

The University of Akron

IdeaExchange@UAkron

---

The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle

---

12-1-1972

## Faculty Senate Chronicle December 1, 1972

Heather M. Loughney

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/universityofakronfacultysenate>

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you [through this survey](#). Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Akron Faculty Senate Chronicle by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact [mjon@uakron.edu](mailto:mjon@uakron.edu), [uapress@uakron.edu](mailto:uapress@uakron.edu).

# AU Chronicle

a report to the faculty of  
the university of akron



1972-73, No. 3

(22 pages)

December 1, 1972

## GREETINGS FROM THE PRESIDENT

"It seems as though the new academic year just got well underway. Yet, the end of the first quarter is already here and we are preparing for our Mid-Year Commencement. This means that Christmas and the New Year are just around the corner.

"Since this will be the last issue of The AU Chronicle until we begin the winter quarter, may I take this opportunity to extend holiday greetings in behalf of Mrs. Guzzetta and myself. We hope that your well-earned vacation will be a pleasant and relaxing experience and that your return to the campus will be accompanied with renewed vigor and enthusiasm for our collective educational mission.

"Best wishes to you and your families for an enjoyable holiday season."

A handwritten signature in cursive script, likely belonging to D. J. Guzzetta.

## SPECIAL DATES

University Council will meet on Thursday, December 7, 1972, in Room 307, Business Administration-Law Building, 3 p. m.

Holiday Open House for Trustees, Faculty, Staff and Students, Faculty Lounge and Dining Room, Gardner Student Center, 3-5 p. m., hosted by President and Mrs. D. J. Guzzetta.

Commencement, with Hon. William D. Ruckelshaus, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency, addressing the graduates in Memorial Hall, 3 p. m. Reception for graduates, their families and the faculty, immediately following Commencement, Gardner Student Center.

Founders Day will be observed on Tuesday, January 16, 1973, with a Faculty Educational Conference in John S. Knight Auditorium at 2 p. m. The speaker will be Dr. Harold Hodgkinson, Professor of Higher Education, University of California at Berkeley. He will also be meeting with the members of the Educational Research and Development Center during the day and will answer questions following his address at the Conference. Classes will meet during the 8, 9 and 10 a. m. periods that Tuesday. The traditional faculty luncheon will be served in Hilltop Room of the Gardner Student Center from 11:30 a. m. to 1 p. m.

## MAJOR MEDICAL COVERAGE INCREASED

The University Board of Trustees has increased the amount of the major medical coverage under the University's comprehensive faculty and staff insurance plan from \$15,000 to \$25,000 annually.

## UNITED FUND CAMPAIGN

The University has sustained and increased its record of exceeding its quota for the annual United Fund-Red Cross Campaign. Dr. Joseph Lestingi, the campus chairman, has reported a final subscription of \$1,532 over the \$35,000 quota. To all those who assisted and to those participating, the administration extends warm congratulations.



## MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 16, 1972

The regular meeting of the University Council was called to order by the Chairman, Vice President Noel Leathers, at 3:05 p.m. on Thursday, November 16, 1972, in Business Administration-Law Building 307.

Fifty-three of the 64 members of Council were present. Those who were absent with notice were Dean J. W. Dunlap, Dr. D. R. Gerlach, Dr. Elizabeth Hittle, Dr. I. R. MacGregor, Dean C. J. Major, Dean Estelle Naes, Dean R. A. Oetjen. Others absent were Mr. M. G. Briner, Mr. Dave Dial, Mr. George Gilson, and Dean S. A. Samad.

Dr. Leathers called upon the Secretary of Council, Dr. Wilson, to read corrections of the minutes of the two May meetings of Council as printed in the AU Chronicle of June 1, 1972. Dr. Wilson then read the following statement submitted by Dr. Richard S. Roberts, with which Dr. Ali Fatemi had concurred: "In the University Council Minutes of May 18, 1972, on page 17, next to last paragraph, add the following: 'Dr. Fatemi further stated that the faculty review would be for the purpose of determining whether or not academic due process had been followed. He said that the review would not be for the purpose of determining the academic competence of the faculty member involved, since the faculty member's academic competence can best be judged by members of his/her department.'" The minutes were approved as corrected.

Dr. Wilson then read the following statement from Dr. Richard S. Roberts: "In the University Council Minutes of May 25, 1972, on page 30, fourth paragraph, last sentence, instead of 'Dr. Roberts said it was originated for special cases only,' read 'Dr. Roberts said that these changes apply to standards for full admission only; applicants not meeting the standards for full admission could still be considered for special admission.'" The minutes were approved as corrected.

In consideration of the minutes of the October 19, 1972 meeting of the University Council as printed in the November 1, 1972 issue of the AU Chronicle, the Chairman asked if there were any corrections or additions. Dr. Fatemi took exception to the way his comments had been reported on page 13, stating that in the last paragraph the first line, the word "again" should be deleted as this was the first time he had questioned these rules at this meeting. He also objected to the wording later in the paragraph, "Dr. Fatemi offered his interpretation", since he would not presume to offer any but his own interpretation. He decried this apparent adherence to the "old style" writing of minutes, and asked that the word "again" be struck.

Dr. Wilson, as Secretary of Council, apologized for having included Miss Judith Mowery in the list of those absent without notice at the October 19 meeting, inasmuch as she had informed him in advance of the meeting of her inability to attend.

Dr. Wilson, on behalf of Dr. Gerlach who, as Dr. Wilson explained was not present in the flesh, but undoubtedly was there in spirit, read a long communication citing his corrections of the October 19 minutes as follows:

1. On page 12, paragraph 3, line 6, strike out the words "alluding to the experience of Charles I who was addressed in 1642 by Speaker Lenthill" and insert instead, "giving Dr. Leathers a motto in the words of Speaker Lenthill to Charles I in 1962".
2. On page 12, end of paragraph 5, add to the statement, "Council stood for a moment of silent tribute."



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

3. On page 14, paragraph 4, line 5, change the word "recommended" to "decided" and change the reference to five committees to six to include Faculty Research Committee.

4. On page 16, paragraph 8, last sentence. "Hopefully, the report, etc." does not make sense. The report cannot hope or be hopeful. Rather, "Dr. Leathers hoped that, etc."

The Council accepted these corrections and the minutes thus changed were approved.

