

The University of Akron

IdeaExchange@UAkron

The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle

2-1-1973

Faculty Senate Chronicle February 1, 1973

Heather M. Loughney

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/universityofakronfacultysenate>

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you [through this survey](#). Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.

AU Chronicle

a report to the faculty of
the university of akron



1972-73, No. 5 (22 pages)

February 1, 1973

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL

Meeting of Council

February 15, the regular monthly meeting of the Council will be held in Business Administration-Law Building Room 307, at 3:00 p. m.

Personnel Change

Dr. Robert Ferguson has been elected Chairman of the Committee of Department and Division Heads, and is the new ex officio member of University Council in succession to Dr. Stephen Castle who relinquished the headship.

FEBRUARY CALENDAR

- February 3 Basketball, Akron vs. Cleveland State, Memorial Hall, 8:15 p. m.
- February 4 Concert Band program, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- February 7 Basketball, Akron vs. Gannon, Memorial Hall, 8:15 p. m.
- February 8-9 Visit of team from Commission on Institutions of Higher Education of the North Central Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools to evaluate the joint doctoral program in sociology of The University of Akron and Kent State University for extension of preliminary accreditation.
- February 9 Evening of Opera Scenes, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- February 11 World-at-Our-Door Travelog with Jim Doney narrating a color movie, "Around South America", John S. Knight Auditorium, 2 p. m.
- Concert by Combined Glee Clubs, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- February 14 Cleveland-Akron Alumni Reception at Cleveland Sheraton Hotel preceding Akron-Kent basketball game at Cleveland Arena, 7:30 p. m. and 9 p. m.
- February 17 Basketball, Akron vs. Kentucky Wesleyan, Memorial Hall, 8:15 p. m.
- February 18 Concert by Brass Choir, Firestone Conservatory, 3 p. m.
- February 21 Combined Concert—The University of Akron and The Ohio State University Brass Quintets, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- February 23 Alumni Fun Night, honoring Mr. Gordon Larson and Judge William Victor, Gardner Student Center, 6:30 p. m.
- February 25 Concert by University Orchestra, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- Concert by University Singers, Firestone Conservatory, 8:15 p. m.
- February 28 Open Faculty Forum with President D. J. Guzzetta, Kolbe Theatre, 3:30 p. m.
- Basketball, Akron vs. Youngstown State, Memorial Hall, 8:15 p. m.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, January 4, 1973

A special meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Vice President Noel Leathers, at 3:07 p.m. on Thursday, January 4, 1973, in Business Administration-Law Building 307.

Fifty of the 64 members of Council were present. Those who were absent with notice were Dr. Dale Jackson, Dr. R. P. Merrixx, Dean R.A. Oetjen, Dr. L. Roemer, and Mr. F. Williams. Others absent were Dr. L. Anderson, Dr. J. Coe, Dr. Paul Hayes, Dean Estelle Naes, Mr. F. Rogacs, Dr. J. Richardson, Dr. M.A. Ruebel, and Dean Ray Sandefur. Since Dr. Castle has resigned as chairman of the Committee of Department Heads, this group was not represented at this meeting.

The Chairman explained that the call of the special meeting had specified one purpose only—"To consider the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities". The sections of the document would be considered individually. The Preamble would be discussed last to be sure that any changes which might be made in the body of proposed document would not alter the sense of the Preamble. Then the complete document as possibly amended would be voted upon in its entirety. Dr. Leathers called on Dean Hansford, as Chairman of the Student Affairs Committee, to present the matter for Council's deliberation.

Dean Hansford stated that this Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities represented some four years' work of more than 100 people including faculty and students, and many University public and open hearings over at least a two-year period. Many parts had been changed several times, depending on the views of the last people working on them. The last group to review it fully was last year's Student Affairs Committee and they would say, he felt, that this document would serve well, although not everyone would like every aspect. He stated that if there had been any shift in emphasis at the end, it would have been to reduce the responsibility of students and increase their rights, as they were the most interested in the development of the statement. There were only two textual changes now necessary from the document now before them in order to conform to the Associated Student Government Constitution as approved by Council and the Board of Trustees. He called on Mr. Switzer to present these changes.

Mr. Switzer said that Article IV. Student Affairs, Section A. Freedom of Association, Inquiry, and Expression, first paragraph (page 6), should now use the phrase, "Student Affairs Committee of University Council" in place of "Extracurricular Activities Subcommittee". And at the end of the paragraph, this sentence should be added, "It should be noted that day undergraduate student organizations should first petition the Student Senate of the Associated Student Government." He moved the approval of these amendments. The motion was seconded and carried. The paragraph therefore now reads:

Students are free to organize and join associations to promote their common interest. A student organization which seeks University recognition shall petition the Student Affairs Committee of University Council, whose recommendation shall be voted upon by University Council, by submitting a constitution, bylaws, a statement of purpose and criteria for membership. Recognition may be granted by the University Council; however, University recognition does not necessarily constitute an endorsement of the expressions of the organization by the University. It should be noted that day undergraduate student organizations should first petition the Student Senate of the Associated Student Government.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

Dean Hansford moved that the proposed Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities, as above amended, be adopted.

Dean Lively voiced his apprehension at the number of qualifying statements which seemed very vague as to meaning, and because they were not specific would be subject to individual interpretations in various situations. He cited a sentence in Article I. Freedom of Access to Education, which read, "However, the student shall be as free as possible from University-imposed limitations, etc.", and which could be the cause of future contentiousness, and he hoped that Council would clarify.

Mr. Bee moved that part of the sentence be deleted and changed to read, "By enrolling at The University of Akron, the student signifies his willingness to adhere to University rules and regulations pertinent to his status as a student at the University." The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Gerlach moved that the phrase, "or socio-economic status", be deleted from the second sentence. Dean Samad seconded the motion. Following discussion as to the difference in meaning in "admission" and "enrollment" and also the possibility of special waiving of fees, the motion to delete was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Lively moved that the word "enrollment" be added to line five. The motion was seconded by Dr. Roberts and carried.

