor, Vice President for Planning; Dr. C. F. Poston, Director of Institutional Research.

Ex-Officio Member:

Dr. Maurice Morton*, Chairman, Committee of Department Heads.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, APRIL 4, 1972

A special meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Interim Vice President George W. Knepper, at 3 p.m. on Tuesday, April 4, 1972, in Room 307 of the Business Administration-Law Building, in accordance with the recommendation of the Procedural Committee, affirmed by the Council at its regular meeting on March 16, 1972, to continue the consideration of the Student Government Constitution and any other items of unfinished business from that meeting if time should permit.

Fifty members of the Council were present. The following members were excused: Mr. G. Beane, Dr. Ray Sandefur and Mr. H. Paul Schrank, Jr. Also absent were Dr. A. F. Banda, Dr. W. B. Crabtree, Miss I. Goshorn, Miss E. Grist, Mr. V. Mathia, Dr. M. Morton (ex officio), Mr. D. Nodine, Dr. W. A. Rogers, and Mr. L. Smith.

Dr. Knepper stated that since this was a continuation of the March 7, 1972 special meeting there would be no action on any minutes of preceding meetings, and that when the consideration of the Student Government Constitution was completed all the pertinent minutes would be acted upon.

Requesting the indulgence of the Council, he read the following letter sent to President Guzzetta from Mrs. G. Stafford Whitby, dated March 29, 1972:

"The engraved parchment resolution in memory and in honor of my dear husband adopted by the Faculty Legislative Body of The University of Akron was very gratefully received.

"It will be framed and placed in an environment where my eyes may enjoy its beautiful wording and its exquisite workmanship.

"Thank you so very much and do thank the University Council in my behalf.

"Thank you also for your kind sympathy and know that I will always be interested in the University.

"Very sincerely,

"Claire L. Whitby"

Dr. Knepper announced that the deliberations of Council would continue with consideration of Article XI of the proposed Student Government Constitution.

Dr. Hittle moved that the Council set 5 p.m. as the latest time for adjourning today's meeting. The motion was seconded and carried.

Dean Hansford moved that Article XI not be considered until after Article X had been considered (and this, by previous Council action will not be considered until Article XIX is approved). The motion was seconded and carried.

Dean Hansford further moved that Articles XII, XIII and XIV be deleted from the proposed Student Government Constitution. The motion was seconded.

The proposed Articles read as follows:

Article XII - Student News Media Board-Powers

- 1. To request operating funds from the Associated Student Government and to appropriate those funds to the Student News Media.
- 2. To institute and maintain a set of rules and procedures pertaining to the Student News Media.
- 3. To publish or broadcast news and entertainment to the campus, uncensored by the Associated Student Government.

Article XIII - Student News Media Board-Members

The membership of the Student News Media Board shall consist of representatives from all campus radio and television stations and publications operated by and servicing principally the student body for the purpose of news and entertainment.

Article XIV - Student News Media Board—Finances

- 1. Operating funds will be received in total from the Associated Student Government upon the ratification of the Student Services Budget and cannot be decreased from year to year unless:
 - a. The Student News Media Board requests a decrease.
 - b. One of the stations or publications of the Student News Media is no longer in operation, at which time the budget allocated to that particular station or publication will be returned to the Associated Student Government.
 - c. A decrease is necessary in order to make the allocations to the Student News Media Board proportional to the total allocations received from the Student Services Budget.
- Salaries of the Student News Media personnel shall be requested in a budget separate from operating funds shall be subject to the same restrictions on decreases as operating funds.

Dean Hansford explained that in his opinion the subject of Student News Media did not belong in a Constitution of this type, and that it was very appropriate to separate these functions. Dr. Williams added that the Statement on Student Rights covered the subject. Mr. Whitehurst, however, said that other than student control of the money could result in a power of censorship, which was the main reason for the inclusion of these articles.

Dr. Poston pointed out that any budget is subject to reduction, and campus news media should not be exempt from University policy and exigencies. It was also possible that future student governments could change their priorities.

Mr. Greenwald supported Mr. Whitehurst's contention that the students should have the right to control their media without other control including the Associated Student Government, to avoid possible censorship.

Dr. Fatemi asked the difference between student and University news media. If the articles were deleted, he felt it meant that the present policy would continue and he asked what it was.

Dean Hansford said that the University publishes the <u>Buchtelite</u>, but the University President appointed the Faculty-Student Board to select the editor, who in turn has the responsibility of editorial policy and content. There is no review in advance of publication of news content, but the Board can always determine whether, in evaluating performance, an editor should be retained. He cited the various publics and that despite some criticisms in the past, he knew of no instance where an editor had been removed. He said that the publication should be free of ASG censorship also.

In reply to an opinion that the <u>Buchtelite</u> under Jim Heinisch has once been censored, Dean Hansford said that it was the editor's decision to delete some objectionable phrases.

Dr. Hittle felt strongly that Article XIII was in conflict with an inter-university consortium agreement and also with the University's use of TV as a teaching tool and also authorized too much student control, and in response to Mr. Motz' question said that there was conflict and there should be a line of separation between student and faculty supervision.

Because he felt that these were important issues and that deleting might not be the answer, Dr. Fatemi moved to table Articles XII, XIII and XIV until satisfactory arrangements could be worked out to accommodate the various University interests. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Motz told the Council that the real reasons for the inclusion of these articles were being bypassed: there should be a Student Media Board as contrasted with the current one which has faculty members also. Dean Hansford wished to clarify that the current proposal is a compromise.

The motion to table Articles XII, XIII and XIV was put to a vote and carried. Dr. Knepper in announcing the vote, said that the charge is to rethink and consider specific references to other parties on campus and report back to Council.

Mr. Greenwald moved to adopt Article XV. The motion was seconded.

The proposed Article XV read as follows:

Article XV - Student Body—Powers

- 1. Upon petition by ten percent (10%) of the Student Body, the President of the Associated Student Government shall call and preside over a general meeting of the Associated Student Government of The University of Akron.
- 2. Any member of the Student Body may initiate legislation provided that the proposed legislation bears the valid signature of members of the Student Body equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the number of students voting in the last general election. Such legislation shall be brought before the Senate for a vote. If rejected by the Senate, it shall be put before a vote of the Student Body as soon as practicable. The legislation shall require a majority of affirmative votes on the issue and that majority shall be at least in number to the majority of students voting in the most recent general election for the President of the Associated Student Government.

- 3. The members of the Student Body may recall any elected representative if the petition providing for a recall election shall be signed by the number of members of the Student Body in the affected officer's college equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the total voters in that college provided that the question "Shall (name) be retained as a (state office) from (college)?" shall receive a majority of nay votes in the election and that number shall be equal to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent Student Senate election in that college. In such case as the President or Vice President shall be concerned, that majority shall be equal in number to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent election for President of the Associated Student Government. If the nay votes prevail as above, a special election to fill the vacancy thus created shall be called as soon as practicable.
- 4. Any bill being considered for passage by the Student Senate may, by a two-thirds (2/3) affirmative vote of the total membership of the Senate, be referred to the members of the Student Body for their approval. In such case the Student Senate shall provide for the publication of such and its general dissemination among the members of the Student Body at least one week prior to such election. For such bill to be enacted it must receive a majority of the votes cast. Fifteen percent (15%) of the total number of eligible voters shall have participated in such election. Balloting on such bill shall take place at such time and in such manner as provided by the Student Senate, and the results of such an election shall be binding upon the Student Senate.
- 5. All day undergraduate students of The University of Akron shall be eligible to vote in the ASG elections.

Dr. Roberts, feeling that the provision of 10 percent was too high, moved to amend Article XV, Section 1 to substitute "2 percent" for the present "10 percent". The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Poston moved to add the words "day undergraduate" to modify "students" or "student body" throughout Article XV. The motion was seconded.

Although some thought that the earlier changing of the name to Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students of The University of Akron" in Article I automatically described the student body, Dr. Poston wished to eliminate any doubt as to purpose.

The motion to add "day undergraduate" throughout Article XV was put to a vote and carried.

The motion to adopt Article XV as amended was put to a vote and carried. It now reads as follows:

- 1. Upon petition by 2 percent (2%) of the day undergraduate Student Body, the President of the Associated Student Government shall call and preside over a general meeting of the Associated Student Government of The University of Akron.
- 2. Any member of the day undergraduate Student Body may initiate legislation provided that the proposed legislation bears the valid signature of members of the day undergraduate Student Body equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the number of day undergraduate students voting in the last general election. Such legislation shall be brought before the Senate for a vote. If rejected by the Senate, it shall be put before a vote of the day undergraduate Student Body as soon as practicable. The legislation shall require a

majority of affirmative votes on the issue and that majority shall be at least equal in number to the majority of day undergraduate students voting in the most recent general election for the President of the Associated Student Government.

- 3. The members of the day undergraduate Student Body may recall any elected representative if the petition providing for a recall election shall be signed by the number of members of the day undergraduate Student Body in the affected officer's college equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the total voters in that college provided that the question "Shall (name) be retained as a (state office) from (college)?" shall receive a majority of nay votes in the election and that number shall be equal to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent Student Senate election in that college. In such case as the President or Vice President shall be concerned, that majority shall be equal in number to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent election for President of the Associated Student Government. If the nay votes prevail as above, a special election to fill the vacancy thus created shall be called as soon as practicable.
- 4. Any bill being considered for passage by the Student Senate may, by a two-thirds (2/3) affirmative vote of the total membership of the Senate, be referred to the members of the day undergraduate Student Body for their approval. In such case the Student Senate shall provide for the publication of such and its general dissemination among the members of the day undergraduate Student Body at least one week prior to such election. For such bill to be enacted it must receive a majority of the votes cast. Fifteen percent (15%) of the total number of eligible voters shall have participated in such election. Balloting on such bill shall take place at such time and in such manner as provided by the Student Senate, and the results of such anelection shall be binding upon the Student Senate.
- 5. All day undergraduate students of The University of Akron shall be eligible to vote in the ASG elections.

