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“Robert Cumming Schenck:
Ohio’s Bitter, Fearless Fighter”

Devin C. Capece
“In that scholar’s craft, the captain’s skill, / In thee conjoined, work fitting

triumphs still”¹

Lawyer, statesman, soldier, the Ohioan Robert Cumming Schenck is a relative historical obscurity.² In his early life, he garnered little praise as a lawyer.³ Later, although he rose to become major general of volunteers during the Civil War, Schenck’s greatest commendation was that he was slightly less inept than the other much-maligned “political generals.”⁴ Schenck’s greatest legacy could be, perhaps, his time in the United States Congress. But even that ended on a scandalous note, forever marring whatever historical fame he might have had. If Schenck is mentioned at all, it is for the quaint historical anecdote of having been the person who introduced the game of poker to the British.

The criticism of the “breezy poker player from Ohio,” as Schenck was embarrassingly referred to later in life, is not entirely just.⁵ The shadow of Schenck’s scandalous last years as Minister to England has obscured an otherwise respectable

---

¹ HOWARD GLYNDON, IDYLS OF BATTLE 36 (1864). The author, whose real name was Laura Redden, wrote in the preface to her book that she “owe[d] [her] most cordial and grateful acknowledgements for friendly encouragement and active participation” to Robert Schenck, amongst others. Id. at 1.
³ Although Robert Schenck did try at least one case before the Ohio Supreme Court: Thayer v. King, 15 Ohio 242 (1846).
⁴ Day, supra note 2, at i (“The Ohioan’s military career commenced in a most disastrous fashion . . . [H]e never even remotely achieved the first rank among combat commanders in the Civil War.”).
⁵ Therry, supra note 2, at 507 (“Often referred to as the ‘breezy poker player from Ohio.’”).
career. Schenck served eight terms in Congress, served ably during the Civil War, and served in two important diplomatic posts.

**Schenck’s Ancestry**

“[G]o west and ‘make choice of the best lands the country affords.’”

Schenck’s name derives from the ancient office of “cup-bearer.” The cup-bearer had the unenviable task of drinking the king’s wine before handing the wine to the king himself in order “to guard against the danger of [the king] being poisoned.” Schenck’s ancestry traces directly to one Colve de Witte, Baron van Toutenburg of the ninth century. His ancestry in America begins with Roelof Martense Schenck, born in Holland in 1619, who immigrated to New Amsterdam in 1650. While this is interesting, Robert Schenck himself “never knew nor, it seems, . . . very much care[d]” about his ancestry.

Robert’s father, William Cortenus Schenck, was born on January 11, 1773 in New Jersey. William lived with his father for a time and then with his uncle, General John...

---

8 Id. (“[H]istorians have used the Emma mine scandal to obviate and overshadow a long and dedicated career.”).
7 Day, supra note 2, at 3.
8 Therry, supra note 2, at 1.
9 ALEXANDER DU BOIS SCHENCK, THE REV. WILLIAM SCHENCK, HIS ANCESTRY AND HIS DESCENDANTS 13 (1883).
10 Id. at 14.
11 Id. at 19-20 (“[A]nd in the very noonday of that history, during the middle ages, appears the mail-clad knight, whose good sword had won and maintained for him his estates, and from whom sprang the ancient and noble family of the Schencks, Barons van Toutenburg, in the person Colve de Witte, Baron van Toutenburg.”).
12 Id. at 24 (“The probable cause of his coming to this country [America] was the misfortune which overtook his ancestors and family during the Netherlands wars of the sixteenth century and the action taken by the civil courts respecting ancestral estates.”).
13 Therry, supra note 2, at 1. The author fails to explain on what basis he asserts that Schenck did not “very much care.”
14 ALEXANDER DU BOIS SCHENCK, supra note 9, at 56.
Cumming.\textsuperscript{15} It was this uncle that urged the young William to “go west” at the age of nineteen, undoubtedly to further his uncle’s interests in the area.\textsuperscript{16} William found work as a surveyor for a group of land promoters from New Jersey.\textsuperscript{17} He later acquired his own interests, purchasing land around the Miami River and helping to establish Franklin, Ohio.\textsuperscript{18}

Later in life, William was elected to the legislature of the Northwest Territory.\textsuperscript{19} He also was named as one of the trustees of Miami University.\textsuperscript{20} William fought in the War in 1812, leading a brigade of Ohio militiamen.\textsuperscript{21} Unfortunately, William died abruptly in Columbus in 1821, while he was debating the construction of a canal from Lake Erie to the Ohio River.\textsuperscript{22}

\textbf{Before Politics}

\textsuperscript{15} \textit{Id.} at 56.
\textsuperscript{16} \textit{Id.} at 56-57 ("Mr. Schenck, no doubt, first went to the Ohio country in some way connected with the interests of his uncle, General Cumming."); General Cumming was a “far-sighted man, recognizing that the West would go to the strong.” Fred Joyner, \textit{William Cortenus Schenck, Pioneer and Statesman of Ohio}, 47 \textsc{Ohio History} 363, 364 (1938).
\textsuperscript{17} \textsc{Alexander Du Bois Schenck, supra} note 9, at 58. William Schenck became “one of the most competent surveyors in the West.” \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{18} \textit{Id.} at 58. William Schenck later made his permanent home in Franklin. Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 3.
\textsuperscript{19} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{20} \textit{Id.} at 4.
\textsuperscript{21} \textsc{Alexander Du Bois Schenck, supra} note 9, at 62. The author writes that “Owing to the confused and imperfect condition of the records . . ., it has thus far been impossible to determine just what services General Schenck performed with the army during this war, or what rank he held.” \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{22} Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 5. William Schenck was one of three commissioners that the State legislature appointed to investigate the construction of the canal between Lake Erie and the Ohio River. Joyner, \textit{supra} note 16, at 371. Congress refused to appropriate money for the canal, but William Schenck was able to convince the State legislature to come up with the necessary funds. \textit{Id.} This was his “last and most important service to the State of Ohio and the nation.” \textit{Id.} William Schenck died from “swamp fever” before seeing the canal finished. \textit{Id.}
Schenck was only twelve years old at the time of his father’s death.23 Schenck’s family sent him to live with General James Findlay, a close friend of his father’s.24 Three years later, at the age of fifteen, Schenck began his studies at Miami College in Oxford, Ohio.25 He graduated with a bachelor of arts in 1827, having pursued a classical curriculum.26 Schenck continued his studies as a graduate student, “academic life apparently appeal[ing] to him,” while tutoring in French and Latin.27 Three years later, Schenck received his master’s degree.28 At twenty-one, he gave an address titled the “Prevalence of Intemperance” admonishing the “demon rum.”29 Schenck, however, apparently never heeded his own advice.30