Dr. Lestingi moved that the word "promotion" in the same line be changed to "transfer" for broader and newer interpretation. The motion was seconded, and following a discussion of the need for this change, the motion was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Gerlach moved to strike the sentence, "Academic policies reflected in these requirements shall be flexible in considering individual student needs." Dr. Lestingi seconded the motion. Following a discussion as to the necessity of certain rigid requirements, of its possible application to students in the Graduate School, and of the already built-in flexibility in individual college and department requirements, the motion to delete was put to a vote and carried.

The amended Article I. Freedom of Access to Education now reads as follows:

Within the limits of its facilities, The University of Akron shall be open to all applicants who meet its admission requirements. No applicant will be denied admission on the basis of race, creed, sex, national origin or political beliefs. The University of Akron and its colleges shall publish and make available their admission, enrollment, retention, transfer and degree requirements. By enrolling at The University of Akron, the student signifies his willingness to adhere to University rules and regulations pertinent to his status as a student at the University. The University has an obligation to promote the welfare of each of its students and each student has an obligation to promote the welfare of the University.

Proceeding to the discussion of Article II. In Academic Matters, Mr. Bee moved to delete this part of the sentence, "They shall be free to take reasoned exception to the accuracy of the data and views offered in any course of study and to reserve judgment about matters of opinion" and substitute "Students" for "they". The motion was seconded.

While Mr. Moldea felt that students should have the right to challenge faculty and course work, Mr. Bee felt that students are not qualified to judge course content and that it is not a student right.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

Dr. Gerlach noted that this is a plea for student rights, while the Statement on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities stresses faculty responsibilities. Mr. Moldea opined that additional student rights included responsibilities. Dr. Hart granted that students could reserve judgment, but should adhere to courses.

Mr. Moldea's motion to close debate was seconded and carried.

The motion to delete the sentence was put to a vote and carried.

Dr. Keller moved to delete the end of the first sentence in Article II, "when relevant to the subject under discussion". The motion was seconded. Then Council discussion centered on the purpose to keep irrelevant subject matter out of the classroom, but not to interfere with opinions being voiced on other subjects outside the classroom. The motion was seconded, and when put to a vote was defeated.

The Chair interjected its appreciation to those who stand to present their views.

Following other suggestions to improve wording as to "the work of the courses in which they are enrolled", such motions lost, and the first paragraph in Article II now reads:

At The University of Akron students have the right to engage in free inquiry and expression when relevant to the subject under discussion. Students are responsible for learning the content of any course of study for which they are enrolled and they shall comport themselves in a mature responsible manner and shall be held responsible for maintaining established standards of academic performance.

Council then considered the second paragraph (top of p. 3) of Article II. Dean Samad supported Dean Lively in his concern for the vagueness of the language, particularly in this instance with its reference to "unfair treatment", etc. He questioned any sound interpretation of "unfair". Dean Hansford explained the original purpose of the document "Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities" was to be broad and comprehensive in its coverage and to be primarily a statement of principles and was not to include the procedures which would be necessary to resolve such complaints as alleged unfairness in grading. Those involved in presenting this section chose to use the word "unfairness" since many recent court decisions regarding students' rights have been based on the question, "were the policies and procedures of the university involved fair?", and therefore the use of the word "fair" was considered the most pertinent one in this context. Dean Barker considered the actions as outlined for right of appeal of a student for alleged impartiality on grading to be those presently adhered to with the exception of the proposed provision for an impartial board, which last he felt would be too difficult and have too many ramifications for implementation.

Dr. Lestingi moved that the last sentence of the second paragraph be deleted. The motion was seconded. The sentence read, "If the question of fairness still remains, the student may request a hearing by an impartial board under the auspices of University Council."

Mr. Moldea asked what alternative would be supplied for appeal. Dr. Gerlach observed that former President Truman's statement would be applicable, "the buck stops here." There were already ample provisions for appeal, and he could only suggest that possibly the addition of the last resort as the Vice President for Academic Affairs. Dean Petry wondered how an impartial board could be more effective than the administrative officers, and anyway, none of them has the right to

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

change a grade. Mr. Vuillemin felt that the student already has sufficient avenues, and that he could envision conflict between standards and policies of professors in one college in interpreting those of another. Others suggested that if the board was set up, the methods of hearings could be determined later.

The motion to delete the sentence was put to a vote and carried.

Dean Lively took exception to the wording in the first sentence of the same paragraph, "effective instruction". Although he assumed members of Council would all agree with the intent of the paragraph, what does the phrase mean to the student, and to the faculty. "Unfair treatment" also had worrisome ramifications, although many conceded that a student would be sincere in his belief. Dr. Rogers described the University as a learning environment and the student has a right to be right or wrong.

Dr. Poston moved that the sentence listing the appeal avenues be amended to conclude "with final appeal to the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs." The motion was seconded, but following more discussion, the motion was put to a vote and lost.

Dean Samad's motion to delete the third sentence of the second paragraph in Article II failed for lack of a second.

In order to clarify the wording outlining the route of student appeal, Dr. Gerlach moved to amend by adding the words, "in turn" ("to appeal in turn to the head of the department or division, and the dean of the college, etc. "). The motion seconded and carried.

Although Dr. Gerlach opposed the use of the term, "instructor", which could be construed to limit academic ranks, and preferred "teacher", he did not move its change. He did, however, move to substitute the word, "beginning", for the present "first class meeting" in the third line of the second paragraph. The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Poston moved to substitute the word "should" for "shall" in the second line. The motion was seconded. Following discussion as to the mandate in "shall", etc., the motion was put to a vote and carried.

The amended second paragraph in Article II now reads as follows:

Students have the right to expect effective instruction and to have their performance evaluated solely on an academic basis. Students should be informed by each instructor at the beginning of each course of the procedures and standards by which they will be graded. Any student who believes he has been the subject of unfair treatment in the classroom has the right to seek and receive from the instructor the reason for the instructor's action. If the student still questions the fairness of the instructor's action he has the right to appeal in turn to the Head of the Department or Division, and the Dean of the College in which the course is given.