Since Article XVI had been adopted at a previous Council meeting, discussion proceeded to the next Article.

Mr. Whitehurst moved that Council adopt Article XVII. The motion was seconded and carried. It reads as follows:

Article XVII - Multiple Exclusionary Rule

No elected or appointed member of the ASG shall simultaneously hold office in more than one branch of the ASG.

Mr. Greenwald moved to adopt Article XVIII. The motion was seconded. It read as follows:

Article XVIII - Amendments to This Constitution

This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of Associated Student Government and provided that the amendment shall have been presented to them by a two-thirds majority vote of the Student Senate at least three weeks prior to the election at which the amendment is to be voted upon.

2. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of Associated Student Government and provided that the amendment has been proposed to the voters by a petition bearing the signatures of University of Akron student body members equivalent to fifteen percent (15%) of the total number voting on the last election for President of Associated Student Government.

Dr. Poston moved to amend Article XVIII by adding a new Section 3 to read

"Before any constitutional amendment becomes effective it must be approved by the University Council, transmitted to the President of the University, and to the Board of Trustees for final approval."

The motion was seconded.

This would be in conformity with the procedure already provided for approval of the entire document.

When Dr. Fatemi thought that the Board had given University Council the power to act on matters of this kind without further Board review and asked for clarification of what should go to Board, Dr. Knepper emphasized that the Board of Trustees by delegating certain responsibilities to University Council had not surrendered its authority and would continue to exercise its power of review. Then Dr. Fatemi read from the Board's Regulations pertaining to University Council, but Dr. Knepper pointed out again that there was no abdication of authority. Dr. Gerlach also alluded to his earlier contention that the Council, as an agent for the Board, cannot again delegate responsibilities to students as this document would entail. He in addition felt that the judicial articles would have to conform to the Student Disciplinary Code.

In answer to a query as to just what actions of University Council would go to the Board for consideration, Dean Hansford said only those requiring special attention and read the following excerpt from Sub. H. B. 475 as passed by the Ohio General Assembly on December 10, 1971:

"The general assembly hereby declares its expectation that the authority of government vested by law in the boards of trustees and in the boards of directors of state-assisted institutions of higher education shall in fact be exercised by said boards. Boards of trustees and boards of directors may consult extensively with appropriate student and faculty groups. Administrative decisions about the utilization of available resources, about organizational structure, about disciplinary procedure, and about administrative personnel shall be the exclusive prerogative of boards of trustees. Any delegation of authority by a board of trustees or by a board of directors shall be accompanied by appropriate standards of guidance concerning expected objectives in the exercise of such delegated authority and shall be accompanied by periodic review of the exercise of this delegated authority to the end that the public interest in contrast to any institutional or special interest shall be served."

Following a discussion noting some opinion that students should have the right to manage their own affairs, and other opinion that significant changes in the future should not be made without adequate safeguards, Dr. Poston agreed to the substitution of the final word "action" for "approval" in his pending amendment.

Mr. Bee then moved to amend Dr. Poston's amendment to read: 'Only those amendments which bear on the rights or prerogatives of the Council, the President or Board of Trustees be subject to review'. The motion to amend was seconded.

Dr. Fleming wondered how Council would know whether the students had enacted amendments, and thought "all amendments should be subject to review", yet give the student latitude. Mr. Greenwald expressed concern over a too-long delay in the process of review. Dr. Gerlach asked who would decide the pertinency of the new amendments, and Dean Barker could not understand what activities of students would not be of interest to the entire University. One instance of student-only concern was cited by Mr. Greenwald as a possible change in types of student representation on Student Council.

The motion to amend (proposed by Mr. Bee) Dr. Poston's motion to amend was put to a vote and defeated.

Dr. Fatemi moved to amend Dr. Poston's motion to amend to make it new Section 1 of Article XVIII (and thus renumber present Sections 1 and 2) and to read

"All amendments to this constitution must be approved by majority vote of University Council, approved by the President and reported to the Board of Trustees."

The motion was seconded.

Dr. Slocum suggested the addition of the words "of the University" after "the President". Dr. Fatemi accepted this change.

It was pointed out that the final control still rests with the Board of Trustees who would wish to exercise rights of review, and in fact is under obligation to do so by recent legislation.

Following a show of hands, to substantiate a voice vote, the motion to amend Dr. Poston's motion (in reality a substitute motion for Dr. Poston's wording) was carried, 25 to 18. Dr. Poston's amended motion was then put to a vote and carried.

The motion to adopt amended Article XVIII was put to a vote and carried. It now reads as follows:

- 1. All amendments to this constitution must be approved by majority vote of University Council, approved by the President of the University and reported to the Board of Trustees.
- 2. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of Associated Student Government and provided that the amendment shall have been presented to them by a two-thirds majority vote of the Student Senate at least three weeks prior to the election at which the amendment is to be voted upon.

3. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of Associated Student Government and provided that the amendment has been proposed to the voters by a petition bearing the signatures of University of Akron student Body members equivalent to fifteen percent (15%) of the total number voting on the last election for President of Associated Student Government.

Mr. Greenwald moved to adopt Article XIX. The motion was seconded.

Article XIX as proposed reads as follows:

Article XIX - Student Bill of Rights

- 1. The student has the right to be considered for membership in any student organization or group without discrimination against race, color, place of national origin, religious creed, sex or political belief.
- 2. The student has the right to petition the government for redress of grievance.
- 3. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students against cruel punishment, and appeal.
- 4. The student has the right, when charged with violation of University rules, to choose between University Administrative justice or ASG Court. The student also has the right to choose either an open or closed session.
- 5. The student has the right to bring suit within the regular judiciary structure for any violation of right guaranteed by the Student Bill of Rights or student regulations.
- 6. The student has the right not to be twice put in jeopardy for the same offense.
- 7. The student has the right to establish and/or disseminate publications free from any censorship or other official action controlling editorial policy or content.
- 8. The student has the right to invite and hear any person of his choice on any subject of his choice.
- 9. The student has the right to form, join, and participate in any group for any legal purpose.
- 10. The student has the right to use campus facilities, subject to uniform regulations governing the facility.
- 11. The student has the right of assembly, so long as the normal workings of The University of Akron student body are not disrupted.
- 12. The student has the right to be secure in his possessions, against invasion of privacy, and unreasonable search and seizure.

Dr. Williams moved to table Article XIX until action has been taken on the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Motz felt that the Statement and Article XIX were compatible and that the Article could be considered now, and the Statement could be reconciled later.

Dean Hansford reminded the Council that a Council-sponsored faculty-student-Board committee had worked diligently on this Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities for over three years, that there had been many public hearings, and that it would be a disservice to its own members and prerogatives if the recommendations of this Council committee were not heard and reviewed. Dr. Sumner supported Dean Hansford's stand and said that this second Statement now pending was a more polished document and should be given full consideration first. Mr. Greenwald had hoped that this could be discussed then.

Dr. Gerlach moved to table consideration of Article XIX until University Council had discussed the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities. The motion was seconded and carried.

The Chair summarized the current Articles pending: Council seemed at a standstill until the Articles are considered in this order: XIX, then X and XI, and then XII, XIII and XIV.

In order not to delay the students any longer by postponing action on the proposed Student Government Constitution, Dr. Slocum moved to reconsider Articles X and XI. Following a show of hands after an inconclusive voice vote, the motion to reconsider carried 21 to 19.

Mr. Greenwald moved to adopt Article X. The motion was seconded. It read as follows:

Article X - Judicial Branch-Powers

The Judicial powers of the ASG shall be vested in one Student Superior Court and such Subordinate Courts as the Student Senate may from time to time establish.

- 1. The Judicial powers of the Superior Court shall extend to all cases arising under this Constitution and the acts of the Senate.
- 2. The Superior Court shall be the highest appellate court in the student judicial system and may accept cases for review upon the initiative of any member of the University Committee.

Dr. Knepper then read from the minutes of the special Council meeting of March 7, 1972 (page 26 of the AU Chronicle of April 3, 1972) indicating that an amendment by Dean Samad was still pending which would change Section 1 of Article X to read as follows:

"The Judicial powers of the Superior Court shall extend to all cases involving students only."

Discussion ensued relative to the need to verify that faculty are not subject to students in this connection; to the question again of the constitution relating only to day undergraduate students; and the operation of all parties under University regulations.

Dr. Richardson argued for postponing action until consideration of Article XIX and cited the need for faculty leadership in academic and ethical standards and the utilization of their more mature judgement in matters of authority.

Mr. Motz declared that it is not binding on students to have these court hearings, but to give students a choice of being judged by their peers or by the University joint faculty-student Hearing Boards. He considered the students mature enough to assume this responsibility.