During the summer of 1830, Schenck began working at the law office of Thomas Corwin in Lebanon, Ohio.31 At this time, Corwin’s political career was just beginning “in earnest.”32 He was elected to Congress as a National Republican and would be a consistent supporter of “a national bank, protective tariffs, and federal aid for

23 Robert Schenck was born on October 4, 1809. Fred Joyner, Robert Cumming Schenck, 58 OHIO HISTORY 286, 286 (1949). Robert also had a brother, James F. Schenck, who would go on to become a Rear Admiral in the United States Navy. FRANCIS DRAKE, DICTIONARY OF AMERICAN BIOGRAPHY 803 (1879).
25 Day, supra note 2, at 4. Robert’s family’s finances were “stable enough” such that they could afford to send him to university. Id.
26 Id. at 4. Robert spent his time debating such fascinating questions as “Which is more useful to man, a study of history or the dead languages; and Would turnpikes be more beneficial than canals.” Joyner, supra note 23, at 287. Robert graduated with “high honors.” Day, supra note 2, at 4. There were nine graduates in Robert’s class. Therry, supra note 2, at 7.
27 Id. at 7-8.
28 Day, supra note 2, at 4.
29 Therry, supra note 2, at 8. At the venerable age of twenty, Robert “foresaw many of the evils of alcohol that later generations were to struggle with none too successfully.” Joyner, supra note 23, at 288.
30 Therry, supra note 2, at 8.
31 Day, supra note 2, at 4.
improvements” during his four terms in the House. Corwin would prove to be a constant source of encouragement and support for Schenck’s political career.

Schenck read law at Corwin’s office for the rest of 1830 and was admitted to the Ohio Bar in January of 1831. Flush with Corwin’s influential support, Schenck sought work with Joseph Crane, a member of Congress, in Dayton. Impressed by Corwin’s letter of introduction which “must have been extremely flattering to young Schenck,” Crane offered Schenck to join his law firm.

The practice of law, at this time, meant “traveling to different counties on horseback or by stagecoach, with attendant hardships and little financial reward.” Schenck would make circuits of the ten Southern counties of Ohio, working on cases “most frequently . . . involving either assault or hog stealing.” While these assault and hog stealing cases surely piqued the intellectual curiosity of Schenck, they served a more important purpose: they engendered Schenck with some degree of popularity within his circuit. As one historian wrote, Schenck’s “quick wit and pungent humor must surely have enlivened for his rural ‘courtroom’ audiences many an otherwise dull affair.”

---

33 Blue, supra note 32.
34 Day, supra note 2, at 4.
35 Therry, supra note 2, at 8.
36 Id.
38 Id.
39 Day, supra note 2, at 4-5. Unfortunately, the author does not indicate the source for his assertion that Robert worked on “assault” and “hog stealing” cases. Another source repeats the same phrase, stating that Schenck “dealt with assault, boundary disputes, and the theft of hogs and horses.” JOHN MILLETT, MEN OF “OLD MIAMI” 30 (1959). Given Joseph Crane’s obligations in Washington owing to his position as a Congressman, much of the firm’s work was left with Robert. Day, supra note 2, at 4.
40 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 3-4.
41 Day, supra note 2, at 5.
In 1833, Joseph Crane and Schenck decided to end their partnership for reasons unknown.42 Schenck quickly found another partner in the person of Peter Odlin.43 “Schenck and Odlin” continued until 1844.44 It was also at this time that Schenck decided to “get a wife.”45 The pickings in Ohio were apparently slim as he decided to head East to Long Island, New York, writing that “Some 12 or 14 days hence I shall start to New York, (the object of my journey . . . being a wife).”46 On August 21 of 1834, Schenck married Rennelche Smith, the sister of his older brother James’s wife.47 Schenck’s wife died fifteen years later in 1849, leaving him with their three daughters.48 Schenck would never marry again, but his daughters were to become “his obsession, his joy in life, and his constant companions.”49

By 1838, Schenck felt suitably confident in his reputation in the area to make a bid for public office.50 He ran on the Whig ticket as a candidate for the Montgomery County representative in the state legislature.51 Schenck’s opponent unfortunately got the better of him, winning by a small margin.52 Nonetheless, the experience cemented Schenck’s political aspirations.53

42 Day, supra note 2, at 5.
43 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 5.
44 Day, supra note 2, at 5. The partners argued at least one case before the Supreme Court of Ohio: Thayer v. King, 15 Ohio 242 (1846).
45 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 5.
46 Id.
47 Day, supra note 2, at 5.
48 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 5.
49 Therry, supra note 2, at 12.
50 Day, supra note 2, at 5. By this time, Schenck was “well known in Dayton and the surrounding counties and was considered a leader in the community.” Therry, supra note 2, at 12.
51 Id.
52 Day, supra note 2, at 5.
53 Therry, supra note 2, at 13. Schenck’s “interest and zeal for politics increased.” Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 6.
In anticipation of the 1840 presidential election, the Whigs selected William Henry Harrison, the “Hero of Tippecanoe,” as their nominee.\(^{54}\) Schenck’s mentor, Thomas Corwin, was the Whig candidate for the Ohio governorship.\(^{55}\) Corwin asked Schenck to campaign for him in the election.\(^{56}\) Schenck agreed.\(^{57}\) He toured Ohio speaking on Thomas Corwin’s behalf.\(^{58}\) One contemporary described Schenck thus:

> He was at that time but thirty-one years of age, slender in form, of an extremely nervous physical organization . . . weighing not over one hundred and forty pounds; quick in movement with a sort of explosive energy in delivery, and captivating speaking talent.\(^{59}\)

Thanks to “young, energetic men, such as Schenck,” Thomas Corwin won the governorship and the Whigs took control of the Ohio House.\(^{60}\) William Henry Harrison won the presidency by a wide margin of 234 electoral votes to Martin Van Buren’s 60, thanks in large part to the financial panic of 1837.\(^{61}\)