Mr. Bee's motion to delete the entire third paragraph in Article II (second one on page 3) was seconded, but was put to a vote and lost. In the discussion it was pointed out that this paragraph is already in the Statement on Faculty Rights. However, Mr. Moldea advocated its inclusion because the Faculty statement is not published in the "A" Book where the Student Statement will appear. Mr. Hunt wanted its inclusion as a reenforcement for student rights.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

Dr. Lively questioned the use of the word "transcends" and the interpretation of "reasonable access" and whether that meant students or faculty. The intent he endorsed, but felt that the wording was dangerous. He also advocated students assuming their obligations to keep appointments with faculty, etc.

On request, Mr. Bee read the current policy on faculty office hours. Mr. Moldea asked that this wording be included in the Statement of Student Rights in order to conform to the Faculty Statement on hours for office appointments, etc.

Dr. Gerlach moved to substitute "Faculty members" for "Instructors" in line three, and in line four to add "both for themselves and" and in line five to substitute "beginning of each course of study" for "first class meeting". The motion was seconded and carried.

The third paragraph in Article II now reads as follows:

The faculty have a responsibility to students that transcends the classroom. Students have a right to expect reasonable access to members of the faculty. Faculty members shall maintain office hours and establish alternate means of communication which are reasonable and convenient both for themselves and for the students whom they teach. Students shall be informed of these arrangements at the beginning of each course of study.

In response to Dr. Lestingi's question as to the meaning of "academic advisement" and academic counseling" in the fourth paragraph in Article II, Dr. Leathers explained that academic advising pertains primarily to aiding students to select the proper courses within a field of study, and academic counseling goes much deeper and pertains primarily to aiding students to determine an appropriate field of study and vocational objectives in keeping with the student's interests, aptitudes and abilities.

Dean Hansford moved the substitution of "should" for "shall" in paragraph four. The motion was seconded and carried. The paragraph now reads:

All students are entitled to sound academic advisement and should be provided with competent academic counseling whenever the need arises.

Dr. Lestingi considered the acceptance of paragraph five premature at this point inasmuch as the new policy on Advising has not yet been adopted, but is being considered by appropriate academic bodies. He understood this fifth paragraph to mean that college faculty members would be given the entire academic counseling and it would be a burden without equation to faculty load. He therefore moved that the last sentence be deleted, which reads, "Referral will be made to faculty advisers in the area of the student's intended major when requested by the student or suggested by the adviser," until the ad hoc Report on Advising has been acted upon. The motion was seconded.

It was pointed out that the paragraph referred to advising in General College and Community and Technical College by trained counselors, unless such a student asked to be referred for upper college advising.

Dean Petry spoke against the motion as he could not believe that the majority of the professors would object to talking to students.

President Guzzetta said that he could not believe what he was hearing, that the faculty would object to having students referred to them for answers to questions or for expert advice.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

Miss Tovey inquired whether the meaning of the paragraph was for referral for information or for permanent advising.

Dr. Lestingi said he would withdraw his motion if the paragraph does not mean permanent advising, as it would be wrong not to give information.

Dr. Guzzetta thought that the wording might have been "assignment" rather than "referral" if a permanent responsibility had been intended.

Dr. Lestingi withdrew his motion.

Dr. Gerlach opposed the withdrawal as he interpreted the language of the motion ("faculty advisers") to refer to the desirability of faculty advisers for students instead of Advisers in the Office of Student Services.

Dr. Leathers and Dean Hansford confirmed that it would be for advice on possible transferring to a different college, etc., and for advice on courses.

Dr. Hart moved to amend the sentence in paragraph five by substituting "a faculty member for advice" in place of "faculty advisers". The motion was seconded and carried.

The fifth paragraph now reads:

Academic advisement and counseling for the General College and Community and Technical College students shall be conducted by trained counselors in the Office of Student Services and the Evening College Office. Referral will be made to a faculty member for advice in the area of the student's intended major when requested by the student or suggested by the adviser.

Dr. Gerlach moved to amend the sixth paragraph (top of page 4), by changing the first part of the second sentence (originally reading "If the student prefers, he may, upon request, etc.") to read, "The student may, upon request, ". The motion was seconded and carried. The sixth paragraph now reads:

Each student in an Upper College or the Graduate School or a Professional School has the right to have an academic adviser who is a faculty member of the Department or School in which the student is enrolled. The student may, upon request, be assigned another adviser by the Head of the Department or by the Dean of the College or School.

In answer to Dr. Lestingi's query as to whether all faculty will be required to advise, Dr. Leathers replied that it did not mean that inasmuch as some colleges have structured advising and departments vary in size, etc.

The Chair reminded Council that there is no smoking permitted in classrooms.

Arguing that it would be unwise to impose categorical restrictions on degree requirements which must sometimes be relatively rigid, Dr. Gerlach moved that the last sentence in paragraph seven of Article II be deleted. It read "Students shall have the widest possible latitude in the selection of electives, including those electives which are a part of degree requirements." The motion was seconded.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/4/73, continued

The vote on the motion was a tie, and the Chair decided in favor of deletion.

The seventh paragraph in Article II now reads as follows:

The student shall periodically confer with the adviser to review his academic progress and to be informed of those courses which he must complete in order to fulfill the collegiate or school degree requirements. The College or School shall publish and make available its specific requirements.

A brief discussion ensued to clarify the matter of required electives. It was pointed out that those electives which were freed by the removal of compulsory ROTC for men were mandated free electives for those men. However, certain other electives are cognate and are specified as required under particular programs of study. Objection was reiterated to the original vague wording of "widest possible latitude." But it was also noted that when change was indicated in future evaluation of course requirements, such recommendations could be made then.

Because of the lateness of the hour, the Chair presented two options to the Council. One was to accept written suggested amendments for the remainder of the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities which would be compiled for quicker consideration at another special meeting. The other was to schedule another special meeting of Council a week from today, January 11, at this hour and place to proceed with the discussion of the Statement.

Dr. Poston was of the opinion that regardless of summary presentations, an open exchange of views was important on the floor of Council. Dr. Crabtree favored another meeting, with an optional written presentation of suggestions.