Dean Hansford mentioned the disposition of cases by all the Hearing Boards to date and the greater possibility of students yielding to peer pressure in judicial situations. Because of too lenient judgements in face of admitted guilt, he suggested a possible realignment of membership on the Hearing Boards from three faculty and three students to three faculty and two students, in hopes that the faculty would exercise good educational and legal leadership. Mr. Whitehurst said that some faculty had voted with the students on occasion.

In response to a query as to who decided, in the event of a crime, whether the student offender was charged at the city police station or with a campus infraction, Dean Hansford said that our campus security officers were empowered to arrest a law violator. Mr. Duff affirmed this and added that they try to avoid arrests and a criminal record, but it is a matter of judgement, and often arrests have to be made downtown. In the face of disappointing decisions by the Hearing Boards, which were sometimes totally contrary to evidence, there have been long discussions as to procedures, etc.

There was some advocating of adopting Articles X and XI as a framework which would not preclude arrests of law violators.

Dr. Gerlach observed that Article X, Section 2 was confusing and that this was another example of the advantage of settling on the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities. He questioned the meaning of "University Committee" in the Section.

In answer to Dr. Knepper's question, the students said that there was a typographical error and it should have read "University Community".

Dean Hansford observed that if the students can choose whether to be tried by their peers or by the Hearing Board, this implies that the peers have serious misconduct cases under their jurisdiction inasmuch as the Student Disciplinary Procedures are not designed for minor infractions. Mr. Motz said he had served on a Hearing Board and he had found the trial fairly conducted, but he wanted students to have their own choice of trial. Dr. Poston said that the pending amendment would affirm this opportunity. Dean Hansford stated that if all students were as responsible as Mr. Motz it would not be necessary to provide these safeguards in the constitution, but unfortunately many were not.

Dr. Knepper had to deny a request for a student, not a member of Council, to speak as the Bylaws do not provide for this. When Dr. Fatemi wanted to suspend the rules, the Chair had to repeat that this was a Bylaw provision and not subject to parliamentary action.

On the call for the question, the motion to amend Article X was put to a vote and carried.

As matter of information, Dr. Lively inquired whether it was correctly stated that the Superior Court was the only appeal. Dean Samad affirmed that it had original jurisdiction, but would act as an appellate court if subordinate courts had been appointed from time to time.

Dr. Gerlach moved to amend Article X, Section 2 to read with additions and deletions as follows:

"The Superior Court shall be the highest appellate court in the student judicial system and may accept cases for review upon the initiative of any member of the day undergraduate student body."

The motion was seconded.

Discussion pertained to the question of faculty being permitted to initiate review of cases, or whether only students had this prerogative under this Article: and whether anyone, not just an aggrieved party (as in civil law) could petition for a review of a case: and to the affirmed provision that anyone "may".

The motion to amend Article X, Section 2 was put to a vote and carried.

The motion to adopt Article X as amended was put to a vote and carried. It now reads:

- 1. The Judicial powers of the Superior Court shall extend to all cases involving students only.
- 2. The Superior Court shall be the highest appellate court in the student judicial system and may accept cases for review upon the initiative of any members of the day undergraduate student body.

Dr. Fatemi asked whether the constitution could go into effect at once if Articles X and XI were approved.

The Chair was of the opinion that all the Articles had to be completed first.

- Dr. Fatemi then inquired whether the constitution could be operative if the other parts were deleted.
- Dr. Gerlach advocated a new article stipulating how and when it would be operative, and providing for future student referendum and ultimate submission to the Board of Trustees for approval.
 - Dr. Knepper reminded the Council that action had been suspended on Articles XII, XIII and XIV.
- Mr. Bee noted that when Council had originally taken up the constitution it had voted to consider each article separately, so that there was no vote necessary on the complete document.
 - Dr. Fleming moved to adopt Article XI. The motion was seconded. It read as follows:

Article XI - Judicial Branch-Membership and Requirements

- 1. Judges of the Superior Court and the Subordinate Courts shall be officially enrolled students of The University of Akron. They shall be appointed by the president with a two-thirds (2/3) vote of approval by the total Senate.
- 2. Judges and alternates of the Superior Court and Subordinate Courts, shall serve until they resign, or cease to be regularly enrolled students at The University of Akron or shall be impeached and convicted for cause upon a three-fourths (3/4) vote of the Senate.

- 3. The Student Superior Court shall consist of five judges and one alternate and any clerks as the Chief Justice sees fit.
 - a. The alternate shall fill in upon the absence of one of the four regular judges.
 - b. No decisions shall be made unless all five judges or four judges and the alternate are present.
 - c. From the five judges, the President shall appoint a Chief Justice who shall preside over the meetings of the Court.
 - 1) The Chief Justice shall preside over the Senate in the event of impeachment proceedings against the President.
 - 2) The Chief Justice shall swear in the President of the ASG as soon as practicable upon the President's election.
 - 3) The Chief Justice shall also appoint a clerk to the Superior Court and all Subordinate Courts.
 - d. The Court shall ordinarily meet at a regularly scheduled time each week.
 - e. Special meetings of the Court may be called by the Chief Justice when deemed necessary.

Dr. Poston moved to amend Article XI, Section 2 to qualify the end of the last sentence to read "three-fourths of the total Senate membership." The motion was seconded and carried. Section 2 now reads:

"Judges and alternates of the Superior Court and Subordinate Courts, shall serve until they resign, or cease to be regularly enrolled students at The University of Akron or shall be impeached and convicted for cause upon a three-fourths (3/4) vote of the total Senate membership."

The Chair stated that Articles XII, XIII and XIV, as well as XIX would now await later consideration of the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities.

Mr. Motz moved to take Articles XII, XIII, XIV and XIX from the table. The motion was carried on show of hands, 23 to 9.

Mr. Motz moved to delete Articles XII, XIII, XIV and XIX from the document. The motion was seconded. Dr. Major observed that this would imply an automatic renumbering of the articles. Dr. Knepper expected that the constitution would now have to go to the Reference Committee for refinement of language, etc.

President Guzzetta asked the Council how this constitution could be expected to be operative until it has gone to the Board of Trustees!

Mr. Motz agreed that he expected it to go through channels.

Although Dr. Fatemi noted the necessity of following procedures, he realized that Council as now constituted would have only one more meeting—the regular one later this month—and he urged action.

The motion to delete these four Articles was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Gerlach moved that the document be sent to the Reference Committee for scrutiny of language and judgement as to whether any parts were in conflict with the <u>Bylaws of the University</u> Council and/or the <u>Bylaws of the Board of Trustees</u>. The motion was seconded.

When Dr. Poston asked whether a new article was needed to specify procedures for implementing the constitution, Dr. Gerlach felt that the Reference Committee would make necessary recommendations. Dr. Fleming thought that Committee would recommend only changes in language.

Mr. Bee thought that since Council had adopted the document, the Reference Committee's work was immaterial. Dr. Gerlach reiterated the jurisdiction of the Reference Committee. Since the Chair's request for original citation as to the province of the Reference Committee could not be completed at the meeting, it will be later available for clarification. The Chair regretted the temporary confusion as to the committee's function.

The motion to refer the document to the Reference Committee was put to a vote, and on a show of hands the motion carried 24 to 13.

Mr. Motz moved to request the Reference Committee to report back at the next regular meeting of Council (April 20, 1972) and also to present the final and "finished" document. The motion was seconded and carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 5 p.m.

Any comments concerning the contents of AU Chronicle may be directed to the Office of the President or to the Director of University Relations.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, APRIL 20, 1972

The regular meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Interim Vice President George W. Knepper, at 3:05 p.m. on Thursday, April 20, 1972, in Room 307 of the Business Administration-Law Building.

Fifty-two members of the Council were present. The following members were excused: Dr. F. Banda, Dr. D. J. Guzzetta, Dr. I. R. MacGregor, Dr. Coleman Major, Dr. Maurice Morton (ex officio), Dr. L. Roemer. Others absent were: Miss Ida Goshorn, Mr. V. Mathia, Mr. D. Nodine and Mr. L. Smith.

As the first item on the agenda, the Chairman called for consideration of the minutes of previous meetings, asking for discussion in their chronological order.

The minutes of the special meeting of University Council of February 24, 1972, were approved as printed in the AU Chronicle of March 1, 1972.

Concerning the minutes of the special meeting of University Council of March 2, 1972, as printed in the AU Chronicle of April 3, 1972, Dr. Slocum said that she did not wish to have the item changed, but wanted a clarification of a ruling in the event a similar situation should arise in the future. At the end of the minutes (page 13), where a two-thirds vote was necessary for passage of a motion, did the two-thirds apply to those present or to those voting? The Secretary of Council explained that she based the necessary count upon two-thirds of those recorded present during the meeting. It was Dr. Slocum's interpretation that the rule should be two-thirds of those voting, and no abstentions to be noted. Mr. Bee corroborated. The Chair indicated that this interpretation would be used in the future.

Dr. Riedinger, the Secretary, reported that two errors in the March 2 minutes had been brought to her attention by Dr. Gerlach: On page 6, ninth paragraph, the last phrase should read: "never, save for the most pressing reasons, disturb a body at rest' is a major rule of statecraft"; and on page 10, ninth paragraph, third line, the word "designation" should have read "delegation".

With the incorporation of these corrections, the minutes of the March 2, 1972 minutes were approved as printed.

The minutes of the special meeting of University Council of March 7, 1972 were approved as printed in the <u>AU Chronicle</u> of April 3, 1972.