**In the Ohio Legislature**

---

\(^{54}\) Kokkinou, *supra* note 37, at 6-7.
\(^{55}\) Therry, *supra* note 2, at 13-14.
\(^{56}\) *Id.* at 14. One historian states that William Henry Harrison’s son (who would be John Scott Harrison) had been a “close college friend” of Schenck’s. Day, *supra* note 2, at 5. However, it was William Henry Harrison’s grandson—and future president of the United States—who attended Miami University; but, he attended Miami between 1850 and 1852, some thirty years after Schenck. Allan Spetter, “Harrison, Benjamin,” AMERICAN NATIONAL BIOGRAPHY ONLINE (2000), http://www.anb.org/articles/05/05-00320.html. Schenck’s connection to William Henry Harrison likely came by way of Schenck’s close relationship with Thomas Corwin and his general affiliation with the Whigs. Joyner, *supra* note 23, at 289.
\(^{57}\) Therry, *supra* note 2, at 14.
\(^{58}\) *Id.* at 15.
\(^{60}\) Blue, *supra* note 32.
Emboldened by the successes of 1840, Schenck agreed to be the Whig’s candidate for the Ohio legislature from Montgomery County.\textsuperscript{62} This time, fortunately, good luck was on his side and he was elected for a one year term in the House.\textsuperscript{63} Columbus, neither as beautiful nor as charming as Cincinnati and without “excitements of any kind to offer,” would be Schenck’s home for the next three months.\textsuperscript{64}

Due to the temperance movement sweeping the country, coffee houses had displaced taverns as the social centers of Columbus.\textsuperscript{65} One such coffee house, the \textit{Eagle}, was especially popular with Whig politicians.\textsuperscript{66} It was at the \textit{Eagle} where Schenck “learn[ed] about the latest political schemes, informed [himself] of the latest intellectual movements, and . . . amused [himself] over the development of the latest social scandals.”\textsuperscript{67} This change “made [Schenck] smile,” a few years before drinking coffee “would have been a disgrace[;] . . . [n]ow, . . . every man tried to make it clear to others that he drank only coffee.”\textsuperscript{68}

The Whigs, for reasons “one can only surmise,” selected Schenck to be their leader in the legislature.\textsuperscript{69} Perhaps the Whigs selected Schenck because of his “proven ability as a speaker,” but a more likely reason is simply because of Schenck’s “young

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem{62} Day, \textit{supra} note 2, at 6.
\bibitem{63} Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 16.
\bibitem{64} Kokkinou, \textit{supra} note 37, at 11.
\bibitem{65} Kokkinou, \textit{supra} note 37, at 14.
\bibitem{66} \textit{Id.}
\bibitem{67} \textit{Id.}
\bibitem{68} \textit{Id.}
\bibitem{69} Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 18.
\end{thebibliography}
The Democrats selected a young man and the Whigs did not wish to be out
done.\textsuperscript{71}

The principal issue discussed during Schenck’s two years in the Ohio legislature
was the atrocious state of banking in Ohio.\textsuperscript{72} During 1841 and 1842, Ohio banks
commonly failed.\textsuperscript{73} Banks overly invested their deposits into real estate, meaning capital
was not readily available in the case of emergency.\textsuperscript{74} Banking became a polarizing issue
in Ohio politics.\textsuperscript{75} The political parties used the banking issue to gain the upper hand over
their rivals, with little consideration of the needs of Ohio or the relative merits of the
question.\textsuperscript{76} In short, the Democrats favored taking legislative control of the banks while
the Whigs opposed such reforms.\textsuperscript{77}

Schenck stood the party line, “oppos[ing] nearly every amendment and vot[ing]
against every bill concerning bank reforms.”\textsuperscript{78} Schenck stated in the House:

The gentleman of the majority seem to consider themselves
the exclusive guardians and sole judges of the interests of
the people, and the minority, as representing in the Hall, the
interest of banks, in opposition to those of the people. This
is not a question of the banks on one side, and the people
and their representatives on the other. In striking a blow on
the banks, we strike, also, at the people.\textsuperscript{79}

\textsuperscript{70} Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 15. Schenck was thirty-two years old at the time. \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{71} \textit{Id.} In fact, it was rumored that the Democrat’s leader, Caleb McNulty, was younger than the twenty-five
years required to be a representative. \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{72} \textit{Id.} at 20.
\textsuperscript{73} C. C. Huntington, \textit{A History of Banking and Currency in Ohio Before the Civil War}, \textit{27 OHIO HISTORY}
235, 403 (1915).
\textsuperscript{74} \textit{Id.} at 404.
\textsuperscript{75} \textit{Id.} at 405.
\textsuperscript{76} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{77} Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 24.
\textsuperscript{78} \textit{Id.} at 28.
\textsuperscript{79} \textit{Id.}
Much to the dismay of Schenck and the Whigs, the Ohio legislature passed a general banking law in 1842.\textsuperscript{80} The law “defined the power of banks,” and, among other things, made the bank’s president, directors, and officers “liable for any loss of capital and mismanagement.”\textsuperscript{81}

The Democrats beat the Whigs badly in the 1842 election.\textsuperscript{82} Thomas Corwin lost the governorship and the Whigs “lost in nearly every county that they hoped to carry.”\textsuperscript{83} Schenck narrowly escape the devastation.\textsuperscript{84} The Ohio State Journal wrote of Schenck’s victory:

\begin{quote}
The defeat of . . . Schenck . . . was an object which the [Democratic] party had sworn to accomplish. . . . They were willing to sacrifice all the rest of their ticket if they could but compass [Schenck’s] defeat. . . . But all their efforts—all their lies and villainy—all their slanders, . . . failed to prostrate [Schenck]—and he still stands erect, sustained and endorsed by the People of his county.\textsuperscript{85}
\end{quote}

Notwithstanding the triumphal tribute, Schenck carried his county by a narrow margin of 44 votes.\textsuperscript{86}

Schenck’s last term in the Ohio legislature was a “rather anticlimactic” end to his time in Ohio state politics.\textsuperscript{87} Money and banking proved once again to be the most pressing issues before the House.\textsuperscript{88} Schenck and the Whigs continued to oppose the

\begin{footnotes}
\item[80] Huntington, supra note 73, at 405.
\item[81] \textit{Id.} at 405. The law was something of a failure, however, as no one “cared to organize a bank under the new law” because of the “clause providing for the individual liability of stock holders.” \textit{Id.} at 406.
\item[82] Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 44.
\item[83] \textit{Id.}
\item[84] \textit{Id.}
\item[85] Therry, supra note 2, at 37.
\item[86] Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 44.
\item[87] Therry, supra note 2, at 37.
\item[88] \textit{Id.} at 38.
\end{footnotes}
Democratic attempts at banking reform. During his time in the Ohio legislature, Schenck gained the “respect of his colleagues and the hatred of his opponents.”