On behalf of Dean Major who could not be present, Dr. Joseph Lestingi read the following resolution from the College of Engineering for adoption by the University Council:

Resolution in Memory of Dr. Robert Neil Collins

The College of Engineering has been enriched by Dr. Robert Neil Collins' rare combination of quiet strength, demonstrated insistence on excellence, empathy and objectivity. He had the gift of exposing the essence of a problem and its solution, and yet he would have denied that he had any such ability. He had a vital and living influence on his students and on his colleagues within the College of Engineering;

Although we continue to feel an emptiness at the loss of Bob Collins, we have all been edified because he passed along our way: Therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That this sincere expression of deepfelt sorrow be impressed in the minutes of the meeting, and that a copy of this resolution be sent to his family.

The Chair so ordered and promised that a copy would be sent to the family as unanimously adopted by the Council.

The next item on the agenda was "Remarks of the President". Dr. Guzzetta said they would be short, as he had to leave soon for another meeting. In keeping with the Faculty Personnel Policy, he announced at this November Council meeting the range of 9-month faculty salaries (not compensation which includes fringe benefits, etc.) from \$8,500 to \$25,000 for full-time teaching faculty. Since the detailed information is now in the mail to all full-time faculty, he did not read the specifics. He was pleased to tell the Council, however, that the 1972-73 all university average salary is \$14,262 as contrasted with \$13,626 last year; and the average faculty compensation is \$16,743 as contrasted with \$15,762 in 1971-72. There are 568 full-time teachers now and there were 555 a year ago.

The summary of the 9-month salaries (12-month have been reduced proportionately) follows:

<u>1972-73</u>	<u>Professors</u>	<u>Assoc. Profs.</u>	<u>Asst. Profs.</u>	<u>Instructors</u>
Minimum	\$14,562	\$12,200	\$10,000	\$ 8,500
Median	20,000	16,200	12,600	10,000
Average	20,088	16,031	12,815	10,295
Maximum	25,000	20,300	17,050	13,900



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

The President then referred to plans for Commencement in Memorial Hall on December 10, 1972, at 3 p.m. Of the 1,000 graduates expected, probably 700 will cross the stage. The speaker will be the Hon. William D. Ruckelshaus, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency. Participation of the faculty at this ceremony, as in the recent past, is on a voluntary basis (with at least one representative per department), and some 100 will march.

Founders Day will be Tuesday, January 16, 1973 and it will follow a different format. There will be no traditional morning convocation which had become difficult to populate. There will be the customary annual luncheon for Akron University Associates at noon, and which will be addressed by Mr. Henry Nave, President of Mack Truck Company. Classes will meet through the 10 o'clock hour, dismissing at 11. There will be the usual faculty luncheon at a reduced cost. The Faculty Educational Conference will be held in the John S. Knight Auditorium at 2 p.m. and will convene more formally to recognize Founders Day at the opening with some reference to history of the institution, a brief Wreath Ceremony, etc. Dr. Harold Hodgkinson, Professor of Higher Education at University of California at Berkeley, who is prominent as a researcher, sociologist and educational innovator, will meet with members of the Educational Research and Development Committee in the morning, and in the afternoon will answer questions following his talk to the faculty at the Educational Conference.

Dr. Guzzetta said that the Regents are still searching for a Chancellor to succeed Dr. Millett. The Acting Chancellor, Mr. William Coulter, is working closely with Dr. Hovey, Ohio Director of Finance, in planning the budget, etc., for the next biennium. We can't expect much in increased state subsidy, but the University is providing all the pertinent data needed by the Regents in preparation for the state budget.

The President was pleased that the Regents are showing more interest in working with the Ohio university presidents, through their Inter-University Council. There have recently been two such meetings, where "We talked, period."

The President said that the reason he had included the Board of Trustees on this agenda, since they have not yet met this month, was because some members of Council had requested his explanation of two actions of the Board on June 28, 1972—in (a) deleting some wording in a change for the Faculty Manual (Section B.1.a.) regarding faculty appointment which had been approved by University Council on May 18, 1972, and in (b) rejecting Council's statement on nonreappointment of untenured faculty passed on May 18, 1972, while reaffirming the Board's earlier stand of October 20, 1971. Although he had sent this explanation to the Council in October, the matter had come up again when he had been invited to meet with the Committee on Faculty Well-Being, Rights and Responsibilities. He repeated that the words, "For compelling reasons" and "and in writing to", were deleted by the Board as unnecessary or inappropriate. The Board's Educational Policy Committee had considered the complete statements by Council at its meeting on June 21, 1972 and had forwarded its recommendations to the full Board at its meeting on June 28 when these actions were approved. Dr. Guzzetta said he would admit that it was his oversight that there were no faculty representatives of University Council present at the Committee meeting and that there should have been. But, this occurred after Spring Quarter had ended. However, in the future he intends to follow the practice of having faculty members of University Council present at such Committee meetings.

Dr. Guzzetta stated that the question of reaffirming the Board's document had come up when the Trustees had rejected the Council's action of May 18, 1972 on the matter of nonreappointment of untenured faculty. He reiterated that the Board had studied both statements and had held to the October 1971 Board statement as more appropriate. Queries have arisen as to why the Board had taken this



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

action, or whether the Board had the right to reject Council's action, or whether it should have done so. The President said that as the Board views its particular function now, since it delegates authority to the Council, it has the right at such times as it deems necessary to overrule the actions taken by Council. Its position is actually delineated by law, and he read the pertinent section of the Ohio Legislature Amended Substitute House Bill 475 as passed December 10, 1971 relative to the role of Boards of Trustees of state universities:

"The general assembly hereby declares its expectation that the authority of government vested by law in the boards of trustees and in the boards of directors of state-assisted institutions of higher education shall in fact be exercised by said boards. Boards of trustees and boards of directors may consult extensively with appropriate student and faculty groups. Administrative decisions about the utilization of available resources, about organizational structure, about disciplinary procedure, and about administrative personnel shall be the exclusive prerogative of boards of trustees. Any delegation of authority by a board of trustees or by a board of directors shall be accompanied by appropriate standards of guidance concerning expected objectives in the exercise of such delegated authority and shall be accompanied by periodic review of the exercise of this delegated authority to the end that the public interest in contrast to any institutional or special interest shall be served."

The Board had not anticipated this delineation of its powers, but it does apply in this situation. This forms the basis for the present Board understanding of its policy, although this authority had always been implied. When matters come up of vital concern to the faculty, the Board has expressed a willingness to invite faculty spokesmen to meet with its Educational Policy Committee. Dr. Guzzetta expects to invite two faculty members, not before January, to report to the Educational Policy Committee on the topic of tenure, and possibly later on to invite two faculty members to advise the Committee on the issue of collective bargaining. He wishes to keep the Board informed and he believes that this is one good way to achieve this objective.