Dr. Gerlach moved that the meeting be adjourned to reconvene Thursday, January 11, 1973, at 3 p. m. in Business Administration-Law Building 307, to continue the consideration of the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities. The motion was seconded and carried.

The meeting adjourned at 5 p. m.

Any comments concerning the contents of AU Chronicle may be directed to the Office of the President or to the Executive Director of University Relations and Development.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, January 11, 1973

A special meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Vice President Noel Leathers, at 3:07 p.m. on Thursday, January 11, 1973, in Business Administration-Law Building 307.

Forty-eight of the 64 members of Council were present. Those who were absent with notice were Dean H. K. Barker, Dr. J. W. Coe, Dr. W. H. Heintz, Dean R. A. Oetjen, Dr. L. Roemer, Mr. F. Rogacs, Mr. H. Paul Schrank, Jr. Others absent were Dr. G. A. Atwood, Mr. M. G. Briner, Dr. W. Crabtree, Dr. Paul Hayes, Dr. Elizabeth Hittle, Dean Estelle Naes, Dean S. A. Samad, Mr. L. W. Vuillemin and Mr. F. Williams.

Before proceeding with the further consideration of the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities, as determined in the call of the meeting, Dr. Leathers asked Council if it wished to hear a brief report from the President on events which had transpired on the third floor of the Library the preceding afternoon when a group of students had asked to be heard on several issues. In response to this invitation, Dr. Guzzetta informed the Council that two young men whose off-campus apartment had been allegedly ransacked had come to an open student meeting at noon, and one had an appointment later with Dean Hansford. When he appeared with 37 students the Dean would see only the one with whom he had the appointment. The students attempted to discuss a list of "concerns" which they had prepared at the open meeting that noon, but these had not been transmitted under the circumstances, and the student would not keep his appointment without the others being included. There ensued disruptive actions and foul language in the corridor. The president of the Associated Student Government tried to keep the situation under control and around 2:45 p.m. conferred with President Guzzetta (who was at the time in a meeting with a City Councilman in his office) and the President agreed to see the two students involved with two others at 3:30 p.m. The students changed their minds and refused to keep the appointment, demanding that the President go out to meet with all of them which he refused to do. They then staged a sit-in (or lying-in) in the corridor outside his office, and continued to use obscene language. Following further conferences with Mr. Moldea, and with an off-campus minister, the Rev. H. B. Washington, who went out and persuaded the group that their approach was wrong, the crowd dwindled. The president of the Black United Students and another member asked for an appointment with President Guzzetta, and he and they set a mutually convenient time for Friday. To correct some of the false rumors, Dr. Guzzetta said that he did not ever refuse to meet with students, but he would not talk to them under the emotional circumstances they demanded.

Dean Hansford added that when the group of students had met for a regular open meeting at noon, the group had become unruly under an apparent deliberate attempt by some to create a confrontation. He said that the administration had at no time acted hastily, but with considered judgment.

Mr. Tim Davis felt that the open meeting itself had not been unruly except for the two students, and that the ASG president had acted responsibly.

President Guzzetta affirmed that the ASG president had acted responsibly.

Dr. Leathers informed the Council that the Procedural Committee had recommended that in an effort to facilitate taking of minutes the meeting be declared a committee of the whole, with the results being reported back to the Council at the conclusion, and then adopted, with only that report constituting the formal minutes. The tape recording could be kept for reference if necessary. It was moved and seconded that this procedure be followed and that the group meet as a committee of the whole. The motion was carried.

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/11/73, continued

The Chair asked Mr. Bee to preside. Mr. Bee asked if it was not the prerogative of the President Pro Tem to preside. Dr. Gerlach declined, and Mr. Bee assumed the chair, under the rules.

Upon the rising of the committee of the whole, Mr. Bee reported that some progress had been made in considering and amending the proposed Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities.

Vice President Leathers, having assumed the Chair, voiced the consensus that the minutes would reflect only the net changes in the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities for the record.
Article III. Student Records now reads as follows:

The Registrar's Office maintains the official record of the student's academic performance. To minimize the risk of improper disclosure, academic and disciplinary records should be separate, and the conditions of access to each should be set forth in explicit policy statement. Transcripts of academic records shall contain only information about grades and notations of academic status. These statements shall reflect only the student's academic performance and academic action taken by the University. Only when required by law shall a notation of disciplinary action appear on the academic record. These academic records may be examined by the student in the office of the Registrar in the presence of an authorized official of the University.

Copies of the official and unofficial academic records may not be released to persons or organizations outside the University except upon request of the student. Under exceptional circumstances where the permission of the student cannot be secured, the appropriate University authorities may exercise their discretion in the release of this information.

Information from disciplinary records may be released only upon the written request of the student. Where there is clear and probable danger which might result in loss of life, personal injury or property damage, the appropriate University officers may release this information upon receipt of proper justification from legal or medical authorities without the consent of the student. Provisions shall be made for the periodic destruction of disciplinary records.

Counseling and medical records in the division of the Office of Student Services, in the offices of the academic deans and heads of departments may contain applications for admission, records of interview and counseling sessions, psychological test results and evaluations, medical and psychiatric evaluations, copies of correspondence and other data necessary for effective counseling. These records are the property of the University and the information contained therein is held in confidence. No record of conviction in a court of law shall be noted in a student's file unless it is reasonably related to the purposes and necessities of the University.

Except in the case of membership in University-recognized student organizations, no written records shall be kept which reflect the political activities or beliefs of the student. Faculty members and University officials should treat as confidential the information about student views, beliefs and political associations acquired in the course of their work; unless otherwise directed by the student. Where there is clear and probable danger which might result

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/11/73, continued

in loss of life, personal injury or property damage, the appropriate University officers may release this information upon receipt of proper justification from legal or medical authorities without the consent of the student.

When faculty members or University officials are asked to evaluate students and alumni in connection with application for employment, admission to graduate and professional schools and for other reasons, they are responsible to the recipient and to the student to be scrupulously honest and fair in their judgment. The listing by a student or alumnus of an office, officer or another member of the University community as a reference is regarded as authorization to furnish a full and frank evaluation.