“The Whig candidate for Congress”

“It is with great pleasure that we bring the name of this gentleman before the people of the District as the Whig candidate for Congress,” so announced the Dayton Journal and Advertiser of Schenck’s candidacy. The Democrats, however, were none too pleased to hear that Schenck was making a go at Congress, calling him, among other names, “that coon” and Schenck the “skunk.” Schenck’s platform was a standard Whig affair: support for a “National Bank, protective tariff, and internal improvements at Federal expense.” The Democrats countered by analogizing Schenck with “[t]he aristocrats of England” who, like Schenck, favor a “great mammoth Bank ‘Regulator,’ . . . a protective tariff, [and] . . . tax[ing] the many to support the few.” Schenck handily won his election and took his seat in the 28th Congress.

It is during this first session of Congress that Schenck’s character is made bare. Daniel Webster, according to Schenck’s recollections later in life, told Schenck that his “trouble” was the he was “too modest” and had too little “self-assertion.” The Congressional Globe for the 28th Congress tells a different story. As one historian notes,

89 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 57.
90 Id.
91 Id. at 58.
92 Id.
93 Therry, supra note 2, at 46.
94 Id.
95 Id. Published in the Western Empire. Id.
96 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 60.
97 Therry, supra note 2, at 48. Schenck “began to hear the gavel rapping him out of order with regularity.” Id.
98 Id.
the *Globe* has “many references to Schenck’s audacious conduct.”\(^9\) It is obvious from the record that Schenck was in no way “modest” or lacking in “self-assertion” as Daniel Webster believed.\(^1\)

The 28\(^{th}\) Congress immediately became mired in debates over the standing rules of the House of Representatives.\(^2\) The debate centered on the so-called “gag rule,” which prevented the House from hearing any anti-slavery petitions.\(^3\) Schenck voted in favor of repealing the rule and stood behind its primary opponent John Quincy Adams.\(^4\) Schenck stated that the gag rule “was making abolitionists in his section of the country every day, and he was desirous to arrest its influence.”\(^5\) The House ultimately repealed the gag rule.\(^6\)

Schenck tried to inject a bit of humor into the tedious debate concerning House procedural rules.\(^7\) A Mr. Reding offered a resolution to amend a joint rule of Congress to provide that “no intoxicating liquors shall be exhibited or offered for sale in the Capitol or on the public grounds adjacent thereto.”\(^8\) Schenck responded with a tongue-in-cheek question of whether “the term ‘exhibiting,’ as used in the rule, meant exhibiting the effects of the intoxicating liquors.”\(^9\) The Speaker of the House responded in turn

---

\(^9\) Therry, *supra* note 2, at 48 n.2.
\(^1\) As one historian notes, “there were few who remained neutral in their relationship to the Ohio congressman.” Day, *supra* note 2, at 6.
\(^2\) Therry, *supra* note 2, at 49.
\(^3\) Kokkinou, *supra* note 37, at 61.
\(^4\) *Id.*
\(^5\) *Cong. Globe, 28\(^{th}\) Cong., 1\(^{st}\) Sess.* 317 (1844).
\(^6\) Kokkinou, *supra* note 37, at 64.
\(^7\) Therry, *supra* note 2, at 52.
\(^8\) *Cong. Globe, 28\(^{th}\) Cong., 1\(^{st}\) Sess.* 323 (1844).
\(^9\) *Id.* One historian notes that Schenck’s question elicited “loud laughter” from the chamber. Therry, *supra* note 2, at 52. Unfortunately, the record of the *Congressional Globe* fails to reflect the humor.
and told Schenck that he “must place his own interpretation upon it.” Schenck voted against the resolution.  

Schenck’s speaking debut in the house was a speech against the refund of a fine levied against Andrew Jackson in 1815. The United States District Court fined Jackson $1,000 for contempt of court for having “wrest[ed] an original document from the court, disobeying the writ of habeas corpus, and imprisoning [Judge Hall].” Schenck’s speech is significant not so much for the precise issue of Jackson’s fine, but for Schenck’s remarks concerning the role of the judiciary. Schenck proclaimed that:

If there is any thing that ought to be held sacred, it is the judiciary of the country. In that we have a grave, high, solemn power, to which, in the last resort . . . is entrusted the guardianship of life, and liberty, and reputation, and property—all that is dearest to men as members of civil society . . . [The Judiciary] is the great check, the wholesome power of restraint . . . It is the sheet-anchor, without which we should be soon all adrift.  

John Quincy Adams wrote in his diary that “Schenck of Ohio . . . made an hour speech of unrivalled eloquence . . . His manner cool, firm, unhesitating; . . . his voice clear and strong, his elocution neat and elegant, with a swelling vein of sarcastic humor.” As stirring as Schenck’s speech was, the bill passed with 158 yeas and 28

---

109 CONG. GLOBE, 28TH CONG., 1ST SESS. 323 (1844).
110 Id.
111 Id. at 230.
112 WILLIAM SUMNER, ANDREW JACKSON AS A PUBLIC MAN 46-47 (1889).
113 While commander in New Orleans in 1815, Jackson arrested a Louis Louaillier under the suspicion that he was supporting the French and a Judge Hall for having issued a writ of habeas corpus to release Louaillier. Therry, supra note 2, at 52. The following day, Jackson received notice that the war had ended and released Louaillier. Id. Judge Hall then had Jackson arrested for disregarding his previous writ of habeas corpus. Id. At the hearing, Jackson refused to respond and Judge Hall fined him a thousand dollars. Id.
114 CONG. GLOBE, 28TH CONG., 1ST SESS. 230 (1844).
115 Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 67.
nays, failing to convince the majority of Schenck’s colleagues.\textsuperscript{116} Schenck, of course, voted nay.\textsuperscript{117}