Dr. Anderson inquired whether there was any provision for revoking delegated authority. Dr. Guzzetta said that this is certainly not spelled out as such but since the Board has the power of periodic review in connection with its stipulated authority and responsibility, this could probably happen.

Dr. Hart raised a question pertaining to the wording of HB 475 stipulating the exclusive prerogative of the Board of Trustees regarding decisions about "administrative personnel", pointing out that HB 475 was silent about faculty personnel decisions.

Dr. Fatemi stated that there was no question in anyone's mind that the Board of Trustees could at any time revise its Bylaws which currently delegate legislative responsibility to University Council. The Board could state, for example, that all University Council action is subject to final approval by the Board. But it has not yet done this. Dr. Fatemi said, "If University Council is not truly the legislative authority of the representative body of the faculty, let that be said, because then we cannot be credited by saying, 'you have your self-government', when we know that we are really employees and someone else is making the decisions which affect our lives. This, I think is the crucial issue: Are we (in University Council) a group of professionals and self-governors (as stated in Article II of the University Council Bylaws) or are we only employees?" Dr. Fatemi said he was not taking sides at this time, since different types of university governance exist at different schools, but thought that, in fairness to faculty and students, the Board should clarify its position. He also wanted to ask Dr. Knepper as University Historian if in earlier years, particularly in the days before University Council included elected faculty representatives, the Board had ever overruled any University Council action.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

The President noted that the Board is not taking a new direction, but it is functioning as it always had. He did suggest that perhaps the Board might clarify its position, but to date it has followed what it believed to be a clear policy.

Dr. Guzzetta, turning to another item on his part of the agenda, asked Vice President Duff to announce the change in major medical coverage. Mr. Duff was pleased to tell the Council that the increase from \$15,000 to \$25,000, which had been proposed over a year ago and delayed with the price freeze, had now been adopted. Mrs. Clinefelter of the Committee on Faculty Well-Being, Rights and Responsibilities had pointed out that this information had not been disseminated. Mr. Duff felt that our benefits compare favorably with industrial and other state university plans. He just hoped that the faculty wouldn't have to use this new major medical coverage!

Dr. Lestingi wondered about the inclusion of dental coverage, as he thought it wouldn't be as good without that, vis-a-vis industrial. Dr. Guzzetta said our base of comparison should be with other state institution and not industries.

Dr. Fatemi declared that our salary range compared favorably with others in the state, but he asked that in the future the teaching and administrative duties be separated financially on a faculty member's contract. He said he did not know of any teaching faculty member who earned \$25,000 unless he was an administrator or department head. President Guzzetta replied that the administration recognized this problem and that Dr. Leathers is already planning such a distinction which would be much fairer and also show reward for additional responsibility.

The President then referred to a recently circulated invitation to the faculty to participate in the Student Success Service, which advocated their writing student papers for a price and which invited faculty members to refer students to them. Some faculty have been incensed and he wanted to emphasize that the University doesn't subscribe to this kind of enterprise which he considered horrible.

Mr. Moldea asked the President about the petition which had been presented to him by the Residence Hall Association students. Dr. Guzzetta explained to Council that some 1,100 names were on these petitions asking that classes not begin after the holidays until January 3 because of the necessity of having to travel on New Year's Day to be on campus for the scheduled opening of the winter quarter on January 2, 1973. He said that it had been referred through the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee to its Calendar subcommittee where it is now. This subcommittee considers and makes recommendations on the all-University calendar.

Mr. Moldea urged the President to give his personal comments on the problem, although the President was already late in leaving for his next meeting. Dr. Guzzetta admitted that it was a unique situation. He recalled that it was not a problem last year when the holiday fell over the weekend. He had no strong feeling one way or the other although he could understand the student's concerns. He also recognized the requirement of providing a stipulated number of teaching days in each quarter. The students, of course, had made a legitimate request for the Calendar Committee to consider and he hoped that it would be resolved in that manner.

In proceeding to the reports of the standing committees, Vice President Leathers called upon Dr. Rich who reported for the Procedural Committee in Dr. Gerlach's absence. Dr. Rich said that when they had met on November 8 to prepare the agenda for today's meeting they discussed how best to handle reports of committees without stifling debate. The Procedural Committee therefore submitted three requests now to Council: 1) all committee reports should be in writing (some already



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

are); 2) if a committee cannot achieve a general agreement, a minority report should be presented to Council with the majority report; and 3) if the report is in the form of a recommendation, then it should be circulated with the agenda (but if insufficient time, then the committee should provide at least 64 copies for Council).

Dr. Leathers asked for a show of hands to approve this report. It stood approved.

Regarding the meeting of the Executive Committee, Dr. Poston said that most of their deliberations had been touched upon in the President's remarks today. However, the report of the Ad Hoc Committee to Study Counseling and Advising was considered at a special meeting and three items were referred to the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee through the President.

On behalf of the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee, Dr. Poston referred to the recommendations just circulated to Council. Since there were no objections to the regular course changes on this report, they would stand approved as a matter of record and would be effective September 1973. Two items required special consideration. The first pertained to a change in the Entrance Requirements for The University of Akron to bring them in line with the state policy and practice in Ohio high schools. He moved the adoption of the proposed changes. The motion was seconded.

Dr. Hayes objected to the statement that one criterion was: "A prospective student who has been graduated from a regionally accredited Ohio secondary school and takes one of the college entrance tests is eligible to enroll." He said there is no legal requirement for the college entrance tests. It was pointed out, however, that this is needed for counseling, and if legal action is brought in any instance, that case would be resolved accordingly. The motion to adopt the proposed changes was put to a hand vote and carried.

Dr. Poston moved that the undergraduate degree program—Bachelor of Science in Engineering—be approved. The motion was seconded and carried.

All of these changes above approved are detailed elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle.

The third item concerned the date of the June 1973 Commencement. The Academic Policies Committee presented the motion that the Commencement be held June 10, 1973 at Blossom Center without an official list of degree recipients or confirmed diplomas. The motion was seconded.

Also from the Academic Policies and Curriculum Committee Dr. Poston presented three additional items. The first was a request to change the 1973 date of Founders Day from January 17 to January 16, as the President had earlier indicated. With the concurrence of the Calendar Committee, Dr. Poston moved that this change be approved. The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Poston then moved that the list of candidates for degrees at the December 1972 Commencement, now before the Council, be approved pending the satisfactory completion of all requirements and approval by the respective faculties, and the Board of Trustees. The motion was seconded and carried.