Although there was some desire to move adjournment to a definite time for further consideration of the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities, it was agreed that the date would be set by the Procedural Committee with due regard for possible conflict with other meetings, since the next two meetings of University Council are already assigned. Dr. Leathers assured the members of Council that this would be decided without delay.

On motion the meeting was adjourned at 5:05 p. m.

MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, January 18, 1973

The regular meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Vice President Noel Leathers, at 3:10 p. m. on Thursday, January 18, 1973, in Business Administration-Law Building 307.

Fifty-one of the 64 members of Council were present. Those who were absent with notice were President D. J. Guzzetta, Dr. Elizabeth Hittle, Dr. Ian MacGregor, Dean Coleman Major, Dean R. A. Oetjen, Dr. L. Roemer and Mrs. Anna Voorhees. Others absent were Dr. W. Crabtree, Dr. Paul Hayes, Mr. Earl Kerr, Mr. F. Rogacs, Dr. Marion Ruebel, and Mr. William Vuillemin. Several members of the faculty had requested permission of the Procedural Committee to speak at this Council meeting in connection with the consideration of the Ad Hoc Committee report on General Studies. Those who attended besides Council members were Mr. Don Becker, Mr. David Riede, Mr. Blin Scatterday and Dr. Paul Wingard.

In response to the question of the Chairman, there were no additions or corrections to the minutes of the meeting of University Council of December 7, 1972, so they were approved as printed in the January 2, 1973 issue of the AU Chronicle.*

Since President Guzzetta was in Columbus attending a committee meeting of the Ohio Board of Regents there were no "Remarks of the President", and Dr. Leathers proceeded to the next item on the agenda which was the reports of the Standing Committees.

*Note: In curricular changes, p. 18—course 335:422/522 should have had as prerequisite 335:220 or permission.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

Dr. Gerlach stated that the report of the Procedural Committee meeting of January 9, 1973 had been circulated with the agenda. However, there was one late addendum. Since consideration of the Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities had not been completed on January 11, the Procedural Committee now recommended that it be continued at the special meeting of University Council already scheduled for January 25, and that the consideration of the report of the ad hoc committee on the Faculty Manual originally approved for January 25 be postponed. He moved the adoption of this amended report. The motion was seconded.

Dean Hansford informed the Council that he had to be away on January 25, and Mr. Moldea suggested that further discussion of the Statement should be made when Dean Hansford could be present. Dr. Fatemi hoped that when the report on the Faculty Manual was considered it would be at consecutive meetings, and without much delay.

The motion to adopt the amended report of the Procedural Committee was put to a vote and approved.

There were no reports of Standing Committees for Executive, Athletics and Campus Facilities Planning. Dean Lively, for the Library and Learning Resources Committee announced that the new Library Building had had a preliminary inspection and that if a few corrections were made, the University might accept the building next week. The target date for moving the main library collection is during the break between winter and spring quarters so as not to inconvenience the students using the facilities.

As chairman of the Student Affairs Committee, Dean Hansford reported on the regular business meeting held January 12, 1973: Mrs. Kathryn Vegso, Chairman of the Extracurricular Activities Subcommittee, reported that the subcommittee had approved the petition of a group of students for University recognition of the Students International Mediation Society, pending receipt of the national constitution and contingent thereafter upon finding nothing in the constitution which would preclude University recognition.

She also reported that plans were being worked out by the University Controller to provide student groups with monthly computer reports indicating the status of their Extracurricular Activity account with regard to their budgetary allocations from the Extracurricular Activities Fund. It is hoped that this service will be available for the 1973-74 academic year.

Mr. Robert Larson, Chairman of the Subcommittee on Awards, Scholarships, Grants and Loans, reported that there had been no need of a meeting of the subcommittee to date, but that it would be meeting early in February to consider the granting of awards, loans and scholarships for next year.

The chairman of the subcommittee reported on the status of the Work-Study Program which had run out of funds effective the first week of January, 1973 as the result of the decision to help a larger number of students than previously during the summer months and the fall quarter. In most cases the University departments had been able to retain the Work-Study students and pay them from the departmental budgets on a temporary basis. The chairman reported that he had some assurance that some supplemental Work-Study funds would be forthcoming, perhaps within the week.

In the absence of Mr. James Switzer, Chairman of the ad hoc committee to revise the language necessary in the Draft Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities, the Associated Student Government Constitution, and the Statement of Functions of the Student Affairs Committee to bring the

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

three in accord, the Chairman of the Student Affairs Committee asked Mr. Dan Moldea, a student member, to report to the membership the rewording in the three documents for the record, although this information had been previously presented to the members of the committee through University mail.

The balance of the meeting was devoted to a discussion of the functions and responsibilities of the Student Affairs Committee, which to date have not been fully defined and probably cannot be until there is approval by the University Council, the President of the University, and the Board of Trustees of a statement of student rights and responsibilities.

✓ Dean Hansford moved the acceptance of the report. The motion was seconded. ✓

Dr. Gerlach opposed the acceptance of a report if this meant recognition of a new student group before all aspects of its petition were approved. Dean Hansford pointed out that he had only moved the acceptance of the report, and that the final recognition was not included.

The Council accepted the report of the Student Affairs Committee.

Dr. Richard Roberts inquired about Council action on two reports which had been circulated at the December meeting of University Council from the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee by Dean Rogers for consideration and some future action. These two were printed on pages 48-50 of the AU Chronicle of January 2, 1973. Although no date had been set for their formal presentation, Dr. Poston moved that Council accept the reports—"Requirements for Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees" and "Advising of General College and Community and Technical College Students", as printed. Dr. Fatemi seconded the motion.

Dr. Lestingi questioned Item 2 in the report on "Requirements for Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees", and whether, if it is necessary, it should be included in this section (Item 2: "Place an order with the University Bookstore for the cap and gown, within the date approved by the University Marshal.")