Schenck’s character is again revealed in his treatment of the “Oregon question,” which concerned the disputed boundary between Great Britain and the United States in the Oregon territory.\textsuperscript{118} The settling of a permanent boundary was necessary to avoid a war with Great Britain.\textsuperscript{119} President Polk, in his inaugural address, had stated that the United States’ title to the Oregon country was “clear and unquestionable.”\textsuperscript{120} Polk’s statement caused a “sensation in the British Parliament.”\textsuperscript{121} In his speech on the floor of the House, Schenck again exhibited his cool manner. He thought the situation best left to “negotiation for the present.”\textsuperscript{122} He said that he would “put off the evil day as long as possible; and, if come it must, he would venture to say that they were not the purest patriots who made the most clamor.”\textsuperscript{123}

Schenck won reelection in 1844 by a very slight majority of 90 votes.\textsuperscript{124} The outbreak of war with Mexico would prove to be the central issue of the 29\textsuperscript{th} Congress.\textsuperscript{125} Schenck’s proposed amendment to an appropriations bill of men and money provides a

\textsuperscript{116} \textit{Cong. Globe}, 28\textsuperscript{th} Cong., 1\textsuperscript{st} Sess. 120 (1844).
\textsuperscript{117} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{118} Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 56.
\textsuperscript{119} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{120} \textit{Id}.
\textsuperscript{121} Kokkinou, \textit{supra} note 37, at 72.
\textsuperscript{122} \textit{Cong. Globe}, 28\textsuperscript{th} Cong., 1\textsuperscript{st} Sess. 493 (1844).
\textsuperscript{123} \textit{Id}. Schenck would later convince his colleagues of the wisdom of negotiation, offering and passing a resolution stating: “That the differences existing between the government of the United States and the government of Great Britain, in relation to the Oregon territory, are still the subject of honorable negotiation, and should by that means be adjusted.” Kokkinou, \textit{supra} note 37, at 74.
\textsuperscript{124} \textit{Id}. at 68.
\textsuperscript{125} Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 66.
further insight into Schenck’s paradoxical character. He opposed the war with Mexico, believing that Polk had “usurped authority” by bringing the United States into war. Nonetheless, Schenck’s proposed amendment stated that

while Congress will not sanction or approve the forcible occupation . . . of [Mexican] territory . . . nor of any hostilities which have been carried on . . . yet to enable the President . . . to relieve and extricate the army . . . from the position in which it has become involved, and to prevent any invasion . . . upon the territory of this Union, and to protect and defend . . . this Union . . . the President is hereby authorized . . . [the] appropriations.

Schenck’s proposed amendment did not pass.

It is during the 30th Congress that the issue of slavery surged into the spotlight. It was also during the 30th Congress that Schenck outlined, as best he could during the five minutes he was given to speak, his views on the subject of slavery. Schenck first stated that he was “against the extension of slavery into any territory now free.” He then went on to explain why he held this opinion. Schenck saw slavery as “mainly a question of political power.” Schenck did not believe that those opposed to slavery
truly had any sympathy for slaves.\textsuperscript{135} Schenck did say that he hoped that he had his “fair share of charity, of benevolence, of universal philanthropy.”\textsuperscript{136} He trusted that he was “ready as any man to sympathize with those who are oppressed or injured, or deprived of their natural rights in any way, be they of what race or color they may.”\textsuperscript{137} But Schenck did not believe Congress was there “to legislate upon questions of benevolence and charity, or to compare notes in order to see whose philanthropy is broadest or most genuine.”\textsuperscript{138}

Forget about sympathy and morals, Schenck said, he could not agree to slavery “in justice to the rights of the whites, in justice to ourselves.”\textsuperscript{139} He did not deny that there is such a thing as “property in slaves and slave labor.”\textsuperscript{140} Schenck did not say whether that was right, or whether it should have been.\textsuperscript{141} But it was a fact.\textsuperscript{142} A fact not established by the Constitution, however.\textsuperscript{143} Slavery was a local institution, existing by municipal law.\textsuperscript{144} If the South was happy with slavery, “let them be so.”\textsuperscript{145} Schenck declared that slavery “paralyzes prosperity and retards the advancement of the land and the community.”\textsuperscript{146} The South was part of the United States, and Schenck, as a representative of those United States, wanted the South “to prosper and grow.”\textsuperscript{147}
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The fundamental problem with slavery was the “advantage in Federal representation which it gives.”\textsuperscript{148} As Schenck stated: “a man at the South with his hundred slaves counts sixty-one in the weight of influence and power upon this floor, while the man at the North with his hundred farms counts but one.”\textsuperscript{149} That was a “sufficient reason” to not want more slave territory in Schenck’s view.\textsuperscript{150} Schenck wanted the prohibition of slavery “extended over all the territory owned or acquired by us.”\textsuperscript{151} Better yet, Schenck would have preferred that there never have been and that there never be “territorial conquest and extension.”\textsuperscript{152} If extension must be, Schenck was “determined that with my vote there never shall come with it anything but free and equal institutions.”\textsuperscript{153}

Schenck was not an abolitionist, as he freely admitted.\textsuperscript{154} He would not interfere with slavery “where it exists by local law.”\textsuperscript{155} He simply wanted no more of it.\textsuperscript{156} He would “curse no more land” or “submit to any more inequality of rights.”\textsuperscript{157} Schenck concluded that “For these reasons, then, and for more, if I could state them, I am utterly and forever opposed to your carrying slavery into any Territory where my vote can prevent it.”\textsuperscript{158}
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On November 5, 1849, Schenck’s wife, Rennelche, died.\(^{159}\) Due to his wife’s death and his own declining health, Schenck decided to retire from politics.\(^{160}\) His last statements in Congress were his most foreboding. Ostensibly, Schenck’s comments came in reaction to a dispute that arose over the selection of a Speaker for the House.\(^{161}\)

Schenck began his speech by stating that Congress had “been accustomed here for a long time past, and more especially of late, . . . to hear the North daily lectured; the North taunted with base subserviency.”\(^{162}\) He continued:

> There are gentlemen equally intolerant and fanatical at either end of this Union, who demand that all legislation upon particular subjects shall be of a sectional character, and would proscribe those who differ from them. . . . If we of the North will not vote for a southern man merely because he is a southern man, and men of the South will not vote for a northern man merely because he is a northern man, and if that principle is to be carried out from here into all our national politics and elections, what must be the result? Disunion. . . . Let me stand firmly in defence of the Union, come the assault from whatever direction it may.\(^{163}\)

With these words, Schenck’s political career, for the moment, came to an end.\(^{164}\)

“The man” to “be appointed Minister to Brazil”\(^{165}\)

---

\(^{159}\) Therry, supra note 2, at 102.