Then followed a discussion of the various aspects of the situation, a review of the policy which was outlined in the April 1972 AU Chronicle regarding six days of exams and four days for proper processing of grades by faculty and Registrar, which if followed on the present schedule would obviate a complete Commencement on June 10. Blossom Center is unavailable after June 10 for next year.

Mr. Moldea offered the substitute motion that examinations begin on Thursday, May 31, (rather than June 4) and extend to Wednesday, June 6, (rather than June 8) so that Commencement could be held with official diplomas on June 10 at Blossom Center, with eligible graduating seniors participating. The motion was seconded by Dr. Fatemi.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

Included in the discussion were suggestions that the quarter be cut by two days, but it was pointed out by Dr. Jackson that this could eliminate needed laboratory time. Possibly smaller classes with seniors could be scheduled for exams on Saturday, but few classes are for seniors only. It was also suggested that the spring quarter begin earlier. Mr. Moldea inquired whether the final exam period was six days or five days; if five days, when had it been changed from six? The inclusion of Saturday, it developed, makes it six days.

Vice President Leathers commended the Council on this broad and beneficial discussion and thought that a general review of the calendar should be carried out. He cited the time needed for determining those graduating with honors and the high standards which are traditional at this institution.

Dean Lively directed Council's attention to the fact that Mr. Moldea's resolution did not include graduate students, only seniors. He said that the quarter system does not allow enough time to cover a subject adequately anyway; and to consider eliminating two days was inexcusable. The proposal to have six days of exams and no official diplomas at the Commencement would cause real problems for the Graduate School since the printed Commencement programs are used as the official list in the interim period before all the transcripts can be prepared for entrance to graduate work in the summer. He described the complicating consequences of this eventuality. He felt that the action last spring in adopting the present regulation as to exams and graduation timing did not please anyone but it was the best solution. He advocated serious consideration of upholding this policy.

Dr. Lestingi supported Dr. Lively and the April 1972 University Council legislation. He said this new rule helps the students and spreads out the exams over a full one week period. He noted that before Blossom Center existed, the University had successful ceremonies on campus. Memorial Hall is still reasonably satisfactory, and there is also the Rubber Bowl. As a compromise he would support the original motion of Dr. Poston.

Dr. Merrix said no blame could be placed on students for the quarter arrangements or on the calendar. He supported Mr. Moldea's motion. He and Dr. Hart suggested that six days of exams could include Sunday.

Dr. Poston moved to refer "the matter" back to the Academic Policies Committee and its Calendar subcommittee, including today's comments for consideration, so that the matter could be reported back at the December meeting of Council. The motion was seconded. It was suggested that the Committee also consider a different starting date for the 1973 spring quarter. The motion carried.

(Note: The School of Law course changes submitted by Dean Samad, dated October 12, 1972 and effective beginning Summer Sessions 1973, are being circulated as an attachment to these minutes.)

Dean Petry said the Athletics Committee had no report.

Speaking for the Campus Facilities Planning Committee, Dr. Crabtree reported that they were holding monthly meetings and working on a statement of procedures for naming campus buildings. He moved the acceptance of the report, which was seconded and carried. (Note: The written report of this Committee is being circulated with these minutes.)



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

Dr. Fatemi asked about the function of the Athletic Committee which was outlined and circulated to the Council. Dean Petry said that it was the most recent statement and was now for information purposes only; it will be considered by Council at a later time.

Dr. Jackson suggested that the newly revised statements of the functions of all the committees be circulated for the next meeting of Council. He said that the Committee on Faculty Well-Being, Rights and Responsibilities meets weekly and has currently discussed the nonreappointment of untenured faculty. President Guzzetta and Vice President Leathers had met with the committee, and Dr. Guzzetta had summarized the policies of the Trustees. The committee has discussed procedures and also recommended some changes from the May 1972 statement adopted by Council. He said that the committee will ask comments and consideration from Council and faculty and hopes to report back to Council at a later date. He announced that the next meeting would be on Wednesday, November 29, at 2:15 p.m. in Buckeye B; this committee regularly meets each Wednesday at the same time and place and invites guests to attend and speak on any appropriate topic regardless of the agenda for the day. Turning to another matter, Dr. Jackson noted that Council last year urged that departmental guidelines on tenure, promotion and reappointment policies and procedures should be initiated, prepared and approved by each departmental faculty. Many departments or divisions have completed such guidelines already; those that haven't should move promptly to do so. Various model statements are available to interested faculty members from Dr. Jackson, on request.

Members of this committee have met with Vice President Duff and representatives of the University's insurance company regarding problems in the processing of claims and the handling of benefits changes. Dr. Leathers asked Council to raise their hands in acceptance of the report. It was accepted.

On behalf of the Library and Learning Resources Committee, Dr. Lively, the chairman, reported that the Committee had met, establishing three subcommittees. One submitted recommendations to the whole committee, and two actions were passed for referral to Council: 1) following discussion of a possible motto for the new Library's entrance, no action was taken; 2) the following name was recommended for the new Archives: "Bierce Center for Archival Services" (this was a specific action and recommendation); 3) that The University of Akron honor Miss Dorothy Hamlen for her long and dedicated service to the University by conferring upon her the honorary degree of Doctor of Letters either at the 1973 Founders Day or at the formal dedication of the new Library and Learning Resources building.

The Chairman asked the Council to vote separately on these proposals. It was moved and seconded that the Archives facility be recommended to the Board of Trustees for designation as the "Bierce Center for Archival Services". The motion was carried.

Before the vote was asked for on the honorary degree proposal, it was pointed out by Dr. Poston that honorary degrees can only be recommended to the Board after being first submitted to the President. Dean Barker reminded the Council that there is a committee on honorary degrees.

It was therefore moved by Dean Lively and seconded to refer to the Honorary Degree Committee the recommendation of University Council that Miss Dorothy Hamlen be given an honorary degree at Founders Day 1973 or at the dedication of the new Library and Learning Resources building. The motion was carried.

Dr. Van Fleet stated that as yet there is no agreement among the members of the Reference Committee as to its function.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

The Chairman, Dr. Lively, announced that the records of the Research Committee are now being gathered into the office of the Coordinator of Research and a study is under way. There were found to have been four over-runs last year, of some 50 percent. Three of the four people involved have returned the money, and one situation is still unresolved. The announcement has been circulated regarding submission of proposals by November 27, the deadline for the first group of awards. The committee plans to meet promptly and to announce the awards early in December. The committee also intends to formulate a set of guidelines to be given to each grantee. Dr. Leathers asked members of Council to raise their hands in favor of this report. It was accepted.