Dr. Poston explained that the cap and gown could be obtained elsewhere, but it was important to have a check on those planning to participate in the Commencement. In response to Dr. Merrix's question as to the cost to graduate in absentia, it was pointed out that the \$2 fee was necessary to cover clerical and mailing costs of diplomas.

Dean Lively stated that the Graduate Council will use the same date as the other colleges for advancement to candidacy and application for degrees, and he has discussed this with the Registrar, so that this would be an attempt next year to eliminate the misunderstandings and excuses of students who did not comply with rules.

Miss Mowery, in the belief that Items 2 and 3 (Item 3: "Participate in Commencement exercises. Degree candidates who wish to be graduated 'In Absentia' must apply through the Registrar's Office and pay the appropriate fee.") were out of place in this statement of degree requirements, moved that they be eliminated from the statement appearing on p. 48. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Poston could understand the omission of Item 2, but strongly opposed the deletion of Item 3 because of its importance in keeping track of participants in Commencement.

Dr. Leathers asked the Marshal, Dean Hansford, if these regulations could be incorporated elsewhere. Dean Hansford felt that they could be.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

The motion to delete Items 2 and 3 from the statement on p. 48 was put to a vote and carried.

Dean Samad favored the deletion of Item 7: "Be approved for graduation by the appropriate college faculty, University Council and Board of Trustees".

Dr. Gerlach pointed out that this item is part of both the Council's and Trustees' Bylaws and any proposed change would have to follow procedures for amending Bylaws and go through the Board. Dean Samad reiterated that in his opinion the case of the individual student is up to the College only, but Dr. Poston cited the legal requirement, and Dr. Leathers said that across the country this is policy and that the University Council acts for all the collegiate divisions.

The motion to accept the statements as amended was put to a vote and carried.

The final statements follow:

Requirements for Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees

A candidate for the baccalaureate or the associate degree must:

1. File an application for graduation with the Registrar
 - a. For June Commencement, on or before January 15.
 - b. For December Commencement, on or before July 15.
2. Earn a minimum 2.000 quality point ratio as computed by the Registrar.
 - a. For all collegiate work attempted*, including work taken at other accredited institutions; and
 - b. For all work attempted at The University of Akron.
3. Earn a minimum 2.000** quality point ration as computed by the appropriate college and/or major department
 - a. For all work attempted in the major field, including work taken at other accredited institutions; and
 - b. For all work attempted in the major field at The University of Akron
4. Meet all degree requirements which are in force at the time a transfer is made to a degree granting college. If the student should transfer to another major, then the requirements shall be those in effect at the time of the transfer. For a student enrolled in an associate degree program in the Community and Technical College, the requirements shall be those in effect upon entrance into the program.

*For exception refer to article on Academic Reassessment.

**The College of Education requires a minimum 2.500 quality point ratio in the major field.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

Requirements for Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees, continued

5. Be approved for graduation by the appropriate college faculty, University Council and Board of Trustees.
6. Complete the requirements for a degree in not more than five calendar years from the date of transfer, as defined below. In the event the student fails to complete the degree requirements within five calendar years from the date of transfer, the University reserves the right to make changes in the number of credits and/or courses required for a degree.

The date of transfer for a student in a baccalaureate program will be the date that the student is accepted by the degree granting college. For a student enrolled in an associate degree program in the Community and Technical College, the date of transfer refers to the date of entrance into the program.

7. Spend the last year in residence (earning a minimum of 48 credits in the baccalaureate degree total or 24 credits in the associate degree total) at The University of Akron unless excused in writing by the Dean of the College in which the student is enrolled.
8. Discharge all other individual obligations to The University of Akron.

Requirements for Additional Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees

A candidate for an additional baccalaureate or associate degree must:

1. Meet all the requirements given in the section Requirements for Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees.
2. Earn a minimum of
 - a. 48 credits which have not counted toward the first baccalaureate degree.
 - b. 24 credits which have not counted toward the first associate degree.
3. Earn the above credits in residence.

To better accomplish its objective, the University reserves the right to alter, amend, or revoke any rule or regulation. The policy of the University is to give advance notice of such change, whenever feasible.

Unless the change in a rule or regulation specified otherwise, it shall become effective immediately with respect to each student who subsequently enters the University, whatever the date of his matriculation.

Without limiting the generality of its power to alter, amend, or revoke rules and regulations, the University reserves the right to make changes in degree requirements of student enrolled prior to the change by:

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

Requirements for Additional Baccalaureate and Associate Degrees,
continued

1. Altering the number of credits and/or courses required in a major field of study.
2. Deleting courses.
3. Amending courses by increasing or decreasing the credits of specific courses, or by varying the content of specific courses.
4. Offering substitute courses in the same or in cognate fields.

The Dean of a College, in consultation with the Department or Division Head of the student's major field of study, may grant waivers in writing, in the event a change in rules affecting degree requirements operates with undue hardship upon a student enrolled before the change was effective. The action of the Dean of the College in granting or refusing a waiver may be reviewed by the Vice President for Academic Affairs on his own motion, or at the request of the Dean of the College of the student affected or at the request of the student affected.

Advising of General College and Community and Technical
College Students

All day students, including transfers into the General and Community and Technical Colleges, respectively, should be advised by the Division of Advising and Counseling at least through the freshman year (45 credits). At any time after completing 45 credits, a student wishing to pursue a baccalaureate degree and who has selected a major field may apply for transfer to the appropriate baccalaureate degree granting college. Such a transfer preferably should occur not later than the third quarter of the sophomore year.

The next item on the agenda was the report from the Akron Representative on the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents, Dr. Fatemi. He said that his report, which is printed in full elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle, could now be discussed since the Governor had presented his budget message yesterday and the figures were now public. Dr. Fatemi then touched on all the details of the report.

At this point in the meeting, Dr. Jackson arrived following the conclusion of his class. As chairman of the Faculty Well-Being, Rights and Responsibilities Committee, he had no special report at this time.