\(^{160}\) Id. at 103.

\(^{161}\) Id. The Whigs nominated Robert Winthrop to be Speaker. Id. The freesoilers, however, thought Winthrop “wholly unreliable in facing and handling the issue of slavery.” Id. The Democrats nominated Howell Cobb of Georgia. Id. The freesoilers “held the balance” and, “find[ing] very little difference between Winthrop and Cobb” decided to not vote for either of them. Therry, supra note 2, at 102. Ultimately, the Democrat Cobb was elected Speaker. Id. This angered the freesoilers who accused the Whigs of purposefully electing Cobb because they were “no longer Whigs.” Id. It was at this moment that Schenck, “with some reluctance,” stood up to make his point. Id.


\(^{164}\) Kokkinou, supra note 37, at 107.

In 1851, Daniel Webster, the Secretary of State, persuaded Schenck to accept his appointment as “Envoy extraordinary and Minister plenipotentiary to Brazil.”\(^\text{166}\) Brazil at this time was governed by the Emperor Don Pedro II.\(^\text{167}\) It was also the only other nation in the Western hemisphere to continue to legally recognize the institution of slavery.\(^\text{168}\)

Webster provided Schenck with a very specific list of assignments.\(^\text{169}\) Webster began by stating that “relations between the United States and Brazil are of the most friendly character.”\(^\text{170}\) Presumably, Webster would prefer that they stay that way.\(^\text{171}\) Webster then listed what Schenck was to endeavor to do.\(^\text{172}\) First, he would hear the complaints of American citizens in Brazil and forward them to the Brazilian government if he thought it proper.\(^\text{173}\) Second, Schenck was to make every attempt at negotiating a trade treaty with Brazil and to try to come to an arrangement allowing United States ships to freely navigate the Amazon River.\(^\text{174}\) By treaty, Brazil was bound to “close all existing slave markets at once and forever.”\(^\text{175}\) Webster informed Schenck that Brazil had already been making attempts at suppressing the African slave trade and that Schenck would likely not have to take any steps towards convincing Brazil to live up to its treaty obligations.\(^\text{176}\)
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Schenck was less than thrilled with his new assignment. Shortly after arriving, Schenck wrote to his children that he was a “voluntary exile from [his] country and home.”\textsuperscript{177} He wrote that “No minister from any country can stay here for very long. After having ‘seen the elephant’ family, one does not care to stay a very great while longer in the menagerie.”\textsuperscript{178}

The state of slavery in Brazil also impacted Schenck. He wrote that “People at home, even living in our slave states, have but faint conception of what negro slavery is in a country like this, where the shadow spreads dark and black over all the land.”\textsuperscript{179} And yet there was another side to Brazilian society that struck Schenck as being quite different from the United States.\textsuperscript{180} He noted that “as between the free black and white there is no distinction made on account of color; at least all places and positions are open to them if they can attain them.”\textsuperscript{181}

Much to Schenck’s joy, his term as Minister to Brazil came to a close in 1854.\textsuperscript{182}

\textbf{An interlude to politics}

Schenck was “nostalgic” for his home and for his daughters, but he did not have any certain plans for the future.\textsuperscript{183} In 1851, Schenck had left a political climate in which the Whig party was still intact. Schenck faced a decidedly different nation upon his return
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in 1854. The Whig party was no more. The sectionalism Schenck had warned of in 1849 had come to pass and tore the Whigs apart. Some found refuge with the Democrats; others joined the new Republican Party. Schenck personally felt there was no political future for an “old Whig” such as himself.

Schenck, therefore, turned to other pursuits. First, he formed a partnership with his old friend Thomas Corwin and with another individual named William Webb for the practice of law. Schenck also served as President of the Fort Wayne Railroad Company, which was engaged in constructing a railroad stretching from Fort Wayne, Indiana, over the Mississippi River, and on into Iowa.

For six years Schenck spent his time hustling between Dayton, New York, Boston, and Chicago trying to raise money to build the line. Schenck’s endeavors were not terribly successful. He wrote to his daughters that “Money is but a low and poor object of desire in itself . . . I want you to know . . . that my present disappointment of some of my expectations in money matters here makes it necessary for us all to be more economical in the coming year.” Schenck’s daughters were not always ready to make the demanded adjustments. Schenck wrote to his daughter Sally complaining that “It

---
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would please me very much ever to see a letter from you which did not demand something!” telling her that he had a one dollar bill which he would enclose to her to do with as she pleased.\(^{194}\)

Schenck, however, was not able to stay away from politics for very long. He spoke before a Republican rally in 1859 declaring that all “liberty-loving men of this country . . . cannot do better than nominate . . . Abraham Lincoln” for the presidency.\(^{195}\) Schenck also formally announced his conversion from Whig to Republican.\(^{196}\) Lincoln learned of Schenck’s endorsement, further strengthening the already friendly relationship between them.\(^{197}\) Schenck would continue to campaign for Lincoln and help secure him the election.\(^{198}\) On Tuesday, November 6, 1860, the American people elected Lincoln as the sixteenth president of the United States.\(^{199}\) Schenck’s “political future how rested in the hands of the President-elect.”\(^{200}\)

“Come, list the tale I tell, / How the cause of the Union triumphed, / And the crest of Treason fell.”\(^{201}\)

When Schenck heard of the Confederate attack on Fort Sumter, Schenck quickly drafted a letter to President Lincoln telling him that “If I can serve you in any capacity
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whatever in helping to sustain the Government, the Union, and our flag, I beg that you will call upon me.”202 At first, Schenck received no reply.203

Undaunted, Schenck traveled to Washington and wrote to Lincoln informing him that he would be staying “in the Capital as long as it was likely that his services might be needed in the field.”204 Within a few days, Lincoln summoned Schenck to his office.205

Schenck recalled the conversation:

Lincoln sent for me and asked, “Schenck, what can you do to help me?”
I said, “Anything you want me to do. I am anxious to help you.”
He asked, “Can you fight?”
I answered, “I would try.”
Lincoln said, “Well, I want to make a general out of you.”
I replied, “I don’t know about that Mr. President, you could appoint me as general but I might not prove to be one.”
Then he did so and I went to war.206