Dean Hansford, chairman of the Student Affairs Committee presented the following report:

"The initial meeting of the Student Affairs Committee of the University Council for the academic year 1972-73 was held on October 20. The first order of business was to consider statements of functions of the Student Affairs Committee as they appear in three documents: 1) a statement of the existing functions of the Student Affairs Committee which was presented to the Procedural Committee last spring by the chairman; 2) the pertinent parts of the Constitution of the Associated Student Government of Day Undergraduate Students; and 3) appropriate sections of the Draft Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities now under consideration by the University Council.

"After considerable discussion it was moved and approved that an ad hoc committee composed of a faculty member, a student, and an administrator be named to develop the proper wording in the appropriate sections of each of the three documents and to present such wording to the members of the Student Affairs Committee for their approval.

"Another item of business conducted was the placement of members of the parent committee on the Awards, Scholarships, Grants and Loans, and on the Extracurricular Activities Subcommittees, and the appointment of Mr. Robert Larson and Mrs. Kathryn Vegso as chairmen of the subcommittees respectively.

"Also it was determined that the hour of 12 o'clock noon Friday was a time that the greatest number of members of the committee could attend a business meeting. Because of that consideration and the fact that the University Council tends to meet on the third Thursday of each month, the regular meeting time for the Student Affairs Committee was set for 12 o'clock on the second Friday of the month."

The report was accepted by Council on a hand vote.

The Akron representative on the Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents, Dr. Fatemi, considers that this committee has a very direct line to the Board of Regents and to the Chancellor. He said that the committee, meeting with Mr. Coulter, has received a more optimistic financial forecast than Dr. Guzzetta reported, although different groups may be given different impressions. He understood that there would be a 10 percent increase available in the new budget for the upcoming biennium. Dr. Fatemi then read his report (which is reproduced elsewhere in this issue of the AU Chronicle).

In relaying information from the Ohio Faculty Senate, Akron's representative, Dr. Merrix, was pleased to inform Council that the University of Cincinnati has now joined the Senate, and it is hoped that Ohio State will soon follow. There will be an Ohio Faculty Senate Two Year-Four Year Conference at Bowling Green State University on December 2; interested persons should contact Dr. Merrix for further details.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

The final report of the Ad Hoc Committee to Review the General Studies Program, according to Chairman Sumner, is now being duplicated, and will be in Council's hands sometime next week. It includes detailed background as well as the ten pages of recommendations. He hopes that the members of Council will discuss it with their colleagues. Although final action is not urgent, he wishes this placed on the December agenda of Council by title, and then be listed under "Old Business" for January, to have sufficient time at that meeting for discussion. Dr. Sumner's report was accepted by hand vote.

As chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee for Revision of the Faculty Manual, Dr. Hanten presented the document which had been circulated to the Council in advance. He directed the members' attention to the fact that the report was divided into three parts: I—Changes to reflect current University practices; II—Recommended changes which have some precedence but are not current practice; and III—General recommendations, which covers issues which need additional study and clarification. The committee recommended this report be accepted and that Council take the necessary action to secure, where necessary, approval by the Board of Trustees of changes proposed herein.

Dean Lively asked for the original charge to the committee by the President.

Dr. Leathers inquired the purpose of Dr. Lively's question.

Dr. Lively said that I) could be acted upon; II) opens the door for an ad hoc committee to establish policy which has not been reviewed by many of the bodies affected; III) this is outside the province of the committee and should be deleted.

Dr. Hanten regretted that he did not have the President's original letter with him, but said the committee had made a very careful attempt to follow his mandate.

Dr. Van Fleet seconded Dr. Hanten's motion to adopt the report.

Dr. Fatemi commended the Committee for Revision of the Faculty Manual. He said if there was any criticism it was that the recommendations were too short. He reviewed the background of this effort. He said this move toward revision had originated with an AAUP committee headed by Professor Mabel Riedinger, and out of courtesy to President Guzzetta when he assumed office in 1971, the AAUP had dissolved its own committee so that Dr. Guzzetta could appoint one. It was to follow the commitment of the Board to the 1966 statement on Government of Colleges and Universities which the Board of Trustees had endorsed in principle (on February 18, 1970); the Faculty Manual should reflect that statement. This report is too short and fails to lead, he said, in reconciling the statement on Government of Colleges and Universities with the Faculty Manual. It would take too long, he felt, to send this document back to committee if any headway was expected in effecting self-government. The Council should continue to meet to resolve these differences.

Relative to the query about the President's charge to the committee, Dr. Jackson said that Dr. Guzzetta had not laid any restrictions on any changes in the Faculty Manual. Dr. Jackson stated, "That is why AAUP has kept silent."

Mr. Williams, of Evening College, asked to offer an amendment to the proposed Revision, and thereupon moved to change A. 2. a. on page 2 by having ten members (instead of nine) on the Presidential Search Committee, and to include also the President of Evening Student Council. The motion to amend was seconded.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

Dr. Jackson noted, in opposing the amendment, that there were many other interested groups which would want to have a representative added, and he considered nine a more workable group.

Mr. Rogacs said that the Evening student has a new viewpoint, is often overlooked, and represents some 35 percent of the student body. Mr. Williams thought that a faculty member might be dropped if the committee should remain at nine.

On the vote to amend, with a show of hands, 22 favored the amendment and 13 opposed, so the motion to amend carried.

Dr. Sumner, because of the lateness of the hour, endorsed Dr. Fatemi's request that the Council consider the Faculty Manual recommendations, and moved that the Procedural Committee set up a special meeting of Council to act as a Committee of the Whole for this consideration. The motion was seconded.

Although Dr. Jackson pressed for an early date, Dr. Poston pointed out the numerous curricular changes which have to be considered and acted upon before the deadline the end of December. These would require all the available time for committee meetings of Council, etc.

Dr. Leathers reminded the Council that the functions of the Council's standing committees had to be reviewed and that these would have to be incorporated in the Manual and there might be differences to be resolved.

The motion to have the Procedural Committee set up a special meeting of Council to consider the Faculty Manual revisions was put to a vote and carried.