The Akron Representative on the Ohio Faculty Senate, Dr. Merrix, announced that the next meeting of the Senate will be February 3 at the University of Cincinnati, the latest member. On January 26 the Senate chairman, Dr. Michael Moore of Bowling Green, will meet with Dr. Enarson in hopes that Ohio State will be the final state university to join the Senate. The complete report from Dr. Merrix is printed elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

Mr. Tim Davis wished to return to the report from the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor, citing the work the students had done in this last election on behalf of Issue 2 (to retain the state income tax) and the low amount the Governor had designated in his budget for higher education, it being only half of what the Regents had requested; and he felt the Governor had treated education in a shabby manner.

Dr. Leathers observed that the Regents had kept faith with the state universities in presenting their requests, and that the General Assembly would have the responsibility to modify or change portions of fund allocations available to the state. Although the Governor said there would be no new taxes there has been no breach of faith from the Regents.

Mr. Moldea announced that the Ohio Federation of Student Governments had called a meeting of all its members for this next Sunday in Columbus to take a stand on the Governor's budget. Dr. Fatemi quoted from a statement from an education association advocating the necessity of an education lobby in Columbus, and he also encouraged the faculty and students to speak up. Dr. Merrix was of the opinion that lobbying should be done by appropriate groups and not by formal university organizations such as University Council.

Speaking for the Ad Hoc Committee to Consider a University Faculty Senate, Dr. Merrix said that two groups are preparing statements 1) on governance, and 2) the role of faculty members in departments, colleges and universities. As soon as these are completed and available, he will have them for distribution.

The first item under "Old Business" was consideration of the recommendation on the General Studies Program. Dean Sumner announced that members of the Ad Hoc Committee to Review the General Studies Program who were not members of Council had been given permission by the Procedural Committee to speak on the subject, and he asked all committee members to sit together at the front of the room to facilitate the discussion. Dr. Riede, Mr. Becker, Dr. Ferguson, Mrs. Godfrey, Mr. Scatterday then joined Dean Sumner.

Dr. Sumner moved the adoption of the report.

Dr. Hart moved that the report be referred to the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee for a critical evaluation, after holding open meetings on the report, and reported back to the University Council. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Richardson argued that it would be useful, even if the report were committed, to have a discussion today to determine sentiments of the Council so that the Committee would have guidance for their future deliberations. Dr. Lestingi, noting the three years of time and effort in the preparation of this report, supported Dr. Richardson. Dean Rogers pointed out that some sections of the General Studies recommendations had already been adopted and in effect for some time.

It was suggested that the matter be referred to a committee of the whole for the discussion, (principally to eliminate the necessity for detailed minutes on what promised to be a rambling general discussion) and then the entire document could be reported back to the Council with any individual portions free for amendment by the Council later.

Dr. Hart withdrew his motion since it could be reintroduced after a committee of the whole report.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

The Council then resolved itself into a committee of the whole, with Dr. Gerlach, President Pro Tem, assuming the chair with no restrictions on how to proceed or how long.

The committee of the whole having risen, Dr. Leathers assumed the chair and Dr. Gerlach reported that the committee had considered the report of the Ad Hoc Committee to Review the General Studies Program and had reached no conclusions.

Dr. Hart moved to refer the Ad Hoc Committee report to the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee for a critical evaluation, to hold open hearings on this report and then to make a recommendation for further action to University Council. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Riede rose to clarify some points for the record. He said that the profile of the student as depicted from the study by the Ad Hoc Committee was good, and that because some misinterpretations were made in the committee of the whole, he wanted the Ad Hoc Committee's findings emphasized. Also, there was some implication in earlier discussion that options were not provided. He stressed that some options have been a matter of record, and detailed in the General Bulletin and therefore were not included in a list of new recommendations, it being assumed that all faculty would have read the catalog and would be familiar with the present course structure. If this Report were sent to the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee, Dr. Riede felt it important that in addition to philosophic consideration the following specific recommendations be implemented: #1 under Closed Circuit TV on page 26 and #3 on page 27; the recommendation for English Composition on page 30; #3 under Physical Education on page 32; #3 under Numbers on page 33 and #1 under Western Cultural Traditions on page 33; #2 under Senior Seminar on page 34 and #3 on page 35.

Dr. Fatemi moved that the pending motion be amended incorporating Dr. Riede's list above. The motion to amend was seconded and carried.

The amended motion to refer the Ad Hoc Committee Report to the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee was put to a vote and carried.

Vice President Leathers concluded the discussion by strongly endorsing the concept of the General Studies as being a significant area for the students. The work of the committee illustrated the dedication of the faculty to the students, despite the lack of cooperation from some faculty and administrators. He said that for all who believed in liberal education without college walls, this interdisciplinary approach is a way to contribute, and it should be understood that any program can be reviewed every five years, for example, as there is constant change and room for reevaluation. He noted that in a sense everyone was talking outside his own discipline, but it is a very important program and he knew that the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee would move quickly and give serious analyses and recommendations on this report which has been diligently worked on for the last three years. He recognized that not all faculty and students would be satisfied, but all should work together to develop a program which they could live with.

In response to Dr. Wilson's query as to whether this present report should become a part of these minutes, Dr. Leathers ruled that it would be included by title only, and distribution should be arranged by the individual Colleges to their faculties.

Dr. Fatemi moved that the Council express appreciation to each member of the Ad Hoc Committee to Review the General Studies Program for completing this long and difficult task and that the committee be discharged. The motion was seconded and carried.

UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING, 1/18/73, continued

The Chair apologized to Dr. Ferguson for not having introduced him at the beginning of the meeting as the new ex officio member of Council in his capacity as the Chairman of the Committee of Department Heads and Divisions in succession to Dr. Castle who had this month taken a leave of absence from the headship of the Department of Marketing.

Mr. Moldea announced that the Ohio Confederation of Students would meet in the Student Center on the weekend.

Dr. Roberts asked about the second item under "Old Business"—Consideration of Reports of Committee Functions. Dr. Gerlach explained that the Procedural Committee was not able to complete its report to bring to Council until all committees have sent in their outlines of their functions.

The Chair expressed hope that these would be received in time for a report at the next regular meeting of the University Council.

On Mr. Switzer's motion the meeting was adjourned at 4:55 p. m.