Schenck, a lawyer, politician, and businessman, with no military experience whatsoever, thus became a brigadier general in the Union army. Making generals of politicians was a common occurrence during the Civil War.207 Nearly half of the active duty army officers had left with the Confederacy.208 There simply were not enough officers to conduct the war.209 As such, the President appointed political leaders, such as Schenck, as generals both to bolster the officer corp and in order to unite the various
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political factions behind the war effort.\textsuperscript{210} Many in the North did not support this practice.\textsuperscript{211} One newspaper wrote that Schenck “should be placed under an orderly sergeant and ‘drilled like the devil for a month.’”\textsuperscript{212}

Schenck’s military career got off to an unfortunate start.\textsuperscript{213} On June 17, 1861, General Scott ordered Schenck to secure a railroad near Vienna, Virginia.\textsuperscript{214} Schenck traveled along the line with the First Ohio Volunteers, a regiment of two hundred and seventy-one men.\textsuperscript{215} While approaching Vienna, Confederate artillery fired on the train.\textsuperscript{216} The engineer uncoupled the locomotive and fled the scene, leaving Schenck and his men to retreat on foot while harried by a larger force.\textsuperscript{217} At the end of the encounter, nine men lay dead and three wounded.\textsuperscript{218}

In reality, Schenck’s conduct was commendable.\textsuperscript{219} The Confederate forces outnumbered Schenck’s men by nearly three to one, and yet Schenck and his men fought with such ferocity that the Confederates believed they were facing a much larger force and decided to withdraw rather than press their early success.\textsuperscript{220} Unfortunately for Schenck, this battle was one of the first to result in the loss of life.\textsuperscript{221} The press, eager for any news, “grossly exaggerated” Schenck’s conduct.\textsuperscript{222} The newspapers accused him of
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“disgracefully retreat[ing] from the battlefield leaving many wounded and dying behind.”\textsuperscript{223} This account played well into the general dislike of the so-called political generals.

Schenck’s misfortunes would continue for rest of the summer of 1861. During the battle of Bull Run, Schenck commanded a brigade in General Tyler’s division.\textsuperscript{224} When the call came for the Union retreat, Scheck formed his brigade and was the “only portion of that great army that was ‘not resolved into its original elements of mob.’”\textsuperscript{225} Schenck made an “orderly retreat” from the field.\textsuperscript{226}

Schenck’s time in the field came to an abrupt end at the second battle of Bull Run.\textsuperscript{227} Schenck’s division was actively engaged during the two days of fighting.\textsuperscript{228} On the second day of the battle, a Confederate soldier shot Schenck in his right arm.\textsuperscript{229} According to Schenck’s soldiers, Schenck refused to be taken from the field until the sword he had been holding could be found.\textsuperscript{230} The soldiers said that their General was full of “rage and fearful imprecations at the loss of his sword.”\textsuperscript{231} Eventually the sword was brought to Schenck and Schenck allowed himself to be taken to the hospital.\textsuperscript{232} Schenck spent the next forty-three days confined to his bed.\textsuperscript{233}
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Lincoln next assigned Schenck to the command of the Middle Department, which included Maryland and Delaware and had its headquarters in Baltimore.\textsuperscript{234} Maryland was generally against secession from the Union, but also was against war with South.\textsuperscript{235} Upon taking command, Schenck declared that there could be “‘no middle-ground’ for true patriots and . . . suggested that those pretending to strike a middle position would do better to join the rebellion openly rather than ‘sneak about and crawl upon the ground, leaving a slimy path wherever they go, and biting the heels of patriotic men.’”\textsuperscript{236}

Schenck also began enlisting black slaves through the use of force and without the consent of master or slave.\textsuperscript{237} Initially, Schenck recruited four thousand black men to work on fortifications in Baltimore.\textsuperscript{238} Later, he secured permission to raise a black regiment.\textsuperscript{239} Schenck knew that the recruitment of blacks would lead to the end of slavery in Maryland.\textsuperscript{240} John Hay, Lincoln’s secretary, wrote that Lincoln said that “the fact is, Schenck is wider across the head in the region of the ears, and loves fight for its own sake, better than I do.”\textsuperscript{241}
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According to one historian, the war changed Schenck’s opinions on slavery.\textsuperscript{242} Whereas before he was merely against slavery extending to the territories, he now thought that the entire institution had to be abolished.\textsuperscript{243} His time in Maryland allowed him to see the “peculiar institution” of slavery firsthand.\textsuperscript{244} And what he saw, he “found repugnant.”\textsuperscript{245}

Schenck’s time in Maryland came to an end in December, 1863.\textsuperscript{246} The people of his old Third District in Ohio elected him as their representative to Congress.\textsuperscript{247}

**Schenck’s “Metamorphosis” in Congress\textsuperscript{248}**

Schenck, now a wizened politician, would prove to be a leader in the House.\textsuperscript{249} A week after the opening of the first session of the 38th Congress, the Speaker announced that Schenck would be chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs.\textsuperscript{250}

Schenck’s first task was to appropriate 20 million dollars for funding the conscription of troops.\textsuperscript{251} While guiding the bill through the House, Schenck once again emphasized his black and white view of the war, saying that:

\[\text{I believe . . . that the people of this country may be classified into three great divisions: those who are for carrying on this war to crush out this rebellion and of affording all the means necessary to accomplish that end; those who are not for putting down the rebellion at all; and}\]
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a third class who are for putting down the rebellion, but are opposed to all means for accomplishing that object.\textsuperscript{252}

Schenck placed himself in the first class of people.\textsuperscript{253} The bill passed and was signed by the President on December 23, 1863.\textsuperscript{254}

Schenck’s bitter decisiveness to those opposing the war effort would be a common theme throughout his terms in Congress.\textsuperscript{255} Schenck believed that those that seceded from the Union had forfeited “all their rights as citizens of this country.”\textsuperscript{256} Therefore, they must be pressed back “with fire and sword in order to bring them back again into subjection of the law.”\textsuperscript{257} “In other words,” Schenck said, “we pursue them with a double barreled gun.”\textsuperscript{258} They may be shot as “belligerents” or as “traitors.”\textsuperscript{259}

Another of Schenck’s most important contributions during this time in Congress was his plan for a freedmen’s bureau.\textsuperscript{260} Given the recently gained freedom of a great number of slaves, there was a need for an organization to provide for their welfare.\textsuperscript{261} Schenck’s plan was to create a bureau of refugees and freedmen that would have the power to “supervise, manage, and control all subjects relating to refugees and freedmen”
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and would allow the President to “assign to the bureau for their benefit the temporary use of abandoned lands.”