The ad hoc committee to consider the Buchtel College proposal for a University Faculty Senate has been meeting, according to the chairman, Dr. Merrix, and since its scope is really now University-wide (according to its charge from University Council) the committee feels that a function or title change should be made to reflect its general concern for University governance. The committee has been examining several governing structures and has been meeting with central administration (President and Vice Presidents) for their contributions; it will soon meet with other specialized faculty and student groups. Dr. Leathers assured the Council and Dr. Merrix that the Procedural Committee would take under advisement the selection of a more appropriate title for the committee.

Under "Old Business", Mr. Moldea moved that a special meeting of University Council be scheduled for the purpose of considering the Statement on Student Rights and Responsibilities. The motion was seconded and carried.

Dr. Leathers presented the pending amendment, presented at the October 19, 1972 meeting of University Council, to change nomenclature in Article III, Section b. of the Bylaws of University Council, to read as follows: "Dean of the Evening College and Summer Sessions" and "Executive Dean of Continuing Education and Public Services". It was moved by Dr. Richardson, seconded and carried that this amendment be adopted.

The Chairman suggested that all Committee Functions reports be referred to the Procedural Committee so that they could be studied and dovetailed with the listings in Dr. Hanten's committee on the Faculty Manual revision. This was approved on consensus.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

Under "New Business", Mr. Jenkins asked that the Procedural Committee set a time limit for each meeting of the Council, to be no later than 5 p.m. when starting at 3 p.m., so that no voting would occur beyond that time limit.

Mr. Moldea said that about 90 percent of the dorm students had signed a petition favoring a change in the January 2, 1973 opening of the winter quarter because of transportation problems in returning from the holidays. He read the resolution which these students had signed and presented to the President:

"The Residence Hall Association, being the elected representatives of the resident students of the University of Akron, feel that we have not been adequately considered in the planning of the school calendar. Concerning the January 2 opening date set for classes winter quarter, we believe that our situation has not been taken into serious account. We base our feelings on the following reasons:

1. In order to attend classes Tuesday, January 2, we must move back into the dorms on the first of January.
2. This in turn deprives many of us from celebrating the holidays with our families and friends.
3. In addition we will necessarily have to be on the roads during the rush of holiday traffic following New Year's Eve.
4. Many who must make long trips back will be forced to be traveling while fatigued from New Year's Eve festivities or be required to give up their holiday celebration.
5. And finally, the students who will be flying back from out of state will find it difficult to obtain a seat at student rates.

"We feel that we have presented a valid case and request a statement concerning the justification for said scheduling. We propose that classes resume on January 3, 1973. If this is not possible because of late notification we recommend that allowances be made for dorm students who find it impossible to attend classes on the 2nd. Our final request would be that in the future this faction of the student populus be seriously considered before definite plans and policies are finalized."

Mr. Moldea moved as a substitute motion that (1) out-of-town students be excused from classes on January 2 if necessary, without penalty upon their grades, and (2) henceforth calendar subcommittee recommendations be channeled to the Student Affairs Committee before being brought before University Council.

Following a short discussion on parliamentary procedure involving Mr. Bee (who said that the second part of this motion was out of order, since a committee of Council could only report back to its parent body), Dr. Leathers said that the matter should be referred to the Calendar Committee.

Mr. Moldea pressed for immediate consideration. He thought that the dorm students had offered a compromise by their proposal for excuse from classes, and felt they should be given consideration now in order to make their plans. After some further procedural aspects were touched upon by Mr. Bee and others, Dean Rogers said that excuse from classes was not within the province of the Calendar Committee.



## UNIVERSITY COUNCIL MINUTES, 11/16/72, continued

The Chair then ruled that consideration be given by faculty to the dorm students and that it be recommended that such absences be excused. Mr. Moldea accepted this policy.

Dr. Fatemi thought that the Council could not tell the faculty how to count absences or how to grade.

Dr. Poston noted that the percentage of students affected was small. However, he pointed out that the amendment was loosely drawn: 70 percent of our students are from "out-of-town" in the sense that they live beyond the city limits of Akron.

Dr. Merrix suggested that the President could ask the faculty to consider this absence-without-penalty recommendation if Council suggested it.

Mr. Moldea explained that this action by the Residence Hall Association was an effort to have student input into the University Calendar. Dean Hansford noted the two issues here: (1) the question as to who could be absent without penalty, around various holidays, and (2) the matter of having the Calendar decisions involve student input.

It was Dr. Leathers' observation that, if he sensed the wish of Council, it would endorse the President's asking faculty cooperation so that out-of-town students travelling a reasonable distance be given consideration of any absence from classes on January 2. This was agreeable to Council.

Dr. Lestingi, chairman of the campus 1972 United Fund-Red Cross campaign announced that the University exceeded quota of \$35,000 by \$1,532. He, on behalf of his committee, wished to thank all for their cooperation and support. Dr. Leathers seconded his remarks.

On Dr. Poston's motion the meeting was adjourned at 5:10 p.m.

---

Any comments concerning the contents of AU Chronicle may be directed to the Office of the President or to the Executive Director of University Relations and Development.

---



CURRICULUM CHANGESUNIVERSITY ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS

## Recommended High School Courses

All applicants, in order to increase their possibilities for success are strongly urged to complete the following preparatory courses while in high school:

- 4 units of English
- 1 unit of mathematics
- 2 units of Social Studies  
(including American History)
- 1 unit of natural science
- 2 additional units from any of these

Additional subject recommendations for students planning to major in:

## Engineering, Science and Pre-Professional

- 1 1/2 units of high school algebra
- 1 unit of geometry
- 1/2 unit of trigonometry
- 1 unit of physics or chemistry

A prospective student who has been graduated from a regionally accredited Ohio secondary school and takes one of the college entrance tests is eligible to enroll. An applicant may submit scores from either the American College Testing Program (ACT) or from the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) of the College Entrance Examination Board. Out-of-state applicants who meet the above requirements may be admitted upon the basis of the quality of their secondary school work and their standing in the entrance tests.

## Change in the Entrance Requirements for The University of Akron:

Students applying for admission who have formerly attended other institutions of higher learning are eligible to transfer to the University if they present satisfactory scholastic records as judged by The University of Akron officials and if the students are eligible to reenter the institution from which they desire to transfer. Students who present fewer than 45 quarter credits or their equivalent of accredited transfer work will be required to take either the ACT or the SAT test. If it appears necessary to validate the transfer credits of students with more than 45 quarter credits, the appropriate admitting officer may require the ACT battery for these persons also.

Admission is necessarily limited by the University's capacity to provide for students' educational objectives. The University reserves the right to approve admission only to those individuals whose ability, attitude and character promise satisfactory achievement of University objectives.