The Secretary had also received two corrections from Dr. Gerlach for the minutes of the regular meeting of University Council on March 16, 1972, as printed in the AU Chronicle of April 3, 1972. They are:

On page 30, first paragraph, the word "institutional" should be replaced by the word, "University's".

On page 32, tenth paragraph, third line, the words, "and graduate" should be inserted after "exisiting survey". He also wished to substitute the following wording in the balance of the paragraph which now reads, "He also, citing similar, etc.": "He also cited reactions from Dr. Poloma as to the sociological content of course 340:338. Then Dr. Gerlach said he could not understand why course 340:413 should be approved for undergraduate credit when it had been refused graduate credit."

Dr. Fatemi asked that the fourth paragraph on page 34 be changed to read: "Dr. Fatemi said that 325:100 which has not yet been taught is non-technical in nature and in that respect is similar to Community and Technical College course 202:247."

With the incorporation of these corrections, the minutes of the regular meeting of University Council on March 16, 1972, were approved.

Since President Guzzetta was attending a North Central meeting out of town and could not attend today's meeting, the next item on the agenda, "Remarks of the President", was not applicable, and the Chair proceeded to call for the reports of the Standing Committees.

Dr. Gerlach summarized the actions of the Procedural Committee which had met on April 10 to set up the agenda for today's Council meeting. He read the following from the minutes of the committee meeting:

"Dr. Riedinger presented Mr. Schrank's memo concerning getting all materials distributed to Council members to the Archives. The Committee recommended that the secretary collect a copy of each item distributed to Council and send them to the archivist.

"The second matter was the Council member whose class schedule prevents his attending Council meetings during a quarter. The Committee suggests a change in the bylaws to permit each College to elect an alternate delegate to Council to attend in the place of an absent member. However, this alternate should be familiar with Council business to enable him to function as an informed participant when called upon.

"The Committee received from the Athletics Committee two documents: a revised 'Statement of Policy on Intercollegiate Athletics' and a statement of the specific functions of the Athletics Committee. The Committee noted that it would be helpful if each Council Committee submitted a statement of specific functions.

"Dr. Hittle reported that making a tape recording of each Council meeting is impractical at this time because of the scheduled use of the meeting room: there is no time to set up the necessary equipment before each meeting.

"The Committee noted that business not finished in the April meeting cannot be carried over to the May meeting because the May meeting is the reorganization meeting of Council. Therefore unfinished business must, according to Roberts' Rules of Order, be reintroduced as new business for a newly constituted Council."

Dr. Gerlach moved the acceptance of the report. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Fatemi asked that the Procedural Committee specify rules for a roll call of the Council so that procedures could be determined for the future.

Mr. Greenwald inquired why the Procedural Committee had not included the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities on today's agenda. The Chair, supported by Dean Sumner, recalled that the February Council minutes include the report of the Student Affairs Committee indicating that hearings were being held, and at the conclusion, the Committee would make its recommendation to Council. This statement should probably be requested now to be introduced at the May meeting of Council.

The motion to approve the report of the Procedural Committee was put to a vote and carried.

In line with the recommendation of the Procedural Committee, Dr. Gerlach moved that each committee of University Council submit a statement as to its functions and purposes. This would be helpful to the Procedural Committee in making appointments to committees for the next academic year, and would act as a kind of standing rule for the committees. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Jackson asked if this was not reversing the role of the Council which should make its guidelines for each committee to follow. Dr. Gerlach felt that the committees could define their understanding of their role and then Council could determine the final interpretation. Dr. Jackson suggested that the wording of the motion might be changed to read "committees" ideas of their functions". Dr. Gerlach accepted this substitution.

Dr. Slocum interposed that the January AU Chronicle recorded a statement by the Student Affairs Committee on Student Rights (antedating the February Council report).

Dean Petry said that the reason the Athletics Committee had circulated its own "Statement of Policy on Intercollegiate Athletics" was to fill the recognized need for such a document and they had forwarded it to the Procedural Committee for its consideration.

The motion to have each committee of University Council submit a statement as to its ideas of its functions and purposes was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Poston reported that when the Executive Committee had met on April 6, the principal item not already reported to Council in its discussion was the decision to resume the Faculty Recognition Luncheons on May Day. This year the event will be held on May 19, at 12:30 p.m. in the Student Center, and some 14 faculty who have retired in the last several years will be honored.

It was moved and seconded and carried that Council accept the report of the Executive Committee.

Speaking for the Campus Facilities Planning Committee, Mr. Ronald Taylor said that they have a report nearing completion on transportation and parking. They will meet on May 3 and hope to have a report for the May meeting of University Council.

The Reference Committee had met on April 11, according to the chairman, Mr. Grant. He referred to two documents circulated to Council today. The bottom one is the Constitution of the Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students of The University of Akron as passed by the University Council. The top and final copy is the one changed slightly by the Committee in the interest of clarity and conformity. The principal differences were the rewriting of Article IV, Section 2.b. which now reads, "Cabinet members shall be appointed by the President of the ASG. They shall usually be chosen from among leaders of major campus organizations"; the use of "Senate of ASG" instead of "Senate"; and on page 4, Article XII, Section 2, the last sentence should include the word "equal" so that the sentence begins, "That majority shall be at least equal in number to the majority, etc."

Mr. Grant moved that Council accept this document and transmit it to the President and the Board of Trustees. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Fatemi reiterated the doubt he expressed previously as to the purpose of the Reference Committee. While not objecting to its checking style, he felt that not all actions of Council would need to be referred to the Reference Committee before being effective.

Dr. Knepper explained that the purpose of the Reference Committee is to put motions and approved statements into appropriate language after Council had completed its definitive process. There are sometimes questions of action being consistent with the <u>Bylaws</u>. The Committee does not in itself have the authority to make substantive changes, but should refer back to Council for consideration any inconsistencies. He read the following statement from the minutes of the June 11, 1970 meeting of University Council:

"In response to Mr. Paul Schrank's query about the purpose of the proposed new Reference Committee, Dr. Auburn stated that it compares to the work of the Legislative Service Commission. It is not a rules committee to bottle up legislation, but is to investigate legislative measure and action to avoid duplication or conflict. Dr. Rzasa and Mr. France of the Procedural Committee concurred."

Dr. Gerlach took exception to Dr. Fatemi's downgrading the function of the Reference Committee and described the Committee as the watchdog which also gives the Council the opportunity to make change when necessary.

Mrs. Clinefelter advocated a listing of all Council committees with their functions so that members would be aware of them and she also advocated that these be available for every Council meeting.

The Chair, while recognizing the constructive nature of the current comments, urged that the Council members restrict their contributions to the subject on the floor.

In response to Dr. Lively's question as to the exact meaning of Mr. Grant's motion, Mr. Grant said his committee approved the document.

Dr. Gerlach moved to amend the ASG Constitution by adding a new Article XVI which would outline the process of ratification.

There was dispute as to the necessity of this amendment. Dr. Lively still felt that the ultimate route for approval and implementation, including effective date, etc., was not clear and should be clarified before a final vote.

Mr. Grant referred to the <u>Board Bylaws</u> indicating delegation of authority on control of students, etc., to the University Council, and therefore he concluded that no further approval by the Trustees was necessary.

Dr. Poston emphasized that the function of the Reference Committee was to edit and not to recommend the disposition of the ASG Constitution.

Since the Council had voted to consider and pass the Articles of the Constitution ad seriatim, Dr. Fleming presumed that they are now in effect although they would be brought to the attention of the Board.

Dr. Gerlach pointed out that his proposed amendment had no intent to change the document, but only to add the method of implementation.

Dr. Fatemi, concluding that the Constitution was now in effect, tried to construe Dr. Gerlach's motion as being out of order since it would conflict with Article XV. Dr. Poston declared that the Constitution was definitely not in effect, and that it is Council's prerogative to make any changes regardless of the view of Reference Committee.

At the request of the Chair, Mr. Grant moved that Council approve the work of the Reference Committee relative to the AST Constitution, and accept the report without specific reference to its further routing. The motion was seconded and carried.

Since there was no second to Dr. Gerlach's motion to add Article XVI, the motion died.

Dr. Poston reminded the Council that the Board of Trustees will pass judgment on the ASG Constitution and act on it, for the Board cannot fail in its responsibility to review such pertinent matters whether it takes action or not. The document will not become valid until there is Board review and some action.

Dr. Knepper pointed out that there was possible conflict between the document and H. B. 475.

Dr. Fatemi reiterated his view that the ASG Constitution was now in effect. Dr. Poston hastened to challenge this assumption.

Mr. Duff referred to HB. 1219 and HB. 475. He said that this issue would always be clouded if Council did not wait for reaction by the Board.

Dean Hansford noted that two important aspects of the ASG Constitution, relative to fees and to student discipline, could be in direct conflict with the law. He said the document would have to go to the Board and he knew that the Board already expected this.

Dr. Knepper said that the point at issue is whether this is the function of the Council if conflicting with state law. Mr. Duff said that after the Board rules, the matter would be settled.

Dean Hansford read the following section of HB. 475, which had been cited at a previous Council meeting:

"The general assembly hereby declares its expectation that the authority of government vested by law in the boards of trustees and in the boards of directors of state-assisted institutions of higher education shall in fact be exercised by said boards. Boards of trustees and boards of directors may consult extensively with appropriate student and faculty groups. Administrative decisions about the utilization of available resources, about organizational structure, about disciplinary procedure, and about administrative personnel shall be the exclusive prerogative of boards of trustees. Any delegation of authority by a board of trustees or by a board of directors shall be accompanied by appropriate standards of guidance concerning expected objectives in the exercise of such delegated authority and shall be accompanied by periodic review of the exercise of this delegated authority to the end that the public interest in contrast to any institutional or special interest shall be served."