APPENDIX TO MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, January 18, 1973

Report of Akron Representative on Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents—Dr. Ali Fatemi

The monthly meeting of the Faculty Advisory Committee was held on Tuesday, January 16, 1973 in Columbus. Mr. Coulter, the Acting Chancellor, opened the meeting with a discussion of the Budget. He stated that the Governor's Budget may not include all the details regarding higher education, but the appropriations bill will contain such information. The increase for higher education is 3 percent and the Acting Chancellor indicated this is less than half of what the Board of Regents recommended. However, he noted that the higher education program is not the only one to be under-funded. According to Mr. Coulter the recommendation will contain a 3 percent increase in state support coupled with a 3 percent increase in student fees. The following is the level of state subsidy for various programs in higher education:

	1972-73 <u>Adjusted Rates</u>	1973-75 Biennium	
		<u>First Year</u>	<u>Second Year</u>
General Studies	\$ 495	\$ 511 (+3%)	\$ 528
Technical Education	825	996	1027
Baccalaureate General	1085	1118	1153
Baccalaureate Professional	1570	1618	1668
Master's Level	1950	2072	2135
Graduate Professional	1950	2009	2070
Doctoral	3990*	4110	4234
Medical	5400*	5562	5730

*not adjusted

The student fee rates will be uniformly 3 percent higher.

Undergraduate	\$ 630	\$ 648	\$ 666
Graduate Professional	1200	1236	1272

Exception: Increases in expenditure models in the following two categories are at a higher rate to adjust initial low estimate of operating costs:

Technical Education	13	3%
Master's Level	5	3%

Aggregate:	<u>Current Biennium</u>	<u>Next Biennium</u>
	\$613 million	\$714 million

The Board of Regents proposed a 6.5 percent increase per student per year. The Board of Regents also proposed higher increase in student fees.

Enrollment Growth 1972-73	<u>First Year</u>	<u>Second Year</u>
237,600 (eligible FTE)	248,000	259,000

APPENDIX TO MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/18/73, continued

Report of Akron Representative on Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents—Dr. Ali Fatemi, continued

In the budget there is an assumption of a 4.5 percent increase in the aggregate. This year the aggregate increase was about 1 percent. The Acting Chancellor said there is hope to put a language in the appropriation bill which would say that if an institution earns as much as 98 percent of support, no penalty for the 2 percent will be considered. A margin of 2 percent. In the appropriations bill we should expect:

1. The 2 percent margin for institutions that earn 98 percent of their support.
2. In addition to a 2 percent buffer in unusual circumstances, the Board of Regents may recommend against the full reduction (in the event of drastic enrollment drop).
3. Language on fee waivers absolutely unchanged (from the current one).
4. Sabbatical unchanged.
5. Teaching load unchanged.

Regarding the question of Faculty Responsibility and Productivity, the Acting Chancellor stated that Management Improvement Task Forces should have had faculty representation in them. They were not meant to be administrative only. The names of each university's representatives will be provided.

In response to a question regarding contracting of services from the private institutions, Mr. Coulter said there will be some money in the Governor's proposed budget to contract for services.

Rumor: "Mr. Coulter is to stay on for two more years while a Blue Ribbon Committee (Task Force for Higher Education) will study the entire system." The source was identified as president of one of the state universities. The Acting Chancellor did not deny the rumor; instead he recalled Dr. Millett's (former Chancellor) remark that the university presidents don't keep secrets very well!

I asked the Chancellor what Ohio intended to do regarding State Planning Commission under Section 1202 of the Amendments Act. The Education Amendments of 1972, passed by the Congress in June, provided under Section 1202 for the creation of a state postsecondary education planning commission which must be established during 1973 in order for the states to continue to receive federal funds for higher education. The Acting Chancellor thinks that since the Board of Regents may not qualify under the guidelines for establishing this commission which requires a very broad base of representation, some other arrangements may have to be made. This includes a possible reorganization of the Board of Regents in compliance with the guidelines.

At the end of the meeting the Chancellor distributed a document on Development of Ohio Graduate Education Plan. Anyone interested please contact me for a copy of this proposed study of graduate education in Ohio.

The next meeting with Mr. Coulter is scheduled for Monday, February 19, 1973.

APPENDIX TO MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 1/18/73, continued

Report of Akron Representative on Ohio Faculty Senate—Dr. R. Paul Merrix

Executive Committee Meeting, January 6, 1973:

The Ohio Faculty Senate Executive Committee met in Columbus on January 6, 1973. Several important items of business were discussed:

- 1) Evaluated the Regional Conference meeting at Bowling Green State University on December 2, 1972, in which the economic outlook for Ohio's state-supported institutions was analyzed. Several speakers, among them Dr. Lila Fundaburk, Professor of Economics at BGSU, and Dr. Eric Weld, Professor of Economics at Cleveland State University, commented on problems relating to state financing of higher education in Ohio. Both economists stressed the need for "direct action" on the part of Ohio's educators to insure that higher education would receive adequate support from the state.
- 2) Made final plans for the February 3rd Regional Conference at the University of Cincinnati. The main speaker will be Dr. Robert Cecile, Governor Gilligan's Special Assistant on Education. State Senator, Stanley J. Aronoff, will lead one of the panel discussions. The Conference will focus on problems of transfer from two-year colleges, the biennial appropriations for higher education, and the Ohio Faculty Senate's role in higher education.
- 3) The Committee decided to support a candidate from higher education for nomination to the State Teachers' Retirement Board. The OFS and the AAUP will join in choosing a candidate.
- 4) Made final plans for the OFS Winter meeting in Columbus on February 17, 1973. Major items to be discussed and acted upon are the various plans for financing higher education (the Ohio Plan, the Millet Plan, the Sowle Plan), and the Snider proposal for varied school calendars.

General:

Dr. Moore and I will be meeting State Senators Oliver Ocasek and Robert Stockdale during the last week of January to discuss the Ohio Faculty Senate's role in the higher education budget deliberations for 1973-74. Anyone interested in contributing to that meeting or in attending the Regional Conference Meeting in Cincinnati, please contact me. My new number is 375-7601.