## CURRICULUM CHANGES, continued

BUCHTEL COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCESDepartment of Classics

Change: 322:143-144-145 Second Year Latin. 3 credits.  
to  
322:243-244-245 Second Year Latin. 3 credits.

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERINGDepartment of Mechanical Engineering

Change: 460:600 Gas Dynamics I. 3 credits.  
to  
460:600 Gas Dynamics I. 3 credits. Prerequisite, 411/511 or permission. Derivation of basic equations for flow of a compressible fluid. Topics from one dimensional flow. Two dimensional irrotational flow. Method of small perturbations. Method of characteristics.

460:623 Applied Stress Analysis I. 3 credits.  
to  
460:623 Applied Stress Analysis I. 3 credits. Prerequisite, graduate. Continuation of Continuum Mechanics with specific applications to solid media. Development of energy theorems due to Reissner, Washizu and generalized Hamilton's principle. Solutions to static and dynamic problems are developed using complex variable, integral equations, integral transforms and potential theory.

460:629 Nonlinear Engineering Problems I. 3 credits (3-0).  
to  
460:629 Nonlinear Engineering Problems I. 3 credits (3-0). Prerequisite, graduate standing. Study of nonlinear ordinary differential equations governing various phenomena of mechanics. Analysis of phase space trajectories and singularities of autonomous systems. Development of approximate analytical solution procedures (perturbation techniques, method of weighted residuals, et cetera). Study of the response of nonautonomous systems. Stability considerations via standard techniques (Hill's equation, Routh-Hurwitz, Liapunov).

460:630 Mechanical Vibrations I. 3 credits (3-0).  
to  
460:630 Mechanical Vibrations I. 3 credits (3-0). Prerequisite, 460:431/531 or equivalent. The study of vibrations of multi-degree of freedom systems, including free and forced vibrations, damped and transient response, normal mode vibrations, and matrix iteration techniques.



## CURRICULUM CHANGES, continued

Change:	460:660	<u>Engineering Analysis I.</u> 3 credits. to
	460:660	<u>Engineering Analysis I.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 360 or permission. Applications of differential equations to engineering problems in heat transfer, stress analysis, fluid flow, dynamics, and vibrations, including the use of Fourier series, Sturm-Liouville systems, Bessel and Legendre equations, Laplace transformations, and complex variables.
	460:700	<u>Gas Dynamics II.</u> 3 credits to
	460:700	<u>Gas Dynamics II.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 600 or equivalent. Continuation of 600. Oblique shocks. Axisymmetric supersonic flow. Hypersonic and transonic flow. Hodograph methods. Unsteady flow. Selected topics in advanced Gas Dynamics.
	460:720	<u>Applied Stress Analysis II.</u> 3 credits. to
	460:720	<u>Applied Stress Analysis II.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 460:623. Continuation of <u>Applied Stress Analysis I.</u> Development of approximate solution techniques including asymptotic methods, the method of weighted residuals (Rayleigh Ritz's, Galerkin's, Trefftz's, collocation, least square, etc.), the method of lines and finite elements.
	460:729	<u>Nonlinear Engineering Problems II.</u> 3 credits (3-0). to
	460:729	<u>Nonlinear Engineering Problems II.</u> 3 credits (3-0). Prerequisite, 460:629. A continuation of 460:629. Study of nonlinear partial differential equations governing various phenomena of mechanics. Development of a variety of solution techniques, method of weighted residuals, method of lines, perturbation techniques, et cetera.
	460:730	<u>Mechanical Vibrations II.</u> 3 credits. to
	460:730	<u>Mechanical Vibrations II.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 630. Continuation of 630. Advanced topics concerning vibrations of damped and undamped systems. Matrix methods in vibration analysis. Approximate and numerical methods.
	460:760	<u>Engineering Analysis II.</u> 3 credits. to
	460:760	<u>Engineering Analysis II.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 660 or permission. Analysis of engineering problems to include matrices, linear transformations, potential theory, conformal mapping, and numerical analysis.
	460:763	<u>Advanced Methods in Engineering Analysis.</u> 3 credits. to
	460:763	<u>Advanced Methods in Engineering Analysis.</u> 3 credits. Prerequisite, 760 or permission. Applications of numerical methods, variational methods, integral methods, and similarity transforms to complex engineering problems in heat transfer, fluid mechanics, and vibration.



## CURRICULUM CHANGES, continued

Undergraduate Degree Program—Bachelor of Science in EngineeringProgram Description:

## I. Entrance Requirements

To qualify for the BSE program, a student must satisfy the following requirements:

- (1) Must have been transferred to the College of Engineering.
- (2) Have at least a 2.0 cumulative average in Engineering as well as in overall course work.
- (3) Shall submit educational goals in writing to the Dean or his designee for his approval.

## II. Course Requirements

Upon acceptance of a student into the BSE program, the Dean will appoint an adviser from the College faculty whose field is closely related to the student's announced career or educational goals. Together they will work out a program of study listing those courses which the student must take, subject to the following requirements:

	<u>Credit Hours</u>
(1) Core Requirements	88
(2) Program options, including at least 60 credit hours of Engineering	100
(3) Free Electives	<u>16</u>
	204

In order that BSE students be sufficiently grounded in the basics, the core, common to all programs, will include the following:

<u>Subjects</u>	<u>Credit Hours</u>
(1) Mathematics (345:231-236)	24
(2) Physics (365:201-203)	12
(3) Chemistry (315:132, 133)	8
(4) General Studies	<u>44</u>
Total	88

Depending on the student's personal career objectives, the program options may consist of non-engineering courses in the Natural Sciences, Social Sciences, Business Administration, or contain ramp courses to permit graduate study or professional school work in Biomedical, Business Administration, Law, and others. The total number of credit hours in the BSE program is the same as that in the Chemical, Electrical and Mechanical Engineering curricula, namely, 204.



## CURRICULUM CHANGES, continued

## III. General Degree Requirements

In order to fulfill the BSE degree requirements, the candidate must:

- (1) Earn a minimum 2.0 quality point ratio in Engineering as well as overall course work.
- (2) Complete 45 credits after acceptance into the BSE program.
- (3) Complete all requirements listed under "Course Requirements" (above).
- (4) Meet all standard requirements of the College of Engineering.

Item (2) is included to prevent a very late transfer from one of the existing programs of study. If the student writes to the Dean specifying his educational goals which differ in some way from those of the department, he should be willing to take 45 credit hours under this program before receipt of the degree.