Mr. Grant argued that the Trustees have delegated their authority, and this law is not therefore applicable.

To clarify the situation, Dean Samad stated, "Whereas substantial questions as to the validity of certain provisions of the Constitution of the Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students have been raised, I move that this Constitution not be deemed effective until ratified by the Board of Trustees, the document to be transmitted by the President of the University." The motion was seconded.

Drs. Crabtree and Fleming thought this was contrary to the <u>Bylaws</u>. Dr. Slocum did not know that the <u>University Council Bylaws</u> must be approved by the Trustees, but she was assured that this is current procedure.

Dean Samad added that the Council would be derelict in its duty if it did not request instruction as to rulings on fees and student discipline from higher authority. He pointed out that this is a more decorous way to approach the situation than to invite open conflict between the Council and the Board.

At the request of the Chair the Secretary read the motion again to Council. Dean Hansford read pertinent sections from the Student Disciplinary Procedures relative to possible conflict.

When Dr. Fatemi attempted to interrupt proceedings with an objection to no vote on "question", the Chair ruled that no vote had been challenged and proceeded with the business on the floor.

The motion that "This Constitution not be deemed effective until ratified by the Board of Trustees, the document to be transmitted by the President of the University" was put to a vote and carried, as shown by a hand vote 26 to 24. The Chair will oversee its transmittal. The document in its entirety appears elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle.

Dean Samad did not wish to acquiesce to Dean Barker's suggestion that the wording of the motion be altered from a "negative" to a "positive" approach.

The report of the Research Committee (Faculty Projects) will appear elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle.

The report of the Akron Representative on the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents, Mr. Blin Scatterday, was typed and circulated to the Council in the interest of saving time on today's agenda. He did point out orally that the formula for subsidy purposes by a committee member had been included only for possible interest to members of the Council. In summary his report included information concerning the calendar of the Search Committee which will probably soon ask for names of persons to be recommended for the position of Chancellor, with active consideration scheduled in the fall. He reported the approval of a Ph. D. program at Bowling Green; the distribution of statewide funds for Educational Television Operation and for Public Service; the suggestion for a future change in terminology to substitute "credit units" or "student credits" for "credit hours". He reported that Dr. Millett reiterated the necessity of resolving the question of transferability between two-year and four-year colleges. Mr. Scatterday also touched on the current situation regarding medical education in Ohio and the pending consultation with Dr. Philip Lee and the Ohio Board of Regents.

Speaking for the Committee on Faculty Well-Being, Rights and Responsibilities, Dr. Fatemi said that the group had met on April 17 and discussed legislation, personnel problems, etc. Although no differences were resolved, the meeting was useful and he hopes to present their recommendations to the new Council.

Mrs. Clinefelter added that they are considering University sick leave and are assembling views on possible liability insurance coverage availability.

Dr. Fatemi called attention to two documents presently circulated to the Council: 1) Draft of a Statement on Professional Responsibilities which the Committee hopes will elicit response and suggestions from the faculty so that a refined document can later come before Council; 2) Modification of a Procedure involving nonreappointment of untenured faculty, which the Committee hopes to present to the new Council after its installation in May.

In the reports of the Ad Hoc Committees, Dr. Sumner stated that the Committee is still reviewing the General Studies Program.

Mr. Duff reported that the Parking Committee had held two meetings, after difficulty in obtaining a quorum. They recognize the tight parking situation at the west end of the campus. The 600 new spaces which will be available for next fall, will be at that end of the campus and should alleviate the problem there. The University is still talking to Metro which offers promises but to date little action. The committee had considered the Student Council recommendation for parking which does not separate student and faculty lots. Although the committee did not favor one faculty suggestion to separate staff and faculty, the committee did go on record as continuing separation of faculty and student parking, and the status quo therefore remains.

Dr. Fatemi moved that the Council thank the Ad Hoc Committee on Parking for its work and dissolve the committee. The motion was seconded and put to a vote and carried. Mr. Duff expressed agreement with its dissolution!

For the Ad Hoc Committee on the Revision of the Faculty Manual, Mrs. Clinefelter informed the Council that the group hopes to issue an interim report; they continue to work hard on the assignment. Mr. Scatterday told her that the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents is assembling similar documents from all the state universities and he would be glad to make some of these available if they would be useful to our committee.

Proceeding to the next item on the agenda, "Old Business", Council considered the proposal submitted last February from the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee for a change in the rule for Incomplete Grade. It is as follows:

The Academic Policies and Curricul Committee recommends the following changes in the General Bulletin effective September 1973:

- 1. The footnote ** on page 27 of the General Bulletin be changed to read "the students' Instructor and the Instructor's Dean may for special reason authorize the change of an Incomplete (I) to a permanent Incomplete (P-I)."
- 2. The second sentence of each of the statements on incomplete grades in the General Bulletin (p. 27) and in the Faculty Manual (p. 40) be amended to read "Failure to make up the omitted work satisfactorily by the end of the following quarter, not including summer sessions, converts the "I" to and "F".
- 3. The following sentence be added to the statements on "Incompletes" in the General Bulletin (p. 27) and in the Faculty Manual (p. 40) immediately before the sentence beginning "It is the responsibility..."

"If the Instructor wishes to extend the "I" grade beyond the following quarter for which the student is registered, prior to the end of the quarter he must notify the Registrar's Office in writing of the extension and indicate the date of its termination."

Following some clarification as to its purpose (to correct some carry-over of injustice from the transition from semester to quarter system), and as to meaning ("following quarter" means that in actual chronology), etc., the motion to adopt this change was put to a vote and carried.

The next item was the vote on the amendment to the <u>University Council Bylaws</u>, Article VI, Section b, which was submitted at the January Council meeting, and has now long passed the time requirement for final adoption. Dr. Knepper read the proposed amendment from the minutes of the January 20, 1972 meeting of Council (page 16 of February 1972 AU Chronicle), as follows:

This committee shall consist of the Vice President for Academic Affairs as Chairman, the elected Secretary of Council and three other members elected by Council at the May meeting from the elected members of Council.

Dr. Gerlach reminded the Council that the vote would require a majority of the total membership of Council (61). Messrs. Beane and Motz urged its passage as it would recognize the student membership on Council, which had not been voted at the time the original Bylaws were adopted, and would give them a legal opportunity to serve on the Procedural Committee, etc.

The motion to adopt this amendment to Article VI was put to a vote and carried, by hand vote, 38-2.

Dr. Fatemi asked that the Item c under "Old Business", pertaining to a proposed change in wording in the <u>Faculty Manual</u>, regarding faculty appointment, etc., not be considered until the May meeting.

To assist in this, Dr. Gerlach moved that it be taken from the agenda and sent back to committee. The motion died for lack of a second.

Dr. Fatemi moved that the proposal to change the wording in the <u>Faculty Manual</u> be transmitted to the Procedural Committee to be placed on the May agenda of Council. The motion was seconded and carried.

The Secretary of Council read the Resolution, as unanimously adopted by the Department of History on January 19, 1972, and proposed for Council action:

WHEREAS the use of professional paper writing services by students is not only dishonest but also fundamentally corrupting of the purpose of higher education; and

WHEREAS the advertising of such services in publications of The University of Akron is detrimental to the best interests of the university community: Therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Department of History strongly condemn, and ask the University Council to join in condeming, the advertising and use of such student paper writing services at The University of Akron.

It was moved and seconded that Council adopt the Resolution.

Dr. Slocum said that she recognized that the problem was a serious one, but she considered that action such as proposed in the Resolution was bad in its effect which would be to limit freedom of the press.

Dr. Fleming was strongly averse to the Resolution as he considered it an attempt to legislate moral problems which should be attacked in the classroom. While he deplored the availability of papers for purchase, he compared such a Resolution to the 18th Amendment, the enforcement of which had proven unsuccessful. He did not consider this a legal problem but one between a student and his teacher.

Dr. Poston declared that this was not referring to editorial comment in the press, but that there should be action against unethical and immoral practices.

Miss Mowery moved to amend the Resolution by changing and deleting sections so that it would read as follows:

WHEREAS the use of professional paper writing services by students is not only dishonest but also fundamentally corrupting of the purpose of higher education; Therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Department of History strongly condemn, and ask the University Council to join in condeming the use of such student paper writing services at The University of Akron.

The motion was seconded.

Miss Mowery added that in her opinion we should not abridge the right of advertising and trying to inspire professional standards of journalists.

Dr. Fleming answered Dr. Poston by saying that he could accept immorality, while not condoning it.

Dr. Gerlach emphasized that the paragraph on advertising is a question of law and fraud, as demonstrated in the New York statutes and legal action, and we should be willing to condemn illegal and immoral practices and the deletion and statements by his faculty colleagues shocked him. He could not understand their not evidencing their professional obligations as teachers.

Dr. Castle observed that other professions utilize paid subject material and that he thought a faculty member should be able to determine whether learning has taken place by the student's paper.

Mr. Schrank inquired whether Miss Mowery's amendment was permissible since it was a History Department proposal. It seemed to be the consensus that it had become a Council consideration.

Dr. Jackson denigrated the importance of the original proposal since it was well known that students make exams, term papers and other papers available to other students, and short-cuts to texts are available for sale in stores. He would ignore the proposal.