Schenck believed the issue of refugees and freedmen was a temporary one. His proposal was unique in that it made no technical distinction on account of color, thus treating white refugees and black former slaves on the same terms. Schenck said of his bill that it made “no discrimination on account of color—a favorite phrase, as is well understood, in these days among us all.” The House ultimately passed Schenck’s bill. Two years later, both houses of Congress passed a freedmen’s bureau bill substantially similar to Schenck’s.

During the 38th Congress, Schenck also proposed a bill that would require railroads to furnish every passenger that paid their fare with a seat, regardless of color. Schenck thought his proposal would do away with this “unnecessary excitement about the distinction between blacks and whites.”

Schenck retained his seat in the 39th Congress and remained chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs. During 1866, the subject of reconstruction was forefront in the House. Schenck’s views on the matter were relatively succinct. He believed that the North:

Had the right to subdue [the South] and subject them to obedience precisely upon the same principle on which a father punishes his own child when he has misbehaved. . . .

---
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I believe we have a like right to inflict punishment on these rebellious states.\(^{272}\)

The debate concerning the Fourteenth Amendment also offers a window into Schenck’s beliefs. As to section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment, Schenck proposed basing representation on suffrage.\(^{273}\) His amendment would have “apportioned [representatives] among the several States . . . according to the number of male citizens of the United States over twenty-one years of age having the qualifications for the electors of the most numerous branch of the State Legislature.”\(^{274}\) As for the voting rights of women, Schenck commented that while he had “as much gallantry . . . and sentiment of admiration for that sex, as any gentleman,” he nonetheless believed that “by common consent of civilized countries, including our own, . . . it has been held that the Government should be in the hands of the male part of the population and not the female.”\(^{275}\) Schenck did not believe that voting was “a natural right.”\(^{276}\)

Schenck’s substitute amendment was rejected by 131 votes against it, 29 in favor, and 23 not voting.\(^{277}\)

At the beginning of the 40\(^{th}\) Congress, Schenck was elected as chairman of the Ways and Means Committee.\(^{278}\) Much of Schenck’s work as chairman involved the complete revision of the internal tax laws.\(^{279}\) Schenck would retain his chairmanship

\(^{272}\) **CONG. GLOBE, 39\(^{th}\) CONG., 1\(^{st}\) SESS. 2469-2470 (1866).**  
\(^{273}\) The final version of the Fourteenth Amendment apportions representatives according to the “whole number of persons in each State, excluding Indians not taxed.” **U.S. CONST. amend. XIV, § 2.**  
\(^{274}\) **CONG. GLOBE, 39\(^{th}\) CONG., 1\(^{st}\) SESS. 9 (1866).**  
\(^{275}\) *Id.* at 297.  
\(^{276}\) *Id.*  
\(^{277}\) Kokkinou, *supra* note 37, at 177.  
\(^{278}\) *Id.* at 190.  
\(^{279}\) *Id.* at 201.
during the 41st Congress, which would be the last he would serve in.\textsuperscript{280} On December 22, 1870, Schenck accepted the office of minister to Great Britain.\textsuperscript{281}

**Minister to Great Britain**

Schenck began his term as Minister to Great Britain in June, 1871.\textsuperscript{282} Two events would shape this twilight phase of Schenck’s career: the game of poker and the Emma Mine Affair.

Schenck recounts how his name came to be associated with the game of poker in his book *Draw*.\textsuperscript{283} As the story goes, he was at a royal party in Somersetshire when Queen Victoria asked him about this game of poker that he had introduced to English society.\textsuperscript{284} The Queen asked Schenck to write down the rules of the game.\textsuperscript{285} Schenck quickly obliged, retiring to another room and quickly jotting down some six pages of rules.\textsuperscript{286} One of the gentlemen attending the party acquired Schenck’s handwritten rules and had them printed on his private printing press.\textsuperscript{287} This little booklet then fell into the hands of the press who exclaimed “Poker at court!” and referred to the author of the booklet as “Poker Schenk.”\textsuperscript{288} The whole incident was terribly embarrassing for Schenck.\textsuperscript{289} *Draw* began with an “An apology” in which Schenck wrote that the publication of the booklet “brought down on me the wrath and reprehension of so many

\hspace{1cm}280 \textit{Id.} at 208.  
281 \textit{Id.} at 219.  
282 \textit{Id.} at 224.  
283 ROBERT SCHENCK, DRAW I (1880).  
284 Therry, \textit{supra} note 2, at 498.  
285 \textit{Id.}  
286 \textit{Id.}  
287 \textit{Id.} at 499.  
288 \textit{Id.}  
289 \textit{Id.}
good people in America.” However, it was not Schenck’s love of poker alone that worked to stain his name.

The Emma Mine Affair, as it came to be called, was to define Schenck’s entire career. The Emma was a silver mine in Utah owned by a New York company and sold to British investors. Schenck was offered an interest-free loan with which to purchase shares in the mine in exchange for allowing his name to appear as a member of the board of directors on the prospectus issued to attract investors. Newspapers opposed to President Grant’s administration wrote that Schenck’s “conduct was ‘disgraceful and unbecoming,’ but to be expected under the Grant regime. Under harsh criticism, Schenck ultimately resigned from the board. Shortly thereafter, the company failed.

On February 19, 1876, Schenck resigned as minister to Great Britain. Poker was taboo in Great Britain following Schenck’s departure from London.

**A sad ending**

Schenck would return to Washington and to the practice of law. His career in politics, however, was at an end. On March 23, 1890, Schenck died at his home in Washington. A contemporary of Schenck’s would write shortly after his death that he was always the

---
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same bold, bitter fearless fighter. He practices no concealments, displays little strategy, never shrinks from a course because it will increase the number of his enemies, strikes with a broadsword rather than thrust with a rapier, hews his way through difficulties, rather than take the trouble to turn into an equally good path that may carry him around them. . . . When he has friends, they are warm friends; when he has enemies, they never forgive him.  

300 1 OHIO IN THE WAR, supra note 213, at 737.