## IV. Academic and Administrative Control

The Office of the Dean will accept all record-keeping tasks for BSE students, and the Dean will exercise those decision-making powers which are made for their counterparts by Department Heads. Curricular matters will be handled jointly by the Dean and the appointed adviser. Consistent with the present College procedure, and changes in entrance requirements, credit-hour distribution or degree requirements must receive the approval of the majority of the Engineering College faculty upon motion at a regular meeting of the faculty. Therefore, the academic and administrative control over the BSE program and its degree candidates will be in accord with present practice.

## V. Special Information

The optional Co-op plan will be available to BSE students on the same basis as with students in the traditional departments. However, the employment must be with approved employers screened by the office of the Co-op Coordinator. It is also of importance to have students assigned to an employer in pairs in order that there is one student on the job at all times. A student's announced educational goals may be unusual enough that it is not possible to find an alternate. Every effort will be made, however, to bring interested students into the full benefits of the Cooperative Program of Engineering education.



## CURRICULUM CHANGES, continued

SCHOOL OF LAW

The following course changes in the School of Law (all code 920) as noted in the minutes of the University Council meeting of November 16, 1972 are effective Summer Sessions 1973:

- Add:        920:649        Law of Consumer Credit. 3 credits. Prerequisite, 920:631 Commercial Transactions: Negotiable Instruments. Recommended Prerequisite, 920:632 Commercial Transactions: Sales. Study of consumer sale and consumer credit transactions and their regulation, with special attention given to the Consumer Credit Protection Act of 1968, the proposed Uniform Consumer Credit Act provisions providing consumer rehabilitative relief, and administrative approaches dealing with problem of individual consumers and classes of consumers. (Completion of 920:632 Commercial Transactions: Sales recommended.)
- 920:650        Seminar in Product Liability. 3 credits. Prerequisite, 920:618 Torts II. Recommended Prerequisite, 920:632 Commercial Transactions: Sales. Study of legal problems involved in harm to consumers from dangerous and defective products and the developing law dealing with injuries and remedies in specific cases. (Completion of 920:632 Commercial Transactions: Sales recommended.)
-



APPENDIX TO MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, November 16, 1972Report of Akron Representative on Faculty Advisory Committee to the Chancellor of the Ohio Board of Regents—Dr. Ali Fatemi.

At this meeting in Columbus on October 25, 1972, Acting Chancellor William Coulter handed out two documents. The first one dealt with an up-date on the Management Improvement Program being conducted by the Board of Regents. This study, as you may recall, was originated by the 109th Ohio General Assembly. Five specific areas of management improvement were specified. These were: Institutional Planning, Program Budgeting, Personnel Management, Student Registration and Class Scheduling, and Data Processing.

Subsequently, two task forces were appointed for each of these five areas. These task forces were for state-assisted universities and two-year institutions; with their composition representing these two kinds of institutions. The ten task forces are chaired by the Acting Chancellor who has assumed responsibility for the project. The final report of this project is expected by June 30, 1973. The Board of Regents intends to ask for legislation to authorize continuation of the project into additional management areas and give them clear authority to insure implementation.

The second document concerned higher education headcounts as follows:

<u>Universities</u>	<u>Fall 1971</u>	<u>Preliminary Fall 1972</u>	
Akron	19,674	19,730	
Bowling Green	15,273	15,500	
Central	2,427	2,440	
Cincinnati	31,755	33,000	
Cleveland	13,630	14,700	
Kent	20,272	19,755	
Miami	13,321	13,200	
Ohio State	46,628	45,795	
Ohio	18,673	17,599	
Toledo	14,642	14,200	
Wright	11,020	11,150	
Youngstown	14,606	13,955	
Medical College of Ohio at Toledo	<u>113</u>	<u>133</u>	
	222,034	221,157	- 0.2%
<u>Community Colleges</u>	30,242	34,660	+14.6%
<u>Technical Colleges</u>	10,214	12,845	+25.8%
<u>Branches</u>	23,857	22,993	- 3.6%
<u>Academic Centers &amp; Extension</u>	4,181	2,278	
Grand Total	290,537	293,933	+ 1.0%



## APPENDIX TO MINUTES OF UNIVERSITY COUNCIL, 11/16/72, continued

The Faculty Advisory Committee has been concerned for some time about the lack of faculty input into the screening and selection process for the permanent Chancellor. We discussed this at some length in the informal morning session and asked Mr. Coulter about it in the afternoon. He said he would be happy to forward a request to Mr. Doolittle, chairman of the Search Committee, and thought the chances for Faculty Advisory Committee involvement rather good. In this connection, we also asked about the possibility of the Faculty Advisory Committee meeting at least once during the year with the Board of Regents. ACC will also forward our request to the chairman of the Board of Regents.

Report of Akron Representative on Ohio Faculty Senate—Dr. R. Paul MerrixGeneral:

The Ohio Faculty Senate has added one more state-assisted institution to its ranks—the University of Cincinnati, which officially joined the OFS on November 9, 1972. In his letter to Dr. Michael Moore, Chairman of the Ohio Faculty Senate, Dr. Alvin Strauss, Secretary of the University Faculty, expressed the hope that Cincinnati's entry would result in a stronger voice for higher education in Ohio and more persuasive power for the Ohio faculties in our quest for a strong voice in Ohio higher education decision processes.

With the entry of Cincinnati there remain only two other major state-supported institutions not yet in the OFS—Ohio State University and Wright State University. Currently, Ohio State is considering membership and is expected to act in the near future.

Meetings:

The OFS has scheduled two regional conferences on two-year/four-year articulation for the winter quarter. One will be held at Bowling Green State University on December 2-3 and the other is tentatively scheduled for the University of Cincinnati on February 10-11. The theme of the BGSU Conference will be "Improving College Teaching: What's In It for the Professor" and the main speaker will be Dr. Joseph Noonan, formerly of Findlay College.

Representatives of the Board of Regents will be attending both meetings and Dr. Max Lerner from the office of the Chancellor will address the BGSU meeting.

Report of University Council Standing CommitteeCampus Facilities Planning Committee—Dr. Walden B. Crabtree, Chairman

The Campus Facilities Planning Committee met on November 15 and began work on a statement of Procedures for naming of buildings at The University of Akron. A subcommittee was established and was instructed to deliver to the Committee at its next meeting such a statement for the consideration of the Committee.

The Committee also agreed to react to a plan, to be submitted by the University Planning Committee, relative to the type and placement of signs directing visitors to the campus and to facilities and buildings on the campus.

---