Dean Barker was appalled at the failure of the faculty to face up to the fraud and moral issues and supported Dr. Gerlach's stand.

Dr. Crabtree took exception by saying there was no fraud and that there should be confidence in adult intelligence and he was sure their stand would not affect the <u>Buchtelite</u> which probably had a faculty review board to advise it! Dr. Knepper informed him it did not have such control.

One member of Council averred that it could use advice on "Doctor's Bag".

Dr. Fleming suggested that the Department of History could take any stand it chose but Council need not take action. Dr. Richardson noted that the matter pertained to policy contrary to law.

Mr. Whitehurst noted the "innocent until proved guilty" maxim.

Dean Samad supported the proposal, and said there was no abridgement of free speech. In fact the Resolution promoted free speech by expressing ideas.

The question to cut off debate was put to a vote and carried.

The motion to amend the original proposed Resolution was put to a vote and lost.

The motion to terminate debate on the original motion was put to a vote and carried.

The motion to adopt the original Resolution was put to a vote and on a standing vote it carried 26 to 19.

The last item under "Old Business" was "Election of a President Pro Tempore of University Council". Dr. Gerlach moved to delete it now and that it be laid over until the May meeting of Council at which time the other elections take place for the ensuing year. The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Jackson asked why this was not referred to the Procedural Committee, but he was informed that it was unnecessary at this time because of the new organization always made at the May meeting.

Under "New Business", Dr. Gerlach moved that Council approve the proposed Addendum to the Policy Statement on Academic Reassessment. The motion was seconded. It reads as follows:

No student who has previous grades deleted from the grade point calculations shall be allowed to graduate with honors, nor shall that student's class standing be determined on the basis of a grade point calculation which excludes part of the record of courses taken and grades earned.

It was brought to the attention of Council that the <u>AU Chronicle</u> of April 3, 1972 lists on page 69 the effective date of the original statement as September 1, 1972. It was declared that this was a typographical error as the intention of the Academic Policies Committee was to have it effective on passage, which had been March 16, 1972.

When Dr. Slocum thought that the statement was detrimental to Akron students and favoring transfers, Dr. Sumner said it applied to all students. Dean Petry noted that the rule could be a handicap for some students if one effective date is used, and a hardship on others if a different date is observed.

Dr. Lestingi moved that the proposed Addendum be referred to the Academic Policies Committee for consideration and recommendation. The motion was seconded. He felt that there had been time earlier when the original motion was passed for any amendments. Dr. Gerlach said this was not fair as he had tried to be recognized by the Chair at that meeting to offer this amendment. Regardless-of this, he opined that motions can always come from the floor.

Mr. Scatterday recalled that these points had been debated previously in the Academic Policies Committee, and it should be referred back.

Dr. Fatemi considered the Addendum as a means of penalizing a student for a bad academic start.

When there was confusion as to whether the entire original statement and/or the proposed Addendum was being referred back, it was determined to be only the Addendum.

Dr. Riedinger asked for the floor to call attention to the fact that without this Addendum the student who "fiddles around gets more consideration than the serious student who applied himself diligently throughout."

Dr. Poston emphasized the inequities resulting already from this Reassessment. He said requests have already come from students expecting to be graduated in June who want to drop some low grades so that they can be graduated with honors. If the present rule is amended later, this would make two groups of students with differing criteria for recognition. He said that the original purpose of this rule was to help the poor student, not to jeopardize the honors recognition system.

Dr. Gerlach said that today the Council had approved the minutes of the March 16 meeting which had included the "error" in the date of the Reassessment being effective. By using this September date, any injustices could be avoided while the Council considers the Addendum.

There was some confusion voiced as to the interpretation of "F" grades.

The motion to refer the proposed Addendum on Reassessment to the Academic Policies Committee was put to a vote and carried, 27-9.

Dr. Fatemi said that the Council might leave the September date effective to avoid any hiatus between groups of students relative to academic evaluation.

Dr. Fleming moved to reconsider the minutes of March 16, 1972 and to delete the September 1, 1972 date on page 69 of the AU Chronicle of April 3, 1972. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Poston suggested that the Academic Policies Committee could consider the question in time to report for action at the May meeting of Council before graduation lists are complete, although some work is already being done by the staff.

The motion to reconsider the minutes of March 16, 1972 was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Fleming moved to strike out the September 1, 1972 date on page 69 and to reapprove the minutes as printed and corrected in the <u>AU Chronicle</u> of April 3, 1972. The motion was seconded. The Chair declared the motion carried.

Following discussion pro and concerning the necessity of these changes, Dr. Slocum moved to approve the minutes. The motion was seconded and carried.

The last item under "New Business" concerned the Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences proposal to establish a University Faculty Senate. Dr. Fatemi moved that the Chairman of University Council appoint a committee of elected faculty members of University Council to report back at the next meeting to consider the Buchtel College proposal for a Faculty Senate and to prepare a progress report. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Gerlach reminded the Council that the Procedural Committee had jurisdiction to make committee appointments under the Council Bylaws.

Dr. Fatemi said that the University Council by voting for this motion would authorize the committee appointment by the Chair.

Dean Samad observed that this item was on the Council agenda but there was no proposal or resolution for action, and what was the intent?

Dr. Fatemi answered that the intent was to give it university-wide coverage and to give Council the opportunity to receive input from other Colleges. Dr. Oetjen affirmed this, but wished for more than one month of consideration, noting that it had taken Buchtel College almost a year to prepare the proposal, and he felt more than a month was needed to make any report.

Dr. Knepper said that the Secretary of Council had reminded him that in May the composition of Council would change. Dr. Fatemi thought that with one-half of the members continuing for a second year, a committee could be appointed so that a progress report could be forthcoming without undue delay.

The motion to appoint a committee was put to a vote and carried.

The Meeting was adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE UNIVERSITY FACULTY, April 26, 1972

President D. J. Guzzetta called to order a special meeting of the University Faculty at 4 p.m. on Wednesday, April 26, 1972, to review the University General Fund Budget for 1972-73 which had been adopted by the Board of Trustees at its regular monthly meeting earlier in the day.

The summary of the items of "Income" and "Expense" making up the record \$30,869,348 General Fund Budget (not including Auxiliary Services) was distributed to the Faculty on entering the meeting, and it is also listed elsewhere in this issue. Referring to this and three related slides on the screen, Dr. Guzzetta read explanatory details of each category by name and allocation. He noted the uncertainties which made the formulation of a budget difficult and somewhat lower than hoped for, such as the probability of only a small increase in enrollment next year, the announced change in leadership in the Ohio Board of Regents, pending legislation in the Ohio General Assembly, etc. He reminded the Faculty that all of the University's people components are interested in the continuing well-being of the institution, and that every effort has been made to create a viable Budget.

In addition to the passage of the Budget, with Faculty and Staff salary increments, the Board had increased student fees from \$13 to \$14 per undergraduate credit hour, and from \$22 to \$23 per graduate and professional credit hour, all for Ohio residents. The average undergraduate load per quarter will go from \$175 to \$190. The nonresident undergraduate tuition surcharge will go from \$16 to \$20 per credit hour. There is no increase in the General Fee, and this University is still below the maximums prescribed by the Ohio Board of Regents. All increases are effective beginning Summer Session I, 1972. The same undergraduate instructional fees and effective dates as above were established for Wayne General and Technical College. The General Fee for Wayne, similar to Akron campus, was set at \$4 per credit hour, to a maximum of \$45 per quarter.

Higher compensation per credit hour for part-time instruction in the day and evening credit courses and for full-time members of the Faculty teaching overloads during the academic year were approved as follows, beginning academic year 1972-73:

Grade*	Comparable Rank	Academic Year 1971-72	Academic Year 1972-73
v	(Professor)	\$ 190	\$ 200
IV	(Associate Professor)	170	180
III	(Assistant Professor)	155	165
II	(Instructor)	130 -	140
I	(Lecturer)	100	110

*Grade designation serves to identify those part-time instructors who do not hold academic rank.

At the conclusion of his talk, President Guzzetta invited questions and comments from the floor. In response to a query about Orrville, he explained that the General Fund Budget did not include the Wayne General and Technical College which had its own \$640,000 budget, and will have no responsibility related to the main Akron campus budget.

The President mentioned that there would be some changes in administrative alignments effective in July, but these would probably represent some consolidation of assignments and possibly new roles, but without expanding the staff.

As the discussion ended, Dr. Guzzetta told the Faculty that he or others in the administration would always be available to answer any questions they might have.

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON Unappropriated Current General Fund Budget for 1972-73

	Approved	Proposed		
	Budget	Budget		
	1971-72	1972-73		
	2012 12			
Beginning Balance July 1	\$ 101,101	\$ 269,348		
INCOME				
Student Fees	12,550,000	13,760,000		
Appropriation -subvention	14,482,000	15,000,000		
-special	-0-	480,000		
Endowment Income	40,000	40,000		
Transfer-In	325,000	1,025,000		
Other	403,000	295,000		
Total Income Available	\$27,901,101	\$30,869,348		
EXPENSE				
Instructional & General:				
Instructional	\$14,710,992	\$16,258,337		
Instructional Services	1,014,057	1,310,096		
Library	1,172,115	1,350,054		
Plant Operation	2,609,872	3,083,913		
Student Services	1,338,498	1,520,370		
General Expense	1,652,324	1,738,981		
General Administration	1,550,947	1,534,898		
Total Instructional				
and General	\$24,048,805	\$26,796,649		
Research	\$ 260,726	\$ 288,483		
Public Services	\$ 316,222	\$ 338,767		
Student Aid	\$ 600,000	\$ 620,000		
Transfers to Other Funds	\$ 1,906,000	\$ 1,985,000		
Contingency	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000		
	T.,	J		
Total Expenditures	\$27,631,753	\$30,528,899		
Ending Balance June 30	\$ 269,348	\$ 340,449		

Constitution of the Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students of The University of Akron

Article I - Name of the Organization

The name of the organization shall be the Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students of The University of Akron (hereinafter referred to as ASG). All provisions of this constitution pertain to the day undergraduate student body.

Article II - The Structure of the Organization

The ASG shall consist of three branches; an Executive Branch consisting of the President, Vice President, and a Cabinet, a Legislative Branch consisting of the Senate, and a Judicial Branch consisting of the Superior Court and subordinate courts.

Article III - The Executive Branch—Powers

The Executive Powers shall be vested in the President of the ASG and shall be:

- 1. To recommend to the Senate with the advice of his Vice President and Cabinet the budget allocations of the Student Activities Fee to Student organizations.
- 2. To convene special sessions of the Senate.
- 3. To insure that all acts of the Senate are faithfully executed.
- 4. To form a Cabinet, and convene this Cabinet as he deems necessary.
- 5. To approve every bill which shall have passed the Student Senate, by signing said bill and returning it to the Senate. If any bill which shall not have been returned by the President, to the Student Senate within ten (10) days from the date of its passage by the Student Senate, the same shall be enacted in like manner as if he had signed it. If he does not approve of a Senate bill he may veto said bill.
- 6. To veto legislation passed by the Student Senate. If vetoed, he shall return said legislation with his objections to the Senate, which shall proceed to reconsider it. If after such reconsideration two-thirds (2/3) of those present and voting of the Student Senate shall agree to pass the bill, it shall be passed.
- 7. To establish Executive Committees as he deems necessary.
- 8. To be the representative or, when it is permissible, to appoint a representative of the ASG to all University committees to which he has been appointed.
- 9. To inform the Senate of the state of student government and recommend necessary consideration of such matters as he judges expedient and necessary at least once per quarter.
- 10. To appoint the judges of the Superior Court with a two-thirds (2/3) vote of approval of those present and voting of the Senate.
- 11. To coordinate all ASG student activities and services.

11. To coordinate all ASG student activities and services.

Article IV - Executive Branch-Membership and Requirements

1. The President and Vice President:

- a. The President and the Vice President shall be officially enrolled undergraduate members of The University of Akron at the time of their election and for the term of their respective offices and at the time of their election shall have completed at least twenty (20) hours of course work and attained at least a 2.0 average.
- b. They shall have sufficient time prior to graduation to fill the entire tenure of their respective offices.
- c. They shall hold their respective offices for the term of one year and may succeed themselves upon re-election.
- d. They shall be popularly elected on the same ticket by a majority vote of ballots cast in a general election held concurrently with the election for the members of the Senate.
- e. If no single pair of candidates receives a majority of the ballots cast, the two pairs with the highest number of ballots shall stand in a run-off election, said election to be held no later than one week after the general election.
- f. If the office of the President becomes vacant for any reason prior to the expiration of the President's term of office, the Vice President shall become the President.
- g. If the office of the Vice President becomes vacant for any reason prior to the expiration of the Vice President's term of office, the Senate shall elect from its membership an Acting Vice President to complete the term of office.

2. Cabinet Members:

- a. All Cabinet members shall be officially enrolled students at The University of Akron at the time of their appointment and for the term of their respective offices.
- b. Cabinet members shall be appointed by the President of the ASG. They shall usually be chosen from among leaders of major campus organizations.

Article V - Legislative Branch—Powers

The Senate of the ASG shall be empowered to:

1. Initiate, pass and forward to the Board of Trustees of the University, through the University Council and the President of the University, amendments to the Student Disciplinary Procedures according to the procedure in Sections 10 and 11 of this Article and in accordance with the authority provided in Article VII of the Student Disciplinary Procedures and Article V of the Bylaws of the Board of Trustees.

- 2. Ratify, in the same manner as regular legislation is processed, the allocations of the Student Activities Budget as initiated by the President of the ASG with the final allocations subject to review by the President of the University according to the procedure in Section 11 of this Article.
- 3. Recommend to University Council the extension or denial of recognition for all day undergraduate student organizations and activities.
- 4. Represent the day undergraduate student body of The University of Akron.
- 5. Ratify all appointments made by the President of the ASG to the Superior Court by two-thirds (2/3) vote of the total Senate.
- 6. Recommend to the proper authority the appointments of day undergraduate students serving on university boards, councils, and committees and receive reports from these students upon request by the Senate.
- 7. Have the sole right of impeachment and removal of the President of ASG, senators, judges and alternates to the judges. When convened for this purpose, they shall be under oath or affirmation. When the President is tried, the Chief Justice shall preside. No person shall be convicted without the concurrence of two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Senate membership.
- 8. Be the judge of the qualifications of its members and shall be authorized to compel the attendance of absent members in such manner and under such penalties as the Senate may provide.
- 9. Determine the rules of its proceedings in the Legislative Bylaws with the concurrence of two-thirds (2/3) vote of its members present.
- 10. Propose bills, including budget requests which must be approved by a majority of the Senate present and voting. If the President of ASG vetoes a bill, as provided for in Article III, Section 6, a two-thirds (2/3) vote of those present and voting is necessary to override the veto.
- 11. Have a copy of all bills passed by the Senate requiring University action to be delivered to the Office of the President of the University or to his designated representative. The final disposition of these bills shall be decided as follows:
 - a. They shall be officially enacted upon the receipt by the Senate of the bill duly approved by the President of the University or his designated representative.
 - b. They shall be officially enacted if, within fourteen (14) calendar days they have been neither approved and returned to the Senate nor disapproved and returned to the Senate by the President of the University or his designated representative. The fourteenth (14th) calendar day shall begin with a receipt of a letter of acknowledgment from Office of the President of the University.
 - c. They shall be considered vetoed upon the receipt by the Senate of the disapproved bill from the President of the University or his designated representative. The veto of the budget by the President of the University or his designated representative may be an item by item veto.

The signature of the President of the University or his designated representative concerning the bill shall be accompanied by either the word "approved" or the word "disapproved".

Article VI - Legislative Branch-Membership and Requirements

The Senate will have a maximum membership of fifty (50). The Senate shall be composed of the following members:

- Representatives of Buchtel College of Arts and Sciences, College of Education, College of Business Administration, College of Fine and Applied Arts, and the College of Nursing.
 - a. Each college's representation shall be in the proportion of its total day fall undergraduate enrollment to the total day fall undergraduate enrollment of the University. A minimum of one representative is required. No fractional part less than .8 shall count for additional representation. Each representative shall be elected for a term of one year and shall have sufficient time prior to graduation to fill the entire tenure of office.
 - b. Representatives of each college must be members of the college which they represent for their term of office or be promoted to that college at the end of the spring quarter in which elections are held.
- 2. Representatives of the College of Engineering.
 - a. The college's representation shall be in the proportion of its total day fall undergraduate enrollment to the total day fall undergraduate enrollment of the University. A minimum of one representative is required. Each seat in the College of Engineering shall be filled by a Junior and a pre-Junior on cooperative program elected together to hold one seat so they may alternate cooperative terms, or by a student who has not opted the cooperative program. No fractional part less than .8 shall count for additional representation. They shall serve for a term of one year and shall have sufficient time prior to graduation to fill the entire tenure of office.
 - b. Representatives must be members of the College of Engineering for their terms of office or be promoted at the end of the spring quarter in which elections are held.
- 3. Representatives of the General College and Community and Technical College.

Each college's representation, excluding entering freshmen, shall be in proportion of one-half of its total day fall undergraduate enrollment to the total day fall undergraduate enrollment of the University. A minimum of one representative is required. No fractional part less than .8 shall count for additional representation. Each representative shall be elected for a term of one year.

4. Representatives of the Entering Freshmen.

Freshmen representation shall be in proportion of one-half of the previous year's total day fall undergraduate enrollment to the previous year's total day fall undergraduate enrollment of the University. A minimum of one representative is required. No fractional part less than .8 shall count for additional representation.

5. Representatives of any other college that may be established, the manner to be determined upon official University recognition of that college.

Article VII - Legislative Branch-Officers

- 1. The officers of the Senate shall be: Chairman, Chairman ProTempore, Treasurer, Recording Secretary, Corresponding Secretary, Sergeant-at-Arms, Parliamentarian and Historian.
- 2. The Senate shall elect from its body its officers by majority vote of the total membership at the first regular meeting of the Senate.
- 3. The Senate may remove any officer by majority vote of the total membership of the Senate, at any meeting of the Senate. A resulting vacancy for Senate office shall be filled by election by majority of the total membership of the Senate.

Article VIII - Legislative Branch-Meetings

- 1. The President of the ASG shall call a special meeting of the Senate within two weeks following the election of the Senate for the purpose of electing Senate officers. The President shall preside over this special meeting.
- 2. Regular meetings of the Senate shall be determined by the Senate Chairman, with the consensus of the Senate. The Senate should ordinarily meet weekly during the academic year. Regular meetings of the Senate shall be determined as set forth in the Bylaws of the ASG.
- 3. Standing Committees shall ordinarily meet at least twice a month unless the chairman of the committee deems it necessary to meet more often.
- 4. All meetings of the Senate shall be open to all members of the student body, faculty and administrative officers.
- 5. The Chairman of the Senate shall preside over all meetings of the Senate excluding those otherwise specified in this document. In the absence of the Chairman of the Senate, the Chairman ProTempore shall preside over Senate meetings.

Article IX - Legislative Branch—Committees

- The Senate shall maintain the following standing committees, the chairman of which shall be appointed from the Senate by the Chairman of the Senate: Executive Committee; Rules Committee; Elections Committee; Budget Committee; Public Relations and Publications Committee; Activities Committee; Research Committee; Community and National Affairs Committee; and University Affairs Committee.
- 2. Each respective committee chairman shall have the power to select, with the approval of the Senate Chairman, the students to serve as committee members.
- 3. Standing committee chairmen may establish additional subcommittees as needed.

Article X - Judicial Branch-Powers

The Judicial powers of the ASG shall be vested in one Student Superior Court and such subordinate courts as the Senate of the ASG may from time to time establish.

- 1. The Judicial powers of the Superior Court shall extend to all cases involving day undergraduate students only.
- 2. The Superior Court shall be the highest appellate court in the student judicial system and may accept cases for review upon the initiative of any members of the day undergraduate student body.

Article XI - Judicial Branch-Membership and Requirements

- 1. Judges of the Student Superior Court and the subordinate courts shall be officially enrolled day undergraduate students of The University of Akron. They shall be appointed by the President with a two-thirds (2/3) vote of approval by the total Senate.
- 2. Judges and alternates of the Student Superior Court and subordinate courts, shall serve until they resign, or cease to be regularly enrolled students at The University of Akron or shall be impeached and convicted for cause upon a three-fourths (3/4) vote of the total Senate membership.
- 3. The Student Superior Court shall consist of five judges and one alternate and any clerks as the Chief Justice sees fit.
 - a. The alternate shall act in the absence of one of the four regular judges.
 - b. No decisions shall be made unless all five judges or four judges and the alternate are present.
 - c. From the five judges, the President shall appoint a Chief Justice who shall preside over the meetings of the Court.
 - 1) The Chief Justice shall preside over the Senate in the event of impeachment proceedings against the President.
 - 2) The Chief Justice shall swear in the President of the ASG as soon as practicable upon the President's election.
 - 3) The Chief Justice shall also appoint a clerk to the Superior Court and all subordinate courts.
 - d. The Court shall ordinarily meet at a regularly scheduled time each week.
 - e. Special meetings of the Court may be called by the Chief Justice when deemed necessary.

- 3. The members of the day undergraduate student body may recall any elected representative in accordance with the following procedure:
 - a. The petition providing for a recall election shall be signed by a number of members of the day undergraduate student body in the affected officer's college equal to at least fifteen percent (15%) of the total voters in that college.
 - b. The question "Shall (name) be retained as a (state officer) from (college)?" shall receive a majority of nay votes in the election. That number shall be equal to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent Senate of the ASG election in that college.
 - c. In such case as the President or Vice President shall be concerned, that majority shall be equal in number to a majority of the votes cast in the most recent election for president of the ASG.
 - d. If the nay votes prevail as above, a special election to fill the vacancy thus created shall be called as soon as practicable.
- 4. Any bill being considered for passage by the Senate of the ASG may, by a two-thirds (2/3) affirmative vote of the total membership of the Senate, be referred to the members of the day undergraduate student body for their approval. In such case the Senate shall provide for the publication of such bill and its general dissemination among the members of the day undergraduate student body at least one (1) week prior to such election. For such a bill to be enacted it must receive a majority of the votes cast and at least a fifteen percent (15%) of the total number of eligible voters shall have participated in such election. Balloting on such bill shall take place at such time and in such manner as provided by the Senate of the ASG and the results of such an election shall be binding upon the Senate of the ASG.
- 5. All day undergraduate students of The University of Akron shall be eligible to vote in the ASG elections.

Article XIII - Student Activities Budget

- 1. All student fees which have been formerly labeled as Student Activities Budget shall be appropriated by ASG, reviewed by appropriate University authority, as designated in Article V above, deposited in restricted accounts, and distributed according to approved accounting procedures.
- The only source of university funds for student organizations or activities either direct or indirect, with the exception of co-curricular activities, shall be the ASG.

Article XII - Day Undergraduate Student Body—Powers

- 1. Upon petition by two percent (2%) of the day undergraduate student body, the President of the ASG shall call and preside over a general meeting of the ASG.
- 2. Any member of the day undergraduate student body may initiate legislation provided that the proposed legislation bears the valid signature of members of the day undergraduate student body equal to fifteen percent (15%) of the number of students voting in the last general election. Such legislation shall be brought before the Senate for a vote. If rejected by the Senate, it shall be put before a vote of the day undergraduate student body as soon as practicable. In order to pass, the legislation shall require a majority of affirmative votes. That majority shall be at least equal in number to the majority of day undergraduate students voting in the most recent general election for president of the ASG.

Article XIV - Multiple Exclusionary Rule

No elected or appointed member of the ASG shall simultaneously hold office in more than one branch of the ASG.

Article XV - Amendments to This Constitution

- 1. All amendments to this constitution must be approved by majority vote of University Council, approved by the President of the University and reported to the Board of Trustees.
- 2. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of ASG and provided that the amendment shall have been presented to them by a two-thirds (2/3) majority vote of the Senate of the ASG at least three (3) weeks prior to the election at which amendment is to be voted upon.
- 3. This constitution may be amended by a majority vote of those students voting on the proposed amendment if that majority is equal in number to a majority of those students voting in the most recent election for President of ASG and provided that the amendment has been proposed to the voters by a petition bearing the signatures of the day undergraduate students equivalent to at least fifteen percent (15%) of the total number voting on the last election for President of ASG.

THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON

ADDITIONAL PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE FACULTY RESEARCH COMMITTEE 1971-72 (as of April 1972)

RG	Grantee	An	nount
251	Sebastian V. Kanakkanatt (add'l) "Bioassay of Resistance of Snails towards Organotins"	\$	240
256	Don R. Gerlach "The Van Rensselaer Family Papers"		445
286	Max Willis "Development of a Standardized Mock Circulatory System for Testing Artifical Hearts"		400
11111			
287	Lloyd Watson "Immunopathologic Effects of Mercury on Aquatic Animals"		500
288	T. Y. Chang "Characterization of the Mechanical Properties of Rocks"		350
289	C. S. Chen "Pattern Recognizer"		350
290	Barbara Clements "Aleksandra Mikhailovna Kollontai (1872–1923)"		300
291	Walden Crabtree and Isadore Newman "An Experimental Inquiry into the Relationship of Cognitive Complexity and a Teacher Education Core Course"		260
292	Roger B. Creel "Nuclear Electric Quadrupole Relaxation Rates"		572
293	David R. Durst "Impact of the 1965 Ohio Second Mortgage Act Upon the Ohio Small Loan Lending"		150
294	James J. Egan "More Certain Apprehension: The Varieties of Style in Milton's Prose"		500
295	Paul Franks		500
200	"Clay Petrology of the Cheyenne Sandstone, Basal Cretaceous, Southwest Kansas: A Possible Marginal Marine Deposit"		300
296	Stephen Fugita "Racial Differences in Perceived and Actual Nonverbal Behavior"		624
297	P. M. Gerhart and L. C. Thomas "Measurement of Pressure Fluctuations at the Surface of Bodies Immersed in Compressible and Imcompressible Streams"		400

FACULTY RESEARCH PROJECTS, continued

298	Donald Goldstrohm "Purification and Characterization of a Growth Promoting Substance Required by the Mycoparasite Calcarisporium Parasiticum"	350	0
299	John F. Gwinn	500	
	"Control of Chitin Synthesis Relative to Substrate Availability and Enzyme Inhibition"		
300	J. L. Jackson "A Cursory Study of the Geology of Major Sanitary Landfills in Northeastern Ohio"	250	
301	Chaman Kashkari "An Investigation on Use of Supplementary Signals to Improve Power System Stabili	300 ty"	
302	David Kyvig "Opposition to National Prohibition 1919–1933"	400	
303	Joseph Lestingi "Application of the Method of Lines to Two-Dimensional Boundary Value Problems"	350	*
304	Carl Lieberman "The Presidency and American Federalism"	180	
305	John Marwitt "Preliminary Archeological-Ethnological Survey of the Meta Region, Eastern Colombia"	500	0
306	Gary Meek "An Empirical Study of the Goodness of Independent Distribution - Free Confidence Intervals and Related Point Estimate for the Parameters in a Linear Model"	200	
307	F. Scott Orcutt "Breeding Biology of Japanese Quail under Semi-Natural Conditions"	400	
308	Jo Ann Sanders "The Development of a Program for Optimizing the Effect of Parental and Peer Group Involvement on Motivational and Behavioral Patterns Associated with	320	
	Academic Success of Disadvantaged Children"		
309	Barbara Stoodt "Simulation in Preparing Teachers of Reading"	200	
	Total Above Approvals	\$ 9,541	
	Previous Approvals	12,892	
	Grand Total Approvals	\$22,433	
	Total Applications Considered 101 Approved 61 Rejected, or Withdrawn 40	rol frabit erinllA	prary brary